

Consultation on setting New Zealand's post-2020 climate change target



Copy of your submission

Contact information

Name Murray Lane

Organisation (if applicable)

Address

Telephone

Email

Objectives for the contribution

Do you agree with these objectives for our contribution? No

1b. What is most important to you?

That the Government of NZ recognises the MASSIVE FRAUD that is being perpetrated under the name of "Anthropogenic Global Warming", recently called "Climate Change" and more latterly called "Global Climate Disruption". The climate has always changed. You will know this by simply by asking the question: Why did the last ice age finish? What forces enabled the temperature of the earth to rise out of the very cold period that had lasted 50,000 to 80,000 years, to the wonderful warm period that we (as modern humans) have enjoyed for the last 10,000 years. Why is this the fifth time that this has happened in 90-100,000 year cycles? Why was the Eemian (the last 10,000 year warm period - 100,000 years ago) warmer than today? Why was the medieval warm period warmer than today?

What is most important to me? It is the prosperity for the people of NZ, followed by the people of the world, that is what is most important to me. The recent lift in the standard of living of most people in the developed world is because of the availability of energy, low priced energy. Energy can be from numerous sources, currently the cheapest form is fossil fuel - coal, oil and gas. However the activists, the radical warmists, have convinced our Government, via the misinformation and politics of the UN IPCC, that the trace gas, Carbon dioxide (CO₂) - called "carbon" by activists - emitted by the burning of fossil fuels, is a threat to our way of life because it is a greenhouse gas (GHG). The science would tell us that the most important GHG is water vapour, accounting for >95% of the GHG effect. The science would tell us that the effect of the 4-5% balance of the GHG's (CO₂, methane and nitrous oxide) are NOT about to cause serious warming of the planet. We know that the CO₂ warming effect is almost saturated, and that a doubling of CO₂ will only bring benefits, as it has for the last 50-60 years. Something in the order of 15% of the increase in crop yields around the world over the last 50+ years has been attributed to increased CO₂. CO₂ is an invisible, odourless gas that is ESSENTIAL for the life of almost ALL organisms on earth. Without this airborne fertiliser all plants would die, and hence all mammalian life would soon follow. Your discussion document mentions the Vostock ice cores from Antarctica. It mentions that CO₂ and temperature were found to be instead, to follow each other. But it does NOT mention that the Vostock ice core data shows that warming occurs 600-700 years PRIOR to the increase in CO₂. That the warming results in an increase in CO₂, most likely due to outgassing from the 70% of the world that is covered by oceans. Cold water absorbs more CO₂ than warm water. As the water warms, CO₂ is released. You must have noticed that effect via the documentaries that talk of the massive amount of plankton in the cooler oceans, that is not in the warmer oceans. CO₂ in the ocean is food for the ecosystem that exists.

You ask what is important to me. I have already said "prosperity for all people". By controlling CO₂ emissions, the price of energy / electricity will rise, making prosperity harder for people to achieve. The second part of my answer, is having a Government that does not support mistruths. That allows the people (who put the Government in power) to progress through life with as little interference from Government as possible. Limiting GHG emissions, will lead to a greater role for Government in our lives as Govt sets in place rules and regulations to control emissions and employs a force of people to monitor them. All this will be done with the excuse of "saving the world from climate

Consultation on setting New Zealand's post-2020 climate change target



Copy of your submission

change". At a recent GHG course at Massey University. MPI staff were asked two simple questions: 1. Assuming NZ reaches it's Kyoto Goal, what impact will it have on global temperatures? 2. How much are we prepared to spend (lose) to achieve that goal? The answer given by MPI staff to Q1 was: No effect!. The answer to Q2 was Unlimited!. Why?

Shutting down both NZ and Australia would have NO EFFECT on global temperature. We also know that shutting down USA would have NO EFFECT. By 20130 the emissions from China will be 5x those from USA, and Obama has an agreement with China to allow their emissions to continue to increase to 2030.

In all of this, if we as a world body were as concerned about CO2 as is being promoted, then we would have opened the door to nuclear power as both China and India are. As France has... Not necessarily Uranium nuclear power, why not Thorium nuclear power?

What would be a fair contribution for New Zealand?

2. What do you think the nature of New Zealand's emissions and economy means for the level of target that we set?

The target we set should reflect the opportunity for NZ to prosper.

