Not too much concerned as to "what", because there will always be the "fors" and "againsts".

My concern is the 'how' of the new NPS.

I believe the current district plans are built and administered by the democratic principle: Of the people, for the people, by the people, in the District/city/town where the grassroots are known by the people of that district/city/town better than anyone else — no one would dispute that. And that is the way it is and has worked for over 150 years.

In the section B of the NPS coversheet to the Regulatory Impact Statement under the heading "What Are the Likely Risks..." It states:

...It may also require government to implement a compliance regime once the national direction is operative."

Then in the following heading under "Identify Any Significant Incompatibility..." It states: "The proposal would intentionally constrain the decision-making autonomy of local authorities."

I understand that to be the "how" of the new NPS.

Does this align with: "of the people, for the people, by the people?"

Clearly this does not align with our democratic country. I consider this a serious communistic influence, diametrically opposed to our democratic society.

Where does this come from?
Where will it end?

Signed: ____________________________ dated: 10 October 2019