### Clause 1.
What process should the Government use to set a new emissions reduction target in legislation?

**Position**
The Government sets a 2050 target in legislation now

**Notes**

### Clause 2.
If the Government sets a 2050 target now, which is the best target for New Zealand?

**Position**
Net Zero Emissions - Net zero emissions across all greenhouse gases by 2050

**Notes**
Stabilising short-lived gases is not net zero, significantly so for New Zealand. The target should not just be "by 2050" but, for the avoidance of doubt, "by and beyond 2050". This is to ensure that, for example, any potential 'bounce back' of forestry maturation if taken fully into account.

### Clause 3.
How should New Zealand meet its targets?

**Position**
Domestic emissions reductions only (including from new forest planting)

**Notes**

### Clause 4.
Should the Zero Carbon Bill allow the 2050 target to be revised if circumstances change?

**Position**
No

**Notes**
The 2050 target could always be revised by Parliament through another Bill, so that safeguard is there. It should not be able to be revised by any other means. Should the science indicate that faster action is necessary, then governments can set stricter emissions budgets within the existing target, even as Parliament acts.

### Clause 5.
The Government proposes that three emissions budgets of five years each (i.e. covering the next 15 years) be in place at any given time. Do you agree with this proposal?

**Position**
Yes

**Notes**

### Clause 6.
Should the Government be able to alter the last emissions budget (i.e. furthest into the future)?

**Position**
Yes - the third emissions budget should be able to be changed but only when the subsequent budget is set

**Notes**

### Clause 7.
Should the Government have the ability to review and adjust the second emissions budget within a specific range under exceptional circumstances? See p36 Our Climate Your Say

**Position**
Yes

**Notes**
But only to make the budget stricter, i.e. the specific range should be in the direction of decreased emission only.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Clause</th>
<th>Detailed Content</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8. Do you agree with the considerations we propose that the Government and the Climate Change Commission take into account when advising on and setting budgets? See p44 Our Climate Your Say</td>
<td>In addition, the stability of net zero emissions post-2050 needs to be included as a strong consideration. This is to ensure that, for example, any potential 'bounce back' of forestry maturation if taken fully into account.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. Should the Zero Carbon Bill require Governments to set out plans within a certain timeframe to achieve the emissions budgets?</td>
<td>See p44 Our Climate Your Say</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. What are the most important issues for the Government to consider in setting plans to meet budgets? For example, who do we need to work with, what else needs to be considered?</td>
<td>In addition, the stability of net zero emissions post-2050 needs to be included as a strong consideration. This is to ensure that, for example, any potential 'bounce back' of forestry maturation if taken fully into account.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11. The Government has proposed that the Climate Change Commission advises on and monitors New Zealand's progress towards its goals. Do you agree with these functions? See p42 Our Climate Your Say</td>
<td>The degree to which carbon priced at source ripples efficiently and fairly through the price and value chain, so that individual and corporate consumption and production incentives across all sectors are efficient.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12. What role do you think the Climate Change Commission should have in relation to the New Zealand Emissions Trading Scheme (NZ ETS)?</td>
<td>Advising the Government on policy settings in the NZ ETS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13. The Government has proposed that Climate Change Commissioners need to have a range of essential and desirable expertise. Do you agree with the proposed expertise? See p45 Our Climate Your Say</td>
<td>Agree with the essential expertise listed but added should be high-level knowledge of the structure and workings of the key sectors - at least agriculture/forestry, electricity and transport.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14. Do you think the Zero Carbon Bill should cover adapting to climate change?</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15. The Government has proposed a number of new functions to help us adapt to climate change. Do you agree with the proposed functions? See p47 Our Climate Your Say</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16. Should we explore setting up a targeted adaptation reporting power that could see some organisations share information on their exposure to climate change risks?</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Climate change mitigation is the critical issue of our times. Embed a strong target in legislation, for our children and theirs.