

Your submission to Clean Water

cindy Harnett, **James Harnett** [REDACTED]

Clause

What are your thoughts on the proposed swimming targets, for example, on the timeframes and categories?

Notes

I support. Following international standards makes sense. My disappointment is in the std of communication / education to the public. Everyone should know when a river is high and dirty, it is unswimmable. Dirt equals bacteria. So no river will ever be swimmable all that time.. It is plain common sense. I believe the government has done a poor job of presenting the basic facts of natural river cycles, and this had led to misinterpretation of certain stats by emotional pressure groups.

Clause

What are your thoughts on the proposed stock exclusion regulation, for example, the timeframes and stock types to be excluded?

Notes

Very important in high county. Dirt is the source of bacteria. Reducing and stopping erosion is critical. Also should put more effort into riparian planting in higher country to reduce erosion, as well as stock expulsion.

Clause

Do you have any other comments on the contents of the Clean Water discussion document?

Notes

Need to produce better education documents for the public to give the balanced review this bill deserves.