Questions to guide your feedback

Your submission may address any aspect of the discussion document, but we would appreciate you paying particular attention to the questions posed throughout and listed in this form. You may answer some or all of the questions. To ensure your point of view is clearly understood, you should explain your rationale and provide supporting evidence where appropriate.
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Objectives for the contribution

1a. We have set the following three objectives for our contribution:

- it is seen as a fair and ambitious contribution — both by international and domestic audiences
- costs and impacts on society are managed appropriately
- it must guide New Zealand over the long term in the global transition to a low emissions world.

Do you agree with these objectives for our contribution?

☐ Yes
☐ No

1b. What is most important to you?

that we have a long term guide

What would be a fair contribution for New Zealand?

2. What do you think the nature of New Zealand’s emissions and economy means for the level of target that we set?
Not sure what the question is? Methane emissions are likely to be at a maximum now. There is no need to increase stock numbers. We need a policy of better land use.

How will our contribution affect New Zealanders?

3. What level of cost is appropriate for New Zealand to reduce it greenhouse gas emissions? For example, what do you think would be a reasonable impact on annual household consumption?

$1800 per annum. Don't understand this!!

4. Of the opportunities for New Zealand to reduce its emissions (as outlined on page 15 of the discussion document), which do you think are the most likely to occur, or be most important for New Zealand?

Most important is voter education done by goverment. Immediate nation wide tree planting on massive scale. This to be a major change in our economy. 10% of all pastures to be in fodder trees for stock. All town space fully planted in trees. Maybe ruling that every ton of concrete used must be offset by say 50 trees.

Summary

5. How should New Zealand take into account the future uncertainties of technologies and costs when setting its target?

Realise we will have to continually make adjustments.

Other comments

6. Is there any further information you wish the Government to consider? Please explain.

Educate voters that it is not just a matter of forests for sequestration. We can sequester in many different plants and we need to plant at break neck speed. We've been deforesting NZ for 2000 years. Our agricultural landscape of bare grassed hillsides must change very rapidly. The major costs will be offset by major returns. This will really be regional development.

When your submission is complete

Email your completed submission to climate.contribution@mfe.govt.nz or post to Climate Change Contribution Consultation, Ministry for the Environment, PO Box 10362, Wellington 6143.

Submissions close at 5.00pm on Wednesday 3 June 2015.

This to me is the only way ahead logical population controls. When I see governments spending on tree planting on such a scale that it is happening NZ wide will I feel some hope for my grandchildren.