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## Clause

**Question 1.** Do you support a national policy statement on urban development that aims to deliver quality urban environments and make room for growth? Why/Why not?

**Position**  
Yes

**Notes**  
Yes, we need more density and we need it fast.

## Clause

**Question 2.** Do you support the approach of targeting the most directive policies to our largest and fastest growing urban environments? Why/why not?

**Position**  
Yes

**Notes**

## Clause

**Question 3.** Do you support the proposed changes to FDSs overall? If not, what would you suggest doing differently?

**Position**  
Yes

**Notes**

## Clause

**Question 4.** Do you support the proposed approach of the NPS-UD providing national level direction about the features of a quality urban environment? Why/why not?

**Position**  
Yes

**Notes**

## Clause

**Question 5.** Do you support the inclusion of proposals to clarify that amenity values are diverse and change over time? Why/why not?

**Position**  
Yes

**Notes**

## Clause

**Question 6.** Do you support the addition of direction to provide development capacity that is both feasible and likely to be taken up? Will this result in development opportunities that more accurately reflect demand? Why/why not? (see questions A1 - A5 at the end of the form for more questions on policies for Housing and Business Development Capacity Assessments)

**Position**  
Yes

**Notes**
Clause
Question 7. Do you support proposals requiring objectives, policies, rules, and assessment criteria to enable the development anticipated by the zone description? Why/why not?
Position
Yes
Notes

Clause
Question 8. Do you support policies to enable intensification in the locations where its benefits can best be achieved? Why/why not? (for more detail on the timing for these policies see discussion document, page 53)
Position
Yes
Notes

Clause
Question 9. Do you support inclusion of a policy providing for plan changes for out of sequence greenfield development and/or greenfield development in locations not currently identified for development?
Position
Yes
Notes

Clause
Question 10. Do you support limiting the ability for local authorities in major urban centres to regulate the number of car parks required for development? Why/why not?
Position
Yes
Notes
We need to reduce car parks and instead build safe infrastructure for our communities

Clause
Which proposed option could best contribute to achieving quality urban environments?
Position
Option 1: removing the ability for local authorities to regulate the requisite number of car parks
Notes

Clause
Question 11. Do you think that central government should consider more directive intervention in local authority plans?
Position
Yes
Notes
Yes, when it improves safety it shouldn’t be discussed it should be done

Clause
Question 12. Do you support requirements for all urban environments to assess demand and supply of development capacity, and monitor a range of market indicators? Why/why not?
Position
Yes
Notes

Clause
Question 13. Do you support inclusion of policies to improve how local government works with iwi, hapū and whānau to reflect their values and interests in urban planning? Why/why not?
Position
Yes
Notes

Clause
Question 14. Do you support amendments to existing NPS-UDC 2016 policies to include working with providers of development and other infrastructure, and local authorities cooperating to work with iwi/hapū?
Position
Yes
Question 17. Do you think there are potential areas of tension or confusion between any of these proposals and other national direction? If so, please identify these areas below and include any suggestions you have for addressing these issues.

Position
Yes

Notes

Question 18. Do you think a national planning standard is needed to support the consistent implementation of proposals in this document? If so, please state which specific provisions you think could be delivered effectively using a national planning standard?

Position
Yes

Notes

Question A1. Do you support the changes to the HBA policies overall? Are there specific proposals you do or do not support? What changes would you suggest?

Position
Yes

Notes

Question A3. Are the margins proposed in policies AP3 and AP12 appropriate, if not, what should you base alternative margins on? (for example, using different margins based on higher or lower rural-urban price differentials)

Position
Yes

Notes

Question A5. Do you support the approach of targeting the HBA requirements only to major urban centres? Why/why not?

Position
Yes

Notes