

ZCB.Submissions@mfe.govt.nz

Zero Carbon Bill

To the Committee

The premise of this bill is in defiance of the evidence, observable and documented data.

Climate, the prevailing weather conditions over a long period of time, are subject to much more factors than computer models can account for.

Climate change

An emotionally appealing hypothesis has been sold to the New Zealand public and international audiences with data that has been selected to suit the hypothesis, discarding all other information and data that does not suit this conjecture. Unfortunately this is not scientific, it is pseudoscience.

By attempting to imagine that climate (future weather) can be predicted from an extremely small and insignificant data set since the beginning of recorded temperatures is to mislead the electorate.

Furthermore this premise is also to lull New Zealanders into believing that paying for climate change via taxation is to mitigate what is imagined as the prevailing weather into the next century.

Unfortunately this is nonsense.

To attempt to predict a system of chaos is simply impossible and yet media and the political class are attempting to propagandise science for the economic benefit of corporations and high net worth individuals to receive government subsidies to so call transform the economy on the basis humanity can control weather in the future. This rhetoric is designed only as a mechanism to control resources for the benefit of a small group of investors, banks and corporations without reference to the broader public and fails to take into account the economic impact on natural human beings here and now. Assumption is the mother of all screw ups, and the assumption that the public of New Zealand should have to pay for future weather conditions, which cannot be predicted, is a logical fallacy.

The fact that manmade CO2 flux represents 4% of net CO2 in the atmosphere and dominates the other 96% is a wild conjecture at best, that is not supported by evidence. I understand termites produce more CO2 than humans but we have yet to legislate against them. No evidence exists to support that CO2 controls world temperatures and real world data demonstrating CO2 control of temperature is also non-existent. As CO2 concentration continues to rise there has been a corresponding drop in the temperatures, hence the semantic change from the alarmists in the United Nations IPCC and the political class internationally from *global warming* to *climate change*. Under laws of physics world sea temperatures control CO2 levels, and over thousands of years sea temperatures, controlled by solar activity, lead CO2 levels by hundreds of years. This lag is never discussed, one would ask why? Strangely the sun has been ruled out of consideration as a factor in determining what drives climate. Conversely human activity has been elevated to that of mythological status impacting the concentration of CO2 in the atmosphere. The extremes of weather being alluded to as evidence of climate change are in defiance of the wild jet stream and solar activity. **Hence policy is being driven by opinion and not evidence.**

There is no observational evidence of temperature following CO₂. CO₂ a trace gas follows temperature with delays or lags of some centuries because of a relative partition of CO₂ in the atmosphere and in the sea, which holds 50 (fifty) times more CO₂ than the atmosphere and controlled by sea surface temperature (under Henry's law) driven by the sun.

Aggregating the information being promulgated by politicians, officials and the media is in summary a mish-mash of wild claims that defy logic and is unsupported by real scientific data. The reports of the UN IPCC, an international body driven by corporate and political interests, can only be described as ludicrous. Advice to policy makers is unsupported by the scientific evidence, and yet this body is demanding that tax payers here and internationally should have to pay with contributions to a fund administered by unelected bodies without any responsibility to us. This is a promise to indenture the electorate for something over which we have no control. It would be amusing if it were not in direct conflict with our natural born human rights enshrined in common law and the cornerstones of our constitution such as Magna Carta and the Act of Rights. The imposition promised by this legislation of unjust taxation in a Napoleonic style code is at odds with our common law system. The cost in the end will fall to an electorate already highly taxed for the benefit of un-natural persons/corporations. The extremes of weather now being alluded as so called **proof** of climate change are incorrect for the hypothesis being fielded by the UN IPCC and political class, which by contrast originally promised warmist seasonal changes, temperate zone summers and milder winters. The change of tactics is now to claim everything that happens in weather as proof of climate change is ridiculous and ill conceived. Real issues concerning the environment such as toxic administration of weapons grade chemicals (1080) into the New Zealand landscape for so called conservation purposes, the fallacy of sustainability and others have been ignored to the detriment of the electorate and our environment. In the interest of a real debate it is time to return to the scientific method, which considers all the data available, rather than that which is bought for financial interests and supports the growth of bureaucracy to administer non-existent issues.