

Consultation on setting New Zealand's post-2020 climate change target



Copy of your submission

Contact information

Name Alan Willoughby

Organisation (if applicable)

Address [REDACTED]

Telephone [REDACTED]

Email [REDACTED]

Objectives for the contribution

Do you agree with these objectives for our contribution? No

1b. What is most important to you?

All preparations for the effects of climate change seem to be based upon the possibly fallacious premise that the average temperature of the Earth (if that can even be assessed) will increase. This is not necessarily so. Based on past climate evidence from the past 3 million years, every time we have reached the position on the temperature graph that we are in at present there has been catastrophic cooling, not warming, and this has caused massive decreases in population and the advent of tribalism as civilisation disintegrates. There is no evidence that this will be different this time. The main reason to reduce the use of fossil fuels is environmental, not climatic. So called emissions of mainly carbon dioxide, a plant nutrient, are simply part of the endless and essential carbon cycle.

What would be a fair contribution for New Zealand?

2. What do you think the nature of New Zealand's emissions and economy means for the level of target that we set?

The nature of NZ's emissions, trivial by world standards, is part of the essential and omnipresent carbon cycle. It cannot be stopped and to try is automatically to fail. Set all the targets you like; they will never be met.

How will our contribution affect New Zealanders?

3. What level of cost is appropriate for New Zealand to reduce its greenhouse gas emissions? For example, what would be a reasonable reduction in annual household consumption?

Simply reduce the available finances which families have to raise responsibly, thinking children - yet again. The level of cost appropriate for NZ is \$zero. A reasonable reduction in household consumption would depend upon the amount being consumed at present. Reduction of greenhouse gas emissions is neither necessary nor desirable. Reduction of consumption of artificial foods is very necessary and highly desirable.

4. Of the opportunities for New Zealand to reduce its emissions (as outlined on page 15 of the discussion document), which do you think are the most likely to occur, or be most important for New Zealand? None will be effective because the models used are based on incorrect paradigms.

Summary

5. How should New Zealand take into account the future uncertainties of technologies and costs when setting its target?

It should follow what all civilisations have done for the past few million years - live for the now and allow the planet

Consultation on setting New Zealand's post-2020 climate change target



Copy of your submission

to take care of itself as it has always done.

Other comments

6. Is there any further information you wish the Government to consider? Please explain.
Examine carefully the vested interests pushing the 'we must stop climate change' paradigm. It is not possible to stop climate change and certainly not desirable. Look to the big picture, consult with independent thinking people and allow common sense to prevail. Climate change has always occurred, will always occur, live with it.