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**Clause**
Proposals as a whole - please refer to questions 1-3 on page 19 of the discussion document

**Notes**
In general I support the new proposals and the increase in scope and stringency. However, there are gaps.

**Clause**
Impacts and implementation - please refer to questions 4-6 on page 19 of the discussion document

**Notes**
In answer to Qu. 4: Yes, get more trees planted on farms and anything other than Radiata pine. In answer to Qu. 6: Yes, the NES-PF should not over-ride the NPS-FM.

**Clause**
Water commission and other comments - please refer to questions 7-8 on page 19 of the discussion document

**Notes**
In answer to Qu. 7: Yes, to minimise the effect of changes in government and undue political interference.

**Clause**
Te Mana o te Wai - please refer to questions 9-12 on page 36 of the discussion document

**Notes**
Qu. 9: Yes, definitely Qu. 10: No. One example is the hydro exception. Qu. 11: This is not very clear but it is clear that in the end, Councils will make the decision. And that’s a bit of a concern here in the Tasman District.

**Clause**
New planning process for freshwater and redrafted National Policy Statement - please refer to questions 17 on page 36 of the discussion document and questions 40-42 on page 53

**Notes**
Qu. 17: Yes. Qu. 40: Where are the National Objectives actually stated? And what is actually meant by a National Objectives Framework. And why have you designed this submission form in a way that I have to keep going back to Action for Healthy Waterways document to read the question and then try to find the proposal and explanation in that document or in the NPS document.

**Clause**
New Māori value and new threatened species values - please refer to questions 13-16 on page 36 and question 22 on page 52 of the discussion document

**Notes**
Qu. 13