

**From:** [REDACTED]  
**To:** [Climate Contribution](#)  
**Subject:** Submission 3889  
**Date:** Sunday, 17 May 2015 1:28:49 p.m.  
**Attachments:** [image001.png](#)

---

- Temperatures have not changed for 18+ years
  - CO2 has had no measurable effect on the climate
  - CO2 is beneficial to plants and nature

As an Engineer I am sometimes shocked at how bad Climate “science” is. Firstly, most Climate Scientists do not use the scientific method as every other science discipline does, so basically most climate technicians and the IPCC is not science based, therefore it is propaganda. The key statements come from politicians and advocates, not scientists using the scientific method. The reason they don’t use the scientific method is that actual measurements of data, EG temperature in upper troposphere and LWR at top of atmosphere prove their computer models do not work (and even the IPCC admitted this in the last release, but you have to look deep into the details to see that).

Secondly, half of all man made carbon dioxide has been produced since 1979. Over that time period there was some warming due to a natural cycle (Pacific Decadal Oscillation) and since 1997, nothing. The sea level rise is still a constant 2mm per year as it has been since the little Ice Age. So no measurable increase due to CO2 increases or any change, positive or negative that can possibly be attributed to CO2 and only CO2.

There is no physical measurement that proves any warming, or cooling has been caused by CO2 and only CO2 anywhere on the planet from any time in the last 250 million years or more.

The satellites provide the least inaccurate and most comprehensive measurement of temperature and both satellite systems have measured zero, that’s 0.0000C change in temperature for the last 18 years and no warming that is statistically significant for over 26 years.

CO2 is an essential plant food. Horticulturists double the amount of CO2 in glass houses to promote rapid growth. CO2 makes plants more drought resistant. Satellites have now measured an increase in plant growth worldwide and a slight commensurate reduction in the extent of deserts.

So CO2 scientifically is not a problem. In fact increased CO2 is beneficial to nature and a big help in growing more food for a rapidly growing population.

So please, no restrictions on CO2. We do need to become more self-sufficient, and that does need us to use all fossil fuels, but to make more efficient use of them.

As an Engineer I tell you that wind and solar power are a very costly way to generate

power. Measured costs of wind power generation in UK and Germany have recorded that wind power is 12 times more expensive than modern gas power. This is because the wind only generates power at 5% efficiency at peak times, so back up power is required that is very, very costly (UK measured 400% more expensive). So please, do not ever subsidise wind power.

Best sustainable power is solar water heating.

If the \$2 billion per day worldwide spent on subsidising wind power and CO2 is destroying the planet research had instead been put into Thorium Nuclear power research and development, ironically we would have cheaper electricity and less CO2 emissions! Thorium power is 2 orders of magnitude safer than Uranium power and Thorium is far more abundant than Uranium. You just can't build nuclear bombs out of it ☺

Many thanks for hearing my views,

Rod Gill BSc Eng

Microsoft MVP for Project

DDI: [REDACTED]

MOB: [REDACTED]

Skype: [REDACTED]

<http://www.project-systems.co.nz> - Training, Consulting, Contracting,  
Advanced Microsoft Project support (including VBA development) and more.