

Submissions form

We seek your feedback on the specific proposals in the Zero Carbon Bill.

2050 target

1. What process should the Government use to set a new emissions reduction target in legislation?

Pick one:

- ~~• the Government sets a 2050 target in legislation now~~
- ~~• the Government sets a goal to reach net zero emissions by the second half of the century, and the Climate Change Commission advises on the specific target for the Government to set later.~~

No need to set any target.

2. If the Government sets a 2050 target now, which is the best target for New Zealand?

Pick one:

- ~~• **net zero carbon dioxide:** Reducing net carbon dioxide emissions to zero by 2050~~
- ~~• **net zero long lived gases and stabilised short lived gases:** Long lived gases to net zero by 2050, while also stabilising short lived gases~~
- ~~• **net zero emissions:** Net zero emissions across all greenhouse gases by 2050.~~

Do not need a target as it is based on false facts.

Carbon Dioxide is essential for plants to survive and provide us with Oxygen.

3. How should New Zealand meet its targets?

Pick one:

- ~~• domestic emissions reductions only (including from new forest planting)~~
- ~~• domestic emissions reductions (including from new forest planting) and using some emissions reductions from overseas (international carbon units) that have strong environmental safeguards.~~

Getting rid of the Green's Party out of politics.

4. Should the Zero Carbon Bill allow the 2050 target to be revised if circumstances change?

Pick one:

- yes
- ~~• no.~~

Think that things have changed already and there is no need for the bill.

Emissions budgets

5. The Government proposes that three emissions budgets of five years each (ie, covering the next 15 years) be in place at any given time. Do you agree with this proposal?

Pick one:

- yes
- no.

The Bill needs to be fallen from now - forget about a Zero Carbon Bill.

6. Should the Government be able to alter the last emissions budget (ie, furthest into the future)?

Pick one:

- yes, each incoming Government should have the option to review the third budget in the sequence
- yes, the third emissions budget should be able to be changed, but only when the subsequent budget is set
- no, emissions budgets should not be able to be changed.

Do not need a fictitious budget based on fake news and false information.

7. Should the Government have the ability to review and adjust the second emissions budget within a specific range under [exceptional circumstances](#)?

Pick one:

- yes
- no.

Don't need a first emissions budget.

8. Do you agree with the [considerations](#) we propose that the Government and the Climate Change Commission take into account when advising on and setting budgets?

Pick one:

- yes
- no.

Use settled science only

Government response

9. Should the Zero Carbon Bill require Governments to set out plans within a certain timeframe to achieve the emissions budgets?

Pick one:

- yes
- no.

The form continually assumes that it is needed.

10. What are the most important issues for the Government to consider in setting plans to meet budgets? For example, who do we need to work with, what else needs to be considered?

They need to consider settled science and not fake news. Refer to the Bible 2 Timothy chapter 4 verse 3. *For a time is coming when people will no longer listen to right teachings. They will follow their own desires and will look for teachers who will tell them whatever they want to hear.* This explains how the climate mantra has grown.

Climate Change Commission

11. The Government has proposed that the Climate Change Commission **advises on and monitors** New Zealand's progress towards its goals. Do you agree with these functions?

Pick one:

- yes
- no.

We do not need a commission

12. What role do you think the Climate Change Commission should have in relation to the New Zealand Emissions Trading Scheme (NZ ETS)?

Pick one:

- ~~advising the Government on policy settings in the NZ ETS~~
- ~~makes decisions itself, in respect of the number of units available in the NZ ETS.~~

No commission = no roles

13. The Government has proposed that Climate Change Commissioners need to have a range of **essential and desirable expertise**. Do you agree with the proposed expertise?

Pick one:

- yes
- no.

Need some honest people who do not believe fake science

Adapting to the impacts of climate change

14. Do you think the Zero Carbon Bill should cover adapting to climate change?

Pick one:

- yes
- no

Climate is going to change no matter what we do. It has been occurring for centuries and the so-called man-made influence is minimal if at all.

15. The Government has proposed a number of new [functions](#) to help us adapt to climate change. Do you agree with the proposed functions?

Pick one:

- yes
- no.

It is all based on fake information and unsettled science. The climate will continue to change and we will adapt as part of our natural evolution.

16. Should we explore setting up a targeted adaptation reporting power that could see some organisations share information on their exposure to climate change risks?

Pick one:

- yes
- no.

You should never set up a commission in the first place.

Submitter:

James Findlay.