

Consultation on setting New Zealand's post-2020 climate change target



Copy of your submission

Contact information

Name M A Pollock

Organisation (if applicable)

Address [REDACTED]

Telephone [REDACTED]

Email [REDACTED]

Objectives for the contribution

Do you agree with these objectives for our contribution? No

1b. What is most important to you?

That we don't cripple our economy based on bad science, computer models not born out by reality and a UN Agenda that is increasingly about changing the capitalist system. Any serious investigation by this government would lead to New Zealand at best taking a followers position and if they were to show real courage they would demand that the UN put forward the evidence of climate change (no increase in temperature for more than 20 years, no increase in the rate of sea level rising since the last ice age and the total amount of polar ice stable or growing) and then lead an honest debate. The paper provided in this survey is distorted and just plain wrong.

What would be a fair contribution for New Zealand?

2. What do you think the nature of New Zealand's emissions and economy means for the level of target that we set?

As already stated, we should not actively pursue a carbon reduction programme. We should be rightfully concerned and focus on air and water quality and other real environmental issues.

How will our contribution affect New Zealanders?

3. What level of cost is appropriate for New Zealand to reduce its greenhouse gas emissions? For example, what would be a reasonable reduction in annual household consumption? \$0

4. Of the opportunities for New Zealand to reduce its emissions (as outlined on page 15 of the discussion document), which do you think are the most likely to occur, or be most important for New Zealand?

If we had any leadership in government (and for once the contrast with Australia is in their favour) we would not even be considering these measures, except where they produced real benefits to the environment in which we live our daily lives.

Summary

5. How should New Zealand take into account the future uncertainties of technologies and costs when setting its target?

It is interesting that this question refers to the uncertainties of technologies where the whole global warming (oh no, I forgot it is now climate change or is it now adverse weather, I struggle to keep up) are based on computer models all of which have proven to be hopelessly wrong. As someone who has worked in the technology industry and for seven years led a research institute, I would place very little faith in future gazing about technology.

Consultation on setting New Zealand's post-2020 climate change target



Ministry for the
Environment
Manatū Mo Te Taiao

Copy of your submission

Other comments

6. Is there any further information you wish the Government to consider? Please explain.

Notwithstanding the lies that are told about consensus in respect to Catastrophic Anthropogenic Global Warming, there is a growing movement that is increasingly undermining the sheeplike following by governments of the self-interested scientists who are promoting for their own benefit what at best is a total distortion of the reality of climate change. When the Climate Change leader of the UN talks about the agenda being to change the capitalist system, surely the alarm bells should start ringing. Are there any objective and rational people who have the courage to stand up to this scam. Remember the coming of the new ice age anyone? I am old enough to remember it very well and my sense of deja vu is overwhelming.