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### Clause 1.
What process should the Government use to set a new emissions reduction target in legislation?

**Position**
The Government sets a 2050 target in legislation now

**Notes**
Provides greater certainty.

### Clause 2.
If the Government sets a 2050 target now, which is the best target for New Zealand?

**Position**
Net Zero Long-Lived Gases and Stabilised Short-Lived Gases - Long-lived gases to net zero by 2050 while also stabilising short-lived gases

**Notes**
More realistic - less chance of destroying the economy.

### Clause 3.
How should New Zealand meet its targets?

**Position**
Domestic emissions reductions (including from new forest planting) and using some emissions reductions from overseas (international carbon units) that have strong environmental safeguards

**Notes**

### Clause 4.
Should the Zero Carbon Bill allow the 2050 target to be revised if circumstances change?

**Position**
Yes

**Notes**
No one can predict out 30 years and how technology may change.

### Clause 5.
The Government proposes that three emissions budgets of five years each (i.e. covering the next 15 years) be in place at any given time. Do you agree with this proposal?

**Position**
Yes

**Notes**

### Clause 6.
Should the Government be able to alter the last emissions budget (i.e. furthest into the future)?

**Position**
Yes - each incoming Government should have the option to review the third budget in the sequence

**Notes**
We have fought for our democratic freedoms.

### Clause 7.
Should the Government have the ability to review and adjust the second emissions budget within a specific range under exceptional circumstances? See p36 Our Climate Your Say

**Position**
Yes

**Notes**

---
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8. Do you agree with the considerations we propose that the Government and the Climate Change Commission take into account when advising on and setting budgets? See p44 Our Climate Your Say

**Position**
Yes

**Notes**

**Clause**
9. Should the Zero Carbon Bill require Governments to set out plans within a certain timeframe to achieve the emissions budgets?

**Position**
Yes

**Notes**

**Clause**
10. What are the most important issues for the Government to consider in setting plans to meet budgets? For example, who do we need to work with, what else needs to be considered?

**Notes**
Since agricultural emissions are significant for NZ it is crucial to involve practical farmers in how to achieve goals.

**Clause**
11. The Government has proposed that the Climate Change Commission advises on and monitors New Zealand's progress towards its goals. Do you agree with these functions? See p42 Our Climate Your Say

**Position**
Yes

**Notes**

**Clause**
12. What role do you think the Climate Change Commission should have in relation to the New Zealand Emissions Trading Scheme (NZ ETS)?

**Position**
Advising the Government on policy settings in the NZ ETS

**Notes**
Appointed bodies with too much power can become dangerous.

**Clause**
13. The Government has proposed that Climate Change Commissioners need to have a range of essential and desirable expertise. Do you agree with the proposed expertise? See p45 Our Climate Your Say

**Position**
No

**Notes**
It lacks practical experience and therefore risks advice that could lead to perverse outcomes.

**Clause**
14. Do you think the Zero Carbon Bill should cover adapting to climate change?

**Position**
Yes

**Notes**

**Clause**
Do you have any other comments you'd like to make?

**Notes**
This kind of legislation has significant risk around perverse outcomes. The way greenhouse gas emissions are estimated is crucial. Unless careful analysis is given to this issue the policies could actually worsen real greenhouse gas emissions while seeming to improve estimated emissions. What's more, if the policies drive industries off shore to countries with worse carbon footprints, global greenhouse gas emissions are worsened.