

Your submission to Zero Carbon Bill

Gordon Darney Nelson

Reference no: 12086

Submitter Type: Individual

Clause

1. What process should the Government use to set a new emissions reduction target in legislation?

Position

The Government sets a goal to reach net zero emissions by the second half of the century and the Climate Change Commission advises on the specific target for the Government to set later

Notes

Clause

2. If the Government sets a 2050 target now, which is the best target for New Zealand?

Position

Net Zero Long-Lived Gases and Stabilised Short-Lived Gases - Long-lived gases to net zero by 2050 while also stabilising short-lived gases

Notes

Clause

3. How should New Zealand meet its targets?

Position

Domestic emissions reductions only (including from new forest planting)

Notes

Clause

4. Should the Zero Carbon Bill allow the 2050 target to be revised if circumstances change?

Position

Yes

Notes

Clause

5. The Government proposes that three emissions budgets of five years each (i.e. covering the next 15 years) be in place at any given time. Do you agree with this proposal?

Position

No

Notes

Clause

6. Should the Government be able to alter the last emissions budget (i.e. furthest into the future)?

Position

Yes - each incoming Government should have the option to review the third budget in the sequence

Notes

Clause

7. Should the Government have the ability to review and adjust the second emissions budget within a specific range under exceptional circumstances? See p36 Our Climate Your Say

Position

Yes

Notes

Clause

9. Should the Zero Carbon Bill require Governments to set out plans within a certain timeframe to achieve the emissions budgets?

Position

No

Notes

Clause

10. What are the most important issues for the Government to consider in setting plans to meet budgets? For example, who do we need to work with, what else needs to be considered?

Notes

Consider what is happening in the rest of the world. Currently the largest emitters do not pay any penalty e.g China, Russia, US, Iran, Syria, India. It is the same atmosphere and crippling our economy for little improvement is ridiculous. Lift the ban on new hydro electric schemes which is far less destructive of the environment than the terribly inefficient, visually polluting, bird killing and wasteful wind generators.

Clause

13. The Government has proposed that Climate Change Commissioners need to have a range of essential and desirable expertise. Do you agree with the proposed expertise? See p45 Our Climate Your Say

Position

Yes

Notes**Clause**

14. Do you think the Zero Carbon Bill should cover adapting to climate change?

Position

No

Notes**Clause**

15. The Government has proposed a number of new functions to help us adapt to climate change. Do you agree with the proposed functions? See p47 Our Climate Your Say

Position

No

Notes**Clause**

16. Should we explore setting up a targeted adaptation reporting power that could see some organisations share information on their exposure to climate change risks?

Position

No

Notes**Clause**

Do you have any other comments you'd like to make?

Notes

The reduction of plastic is urgent and a more immediate problem than emissions. We need to do more than removing plastic bags from shops and supermarket. Milk and water containers should be returned to glass an easily recyclable material. All aluminium and steel tins must be recycled The supermarkets are more and more pre-wrapping foodstuffs in plastic and this should be discouraged. These actions would be far more beneficial to the environment than the current emphasis on carbon dioxide reduction. If NZ succeeds in reducing CO2 emissions our effect on the world will be negligible, on the other hand if we can significantly reduce the use of plastics this country could be a world leader.