## Clause 1.
**What process should the Government use to set a new emissions reduction target in legislation?**

### Position
The Government sets a goal to reach net zero emissions by the second half of the century and the Climate Change Commission advises on the specific target for the Government to set later.

### Notes
Remove the target from risk of political influence, instead an independent body is best especially to respond to new research, and rapid changes in economics, and climate science, nationally and internationally.

## Clause 2.
**If the Government sets a 2050 target now, which is the best target for New Zealand?**

### Position
Net Zero Emissions - Net zero emissions across all greenhouse gases by 2050

### Notes
Because the options are all 'net' rather than 'gross', we need to offset the impact of actual emissions. The 'net' measurement should be made on science of impact on climate change.

## Clause 3.
**How should New Zealand meet its targets?**

### Position
Domestic emissions reductions only (including from new forest planting)

### Notes
Once we have reached net emissions, we should then allow for trading, but not before - this would put NZ at a competitive advantage in the market.

## Clause 4.
**Should the Zero Carbon Bill allow the 2050 target to be revised if circumstances change?**

### Position
Yes

### Notes
Yes, but the goal and underlying principle and purpose must be protected. As new research and new science is undertaken, of course we will need to have the ability respond - but this should be de-politicized, and be the charge of a climate commission.

## Clause 5.
**The Government proposes that three emissions budgets of five years each (i.e. covering the next 15 years) be in place at any given time. Do you agree with this proposal?**

### Position
Yes

### Notes
That sounds reasonable - basically you need about this level of stability to have a predictable economic basis for investment. OR the independent climate commission could set the budget on a quarterly basis like the Reserve Bank does with the OCR. That level of control seems to keep most people happy.

## Clause 6.
**Should the Government be able to alter the last emissions budget (i.e. furthest into the future)?**

### Position
Yes - the third emissions budget should be able to be changed but only when the subsequent budget is set

### Notes
The government shouldn't be allowed to change the budget - the climate commission should be able however, to in the same way as the Reserve Bank is in control of the OCR - but with a different set of success criteria being monitored.
Clause
7. Should the Government have the ability to review and adjust the second emissions budget within a specific range under exceptional circumstances? See p36 Our Climate Your Say
Position
No
Notes
No, if there are exceptional circumstances, they just need to make up for the short fall once the circumstances are no longer exceptional. The Government don't get to change the OCR in exceptional circumstances as much as they would like to. When faced with exceptional economic circumstances the Government borrows money - and then they have to pay it back - that's the nature of a balanced system, just as is required to mitigate climate change - we need to work within the ecosystem.

Clause
8. Do you agree with the considerations we propose that the Government and the Climate Change Commission take into account when advising on and setting budgets? See p44 Our Climate Your Say
Position
Yes
Notes
However, these factors should be considered in terms of how they might impact NZ's ability to reduce or offset emissions, and should not be considered in-and-of-there-own-right: - economic circumstances and, in particular, the likely impact of the decision on the economy and the competitiveness of particular sectors of the economy • fiscal circumstances and, in particular, the likely impact of the decision on taxation, public spending and public borrowing • social circumstances and, in particular, the likely impact of the decision on fuel poverty • energy policy and, in particular, the likely impact of the decision on energy supplies and the carbon and energy intensity of the economy.

Clause
9. Should the Zero Carbon Bill require Governments to set out plans within a certain timeframe to achieve the emissions budgets?
Position
Yes
Notes
Absolutely - the election conversation needs to shift from parties campaigning on 'if' they will act on climate change, to parties campaigning on 'what' they will do to act on climate change.

Clause
10. What are the most important issues for the Government to consider in setting plans to meet budgets? For example, who do we need to work with, what else needs to be considered?
Notes
Other environmental impact - e.g. what kind of trees are being planted? are these monocultures? Or diverse ecosystems that are being planted? Social Wellbeing Whole of life impact of projects/actions Iwi if two actions have the same emissions reduction/trade-off - then consideration on greatest benefit for economy, employment, and ROI.

Clause
11. The Government has proposed that the Climate Change Commission advises on and monitors New Zealand's progress towards its goals. Do you agree with these functions? See p42 Our Climate Your Say
Position
Yes
Notes

Clause
12. What role do you think the Climate Change Commission should have in relation to the New Zealand Emissions Trading Scheme (NZ ETS)?
Position
Makes decisions itself in respect of the number of units available in the NZ ETS
Notes

Clause
13. The Government has proposed that Climate Change Commissioners need to have a range of essential and desirable expertise. Do you agree with the proposed expertise? See p45 Our Climate Your Say
Position
No
Notes
I think greater emphasis on climate scientist expertise is required - do you need an atmospheric chemist for example? That seems like a key area of expertise required to keep up with research in this area. Engineering and technologist expertise is required also - there will be many technologies the commission will need to review and consider - and many sham technology solutions that will need to be discounted.
14. Do you think the Zero Carbon Bill should cover adapting to climate change?

**Position**
No

**Notes**
NO, that is a different set of actions that should happen in parallel and concert, but adaptation is a local concern, climate change action has a global impact.

15. The Government has proposed a number of new functions to help us adapt to climate change. Do you agree with the proposed functions? See p47 Our Climate Your Say

**Position**
Yes

**Notes**
Yes these are important - but I believe they should be decoupled from the core goal to reduce emissions, rather than conflated. This feels like a different bill required on Adaptation.

16. Should we explore setting up a targeted adaptation reporting power that could see some organisations share information on their exposure to climate change risks?

**Position**
Yes

**Notes**
Sure, but this feels like a different bill required on Adaptation.