Clause 1. What process should the Government use to set a new emissions reduction target in legislation?
Notes
We should not set any target.

Clause 2. If the Government sets a 2050 target now, which is the best target for New Zealand?
Notes
No target.

Clause 4. Should the Zero Carbon Bill allow the 2050 target to be revised if circumstances change?
Position
Yes
Notes

Clause 5. The Government proposes that three emissions budgets of five years each (i.e. covering the next 15 years) be in place at any given time. Do you agree with this proposal?
Position
No
Notes

Clause 6. Should the Government be able to alter the last emissions budget (i.e. furthest into the future)?
Position
Yes - each incoming Government should have the option to review the third budget in the sequence
Notes

Clause 9. Should the Zero Carbon Bill require Governments to set out plans within a certain timeframe to achieve the emissions budgets?
Position
Yes
Notes

Clause 10. What are the most important issues for the Government to consider in setting plans to meet budgets? For example, who do we need to work with, what else needs to be considered?
Notes
Consult with business owners and those who are going to be effected by this. Keep the public informed is #1 priority.

Clause 11. The Government has proposed that the Climate Change Commission advises on and monitors New Zealand's progress towards its goals. Do you agree with these functions? See p42 Our Climate Your Say
Position
No
Notes
No foreign NGO or government should have any say over what our government does unless it's non-binding and 100% paid for at their expense.

Clause 12. What role do you think the Climate Change Commission should have in relation to the New Zealand Emissions Trading Scheme (NZ ETS)?
Notes
scrap all of it

Clause
14. Do you think the Zero Carbon Bill should cover adapting to climate change?
Position
No
Notes

Clause
15. The Government has proposed a number of new functions to help us adapt to climate change. Do you agree with the proposed functions? See p47 Our Climate Your Say
Position
No
Notes

Clause
16. Should we explore setting up a targeted adaptation reporting power that could see some organisations share information on their exposure to climate change risks?
Position
No
Notes

Clause
Do you have any other comments you'd like to make?
Notes
The science behind this is politically motivated and the models are being constantly revised and changed as they fail to accurately predict the changes we are seeing in the climate. We need to understand the effect of Space Weather and the effect of the sun being at a solar minimum on the planet. The weather is changing on every planet in our solar system not just Earth. This is easily verifiable and noted by NASA and other space agencies around the world. So are we sure that it is the CO2 causing the climate to change? Greenhouse effect is definitely real but is it really as bad as we are being made to believe? People don't want to accept that in order to get research grants you have to not go too far outside established theory. Who decides who gets the research grants? Just like Doctors promoted smoking or the Catholic Church refused to accept a heliocentric model scientists can and do get it wrong sometimes at a grand scale. When we look at the biggest polluters in the world they are not those being most targeted by climate change law. This is because they are so called 'developing nations' such as China and India. Now USA totally withdrew from the Paris Accords. Although they have made commitments and are making some token gestures they continue to pollute on a scale that dwarfs NZ. Even if we were to purposefully pollute and emit carbon as much as we could we couldn't ever hope to match even a tiny portion of what they output. Right now our economy isn't doing well. 15% GST on basic food items, increasing fuel tax, ignoring our natural gas reserves and other natural resources, and other taxes are making NZ an unproductive nation where people cannot/don't want to open businesses. Poor people are hurting and even living in cars. Having to beg the government for assistance as there aren't enough jobs for them to get a decent wage. We are not in any way big enough to be considered part of this problem where it is worth hurting our economy. We are not a rich nation. We are far poorer than other nations which are considered developing and falling further behind. We need to make sure our economy is strong otherwise our emissions certainly will drop if we enter into a Venezuela type situation. For example if something like Mad Cow Disease broke out here think of what a position we would be in. This is not the only scenario we should be considering. An Earthquake in Auckland which damaged the harbor bridge is another example of something that would cripple us economically. We absolutely must put our economy first before we start to consider global commitments and virtue signaling. There's no point in being the #1 in reduced carbon emissions on the hollowed out shell of a nation.