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### Clause 1.
**What process should the Government use to set a new emissions reduction target in legislation?**

**Position**
The Government sets a goal to reach net zero emissions by the second half of the century and the Climate Change Commission advises on the specific target for the Government to set later

**Notes**

### Clause 2.
**If the Government sets a 2050 target now, which is the best target for New Zealand?**

**Position**
Net Zero Long-Lived Gases and Stabilised Short-Lived Gases - Long-lived gases to net zero by 2050 while also stabilising short-lived gases

**Notes**

### Clause 3.
**How should New Zealand meet its targets?**

**Position**
Domestic emissions reductions (including from new forest planting) and using some emissions reductions from overseas (international carbon units) that have strong environmental safeguards

**Notes**

### Clause 4.
**Should the Zero Carbon Bill allow the 2050 target to be revised if circumstances change?**

**Position**
Yes

**Notes**

### Clause 6.
**Should the Government be able to alter the last emissions budget (i.e. furthest into the future)?**

**Position**
Yes - each incoming Government should have the option to review the third budget in the sequence

**Notes**

### Clause 7.
**Should the Government have the ability to review and adjust the second emissions budget within a specific range under exceptional circumstances? See p36 Our Climate Your Say**

**Position**
Yes

**Notes**

### Clause 9.
**Should the Zero Carbon Bill require Governments to set out plans within a certain timeframe to achieve the emissions budgets?**

**Position**
Yes

**Notes**

### Clause 10.
**What are the most important issues for the Government to consider in setting plans to meet budgets? For example, who do we need to work with, what else needs to be considered?**

**Notes**
An understanding how ruminant animal methane emissions are a closed cycle and do not add to GHG. This reduces 44% of NZ's...
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Clause</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>11. The Government has proposed that the Climate Change Commission advises on and monitors New Zealand's progress towards its goals. Do you agree with these functions? See p42 Our Climate Your Say</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Position**
Yes

**Notes**
Providing the commission bases it's advice on honest scientific principles and not a political ideology.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Clause</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>12. What role do you think the Climate Change Commission should have in relation to the New Zealand Emissions Trading Scheme (NZ ETS)?</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Position**
Advising the Government on policy settings in the NZ ETS

**Notes**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Clause</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Do you have any other comments you'd like to make?</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Notes**
We should be very careful to develop policy that responds to scientifically measurable climate change that is unquestionably the result of man made GHG and not to rely on modelling which has proven in past to be often alarmist and exaggerate the risks.