



F1. What do you consider are the strengths and weaknesses of the NZ ETS forestry settings?
I am a small owner (approx [REDACTED]) I consider the current system relatively easy to use (strength)
The value I receive warrants the effort - but the historic volatility in carbon price is a deterrent
I support a floor in the price _ approx \$10 should be enough.

F2. Do the NZ ETS forestry settings discourage deforestation? If not, what settings do you think would? Yes

F2A. Please explain your answer

F3. Do the NZ ETS settings incentivise afforestation and replanting? If not what settings do you think would?
Unsure

F3A. Please explain your answer

F4. Does the NZ ETS provide effective incentives for smaller foresters to participate in the scheme? If not, what settings do you think would? Yes

F4A: Please explain your answer

I am a smaller farmer and find the scheme relatively easy to use
I do not use consultants but lodge the info myself
The team who support Carbon Credit Registration Process are very helpful with any questions I have had.
I did have to approach a few parties to find someone to purchase my units but I am happy with the price I received.

F5. Does the NZ ETS work well alongside other forestry programmes? If not, how do you think these programmes could be better aligned? Unsure

F5A. Please explain your answer

F6. What changes could be made to NZ ETS forestry sector provisions to improve the scheme?
I agree with aligning the process to the international system as much as possible.

F7. What are important factors when considering changes to forestry accounting settings in the NZ ETS?

F8. Do you think a different forestry accounting approach in the NZ ETS would change the scheme's incentives for afforestation? Unsure

F8A: Please explain your answer

I do not wish to use the averaging method on my forest
I am intending to retire some of the land from forestry and leave it in trees for the long term.
Obviously averaging does not support this approach.
If averaging is introduced, I believe it should not be mandatory.

F9. Do you think averaging should be introduced for post-1989 forests? If so, why? No

F9A: Please explain your answer

Copy of your submission



Ministry for the
Environment
Manatū Mo Te Taiao

I am happy and able to manage the carbon implications.
I may be useful to publish the carbon ins and outs for a standard(small) block.
Owners can then see the amount that has to be returned.
The government may consider allowing some roll over of carbon stocks after the first rotation
I mean that if they replant immediately, the government allows a credit for the say first 10 years that their trees will produce.
This reduces the amount of carbon they have to lodge on harvesting. Obviously they would not be able to claim any credits until this time is up
The reduced paper work as well.
This could align with the outcomes of averaging without imposing it on us all.

F9B: Do you think it should be optional or mandatory?

Definitely Optional

Some of us will leave some of our land in forest permanently and never harvest it.

We should get the full benefit of the carbon sequestered.

Some of my land is very steep and backs onto native forest.

F10. Should there be limits on the types of forests that can use an averaging accounting method? For example, new forests only or forests under a size threshold. Yes

F10A: Please explain your answer I think the large owners are well able to manage their forests themselves.

F11. How might averaging impact on your business decisions? I would feel disadvantaged from the averaging proposal.

F12. Do you think deferred liability for emissions from Harvested Wood Products (HWPs) should be recognised domestically? If so, how? Yes

F12A: Please explain your answer

I think foresters should see the true benefit of the trees they grow in their finalcial returns

F13. How might the options for deferred liability for emissions from HWPs impact on your business decisions? This could roll in to the ten year benefit proposal I was suggesting above.

F14. Do you have any other comments or things you think are important?

Name Therese Marsh

Organisation (if applicable)

Address

Telephone

Email