There should be NO emissions target for NZ. NZ should build its economy without consideration for the FRAUD that is climate change.

NZ is surrounded by an ocean of cold water, that will act as a sink for any CO2 that escapes the hungry plant life on these fair islands.

How will our contribution affect New Zealanders?

3. What level of cost is appropriate for New Zealand to reduce it's greenhouse gas emissions? For example, what would be a reasonable reduction in annual household consumption?

Any level of cost to NZ citizens should be resisted by NZ'ers. It is simply FRAUD.

That we have built wind power generators that will require subsidies throughout their lifetime, is a crime to NZ'ers.

To be clear, there should be NO cost to NZ'ers. NZ should embrace the wonderful technology that enables us to be as productive as we are - fossil fuels and recently hydraulic fracturing, and in the future when our population is as great as that of the UK, nuclear power - hopefully thorium nuclear power.

4. Of the opportunities for New Zealand to reduce its emissions (as outlined on page 15 of the discussion document), which do you think are the most likely to occur, or be most important for New Zealand?

None of them.

To apply a misnamed "carbon tax" tax to farmers, those who bring in a very large proportion of the overseas revenue that keeps our nation wealthy, is like shooting yourself in the foot! Methane emission from ruminants is minor. It has been built up as a major because each molecule of methane is 20x more of a GHG than CO2, however against water vapour, it is minor. The same can be said for nitrous oxide.

Yes, research organisms that reduce methane emission from the rumen, so that there is MORE energy kept in the cow for productivity - what a great goal. But not so that we stop the world from heating.

To raise the price of petrol and diesel so that the price of ALL goods in NZ increase, so that the revenue gained can be spent to save the world by investing in "carbon offset projects" such as forests, is again a misuse of the

Consultation on setting New Zealand's post-2020 climate change target



Copy of your submission

opportunity to invest in NZ, and to enable this nation to prosper.

NO type of tax or cost can be justified.

You will have noted that although the amount of CO₂ in the atmosphere has been monitored as having increased from 280 ppm to 400 ppm (or thereabouts) over the last 20 years, the amount of global warming has been static. It is now 19 years since the satellite data, and the millions of weather balloons released annually, have shown an increase in temperature, it is now referred to as the "embarrassing PAUSE". The temperature has been FLAT in all this time. With all that extra CO₂, there has been NO recorded effect on global temperatures. The only reason for the calamity, the need for a "climate Change Agreement" is because of human manipulated computer models. See: <https://wattsupwiththat.files.wordpress.com/2015/05/michaels-102-ipcc-models-vs-reality.jpg>

Summary

5. How should New Zealand take into account the future uncertainties of technologies and costs when setting its target?

It should NOT set a target.

If worried because of political pressure, then build thorium nuclear power plants to support out hydro power system. The originally proposed \$25 / tonne CO₂ was preposterous. The Chicago climate exchange collapsed, and the European climate exchange is only propped up by politics. It is time that this FRAUD was ceased. The FIFA fraud is but a drop in the ocean beside this fraud. The cost to humanity will be vast. The cost will be felt by ALL, but will be felt hardest by the poorest!

Other comments

6. Is there any further information you wish the Government to consider? Please explain.

Yes. Every person involved in this scandal should know that they will be culpable for their decisions.

In future years when the globe has done no warming, but has progressed on a path to cooling, you should feel ashamed of your role in this FRAUD.

Every person making the decision should do so with full knowledge...

The following is a list of essential reading for those involved in this decision:

1. "Global warming reconsidered" Dr Fred Singer and Dr Craig Idso.
2. Climate Change: The Facts - by J.Abbot (Author) + 20 others
3. The Delinquent Teenager Who Was Mistaken for the World's Top Climate Expert (2011) - Donna La Framboise
4. The Greatest Hoax - US Senator James Inhoffe
5. The many benefits of atmospheric CO₂ enrichment - Dr Craig Idso
6. The deliberate corruption of climate science - Dr Tim Ball
7. Taxing Air, facts and fallacies about climate change - Dr Bob Carter
8. Don't sell your coat - Harold Ambler

AND there are many, many other books that investigate the FRAUD that is anthropogenic Global Warming.

Regards

Murray Lane