

# Your submission to Zero Carbon Bill

Dean John McCarthy

## Reference no: 11832

Submitter Type: Individual

### Clause

1. What process should the Government use to set a new emissions reduction target in legislation?

### Notes

does not set a target for a proxy for pollution but focuses on actually reducing pollution and enhancing living environments

### Clause

2. If the Government sets a 2050 target now, which is the best target for New Zealand?

### Notes

Carbon Dioxide is not effective as it misses to many more important factors and ignores social factors. ie chases the wrong car in the save the planet argument.

### Clause

3. How should New Zealand meet its targets?

### Notes

it should ignore them. it should educate and research more efficient use of resources and increased life spans for existing capital plant. it should encourage increased personal responsibility for efficient use of resources, this proposed enforced/tax/punishment approach suits the NIMBY portion of the population and panders to social consciences rather than actually doing anything.

### Clause

4. Should the Zero Carbon Bill allow the 2050 target to be revised if circumstances change?

### Notes

Chase the car that improves the environment. Carbon is a bad proxy. search more efficient use of resources, be more productive with what we have. wrong track!

### Clause

5. The Government proposes that three emissions budgets of five years each (i.e. covering the next 15 years) be in place at any given time. Do you agree with this proposal?

### Notes

No tax is not the answer to this problem. Education is. CO2 is not a valid measure for pollution. isnt that the actual problem? Climates change. Fact! CO2 was intended to be used as a proxy for pollution and is not that good at it. the rest..ie man made climate change is irrelevant probably and at best a side show to the actual issue, tiding up our activities, feeding the population, protecting the biodiversity in the most efficient maner.

### Clause

6. Should the Government be able to alter the last emissions budget (i.e. furthest into the future)?

### Notes

Emissions targets of CO2 are not useful or relevent to improving our or any environment. they are a bad proxy for damage as they focus on the wrong things for the wrong reasons. More efficient use of resurces. longer life cycles of capital. better adherence to best practices. reduction on waste. increase in reuse/recycle all good, CO2 tax does nothing for any of that.

### Clause

7. Should the Government have the ability to review and adjust the second emissions budget within a specific range under exceptional circumstances? See p36 Our Climate Your Say

### Position

No

### Notes

### Clause

8. Do you agree with the considerations we propose that the Government and the Climate Change Commission take into account when advising on and setting budgets? See p44 Our Climate Your Say

**Position**

No

**Notes****Clause**

9. Should the Zero Carbon Bill require Governments to set out plans within a certain timeframe to achieve the emissions budgets?

**Position**

No

**Notes****Clause**

10. What are the most important issues for the Government to consider in setting plans to meet budgets? For example, who do we need to work with, what else needs to be considered?

**Notes**

Focus on the actual problem of the environment. CO2 emissions are a poor proxy for pollution and result in bad practices. Appeasing NIMBYs is not a good reason to make bad decisions

**Clause**

11. The Government has proposed that the Climate Change Commission advises on and monitors New Zealand's progress towards its goals. Do you agree with these functions? See p42 Our Climate Your Say

**Position**

No

**Notes**

This whole approach chases the wrong car.

**Clause**

12. What role do you think the Climate Change Commission should have in relation to the New Zealand Emissions Trading Scheme (NZ ETS)?

**Notes**

Climate. hange is not the issue. pollution is

**Clause**

13. The Government has proposed that Climate Change Commissioners need to have a range of essential and desirable expertise. Do you agree with the proposed expertise? See p45 Our Climate Your Say

**Notes**

focus on pollution CO2 emmission is only one proxy available for measurement and is now not viable or trusted because of lack of trust in the administration motives

**Clause**

14. Do you think the Zero Carbon Bill should cover adapting to climate change?

**Position**

No

**Notes****Clause**

15. The Government has proposed a number of new functions to help us adapt to climate change. Do you agree with the proposed functions? See p47 Our Climate Your Say

**Position**

No

**Notes**