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Clause
Question 1. Do you support a national policy statement on urban development that aims to deliver quality urban environments and make room for growth? Why/Why not?
Position
Yes
Notes

Clause
Are there other tools under the RMA, other legislation or non-statutory tools that would be more effective in achieving a quality urban environment and making room for growth?
Notes
Up not out rules, soil protection and allotment space for gardens

Clause
Question 2. Do you support the approach of targeting the most directive policies to our largest and fastest growing urban environments? Why/why not?
Position
No
Notes
Those cities that are growing slower like PN need the support to be able to ease the burden on places like Auckland.

Clause
Question 3. Do you support the proposed changes to FDSs overall? If not, what would you suggest doing differently?
Position
Unsure
Notes

Clause
Question 4. Do you support the proposed approach of the NPS-UD providing national level direction about the features of a quality urban environment? Why/why not?
Position
Yes
Notes

Clause
Question 5. Do you support the inclusion of proposals to clarify that amenity values are diverse and change over time? Why/why not?
Position
### Clause
Question 6. Do you support the addition of direction to provide development capacity that is both feasible and likely to be taken up? Will this result in development opportunities that more accurately reflect demand? Why/why not? (see questions A1 - A5 at the end of the form for more questions on policies for Housing and Business Development Capacity Assessments)

**Position**
Yes

**Notes**

### Clause
Question 7. Do you support proposals requiring objectives, policies, rules, and assessment criteria to enable the development anticipated by the zone description? Why/why not?

**Position**
Yes

**Notes**

### Clause
Question 8. Do you support policies to enable intensification in the locations where its benefits can best be achieved? Why/why not? (for more detail on the timing for these policies see discussion document, page 53)

**Position**
Yes

**Notes**

### Clause
To what extent should developers be required to meet the costs of development, including the costs of infrastructure and wider impacts on network infrastructure, and environmental and social costs (recognising that these are likely to be passed on to future homeowners/beneficiaries of the development)? What impacts will this have on the uptake of development opportunities?

**Notes**
Total

### Clause
What would be the impact of removing car park minimums in just high- and medium- density, commercial, residential and mixed use areas, compared with all areas of a major urban centre?

**Notes**
Less car parks more bikes

### Clause
Question 11. Do you think that central government should consider more directive intervention in local authority plans?

**Position**
Yes

**Notes**