

Consultation on setting New Zealand's post-2020 climate change target



Copy of your submission

Contact information

Name Rob Morley - Smith

Organisation (if applicable)

Address [REDACTED]

Telephone [REDACTED]

Email [REDACTED]

Objectives for the contribution

Do you agree with these objectives for our contribution? No

1b. What is most important to you?

I think it essential that our Government fully understands the importance of CO2 to continued life here in NZ but more importantly to the whole world.

I would bet all I own that the majority of those in control of this whole fiasco would have absolutely no idea of the part that CO2 performs in the existence of life on this planet - if they did then they would certainly be asking the academics how they can rationalise their attack upon this essential gas!

What would be a fair contribution for New Zealand?

2. What do you think the nature of New Zealand's emissions and economy means for the level of target that we set?

Any attempts to modify our environment should all be done in the pursuit of encouraging respect and a better understanding of how the environment works - my experience in this field proves to me that most people (including a good percentage of the academics) have little or no idea whatsoever of the role that CO2 plays on our planet.

How will our contribution affect New Zealanders?

3. What level of cost is appropriate for New Zealand to reduce it's greenhouse gas emissions? For example, what would be a reasonable reduction in annual household consumption?

Assuming that common sense prevails then the cost of environmental care should be commensurate to our use of same.

4. Of the opportunities for New Zealand to reduce its emissions (as outlined on page 15 of the discussion document), which do you think are the most likely to occur, or be most important for New Zealand?

Apart from being more aware of any potential threat to our environment then there is no preferred action. Action(s) that should only be made after the full recognition and understanding of any such perceived threat - the current hobby horse of perceived climate change is not a threat as is being proven by the actual performance of the climate as opposed to the imagined performance as has been produced by the myriad of computer models.

Summary

5. How should New Zealand take into account the future uncertainties of technologies and costs when setting its target?

Consultation on setting New Zealand's post-2020 climate change target



Copy of your submission

This is the age old question 'How long is a piece of string' - Until such time as a perceived threat has been factually shown to be an actual threat and in acceptance that none of us know what technologies will eventuate then good monitoring of supposed contributions to the perceived threat should continue.

Other comments

6. Is there any further information you wish the Government to consider? Please explain.

I would like our Government to publicly acknowledge that there has been no global warming for over eighteen years - that there has been no sea level rise above that historically monitored - that there has been no increase in extreme weather world wide and in recent years there has actually been less.

I am highly skeptical that this is nothing more than a grandiose political maneuver in order to make NZ look good in the eyes of those we wish to impress - I do not believe that there is any one or multiple of things that this or any other Government can do which would result in any changes to Nature's control of the climate.