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## Clause 1.
**What process should the Government use to set a new emissions reduction target in legislation?**

**Position**
The Government sets a 2050 target in legislation now

**Notes**

## Clause 2.
**If the Government sets a 2050 target now, which is the best target for New Zealand?**

**Position**
Net Zero Emissions - Net zero emissions across all greenhouse gases by 2050

**Notes**

## Clause 3.
**How should New Zealand meet its targets?**

**Position**
Domestic emissions reductions only (including from new forest planting)

**Notes**

## Clause 4.
**Should the Zero Carbon Bill allow the 2050 target to be revised if circumstances change?**

**Position**
No

**Notes**

## Clause 6.
**Should the Government be able to alter the last emissions budget (i.e. furthest into the future)?**

**Position**
No - emissions budgets should not be able to be changed

**Notes**

## Clause 7.
**Should the Government have the ability to review and adjust the second emissions budget within a specific range under exceptional circumstances?** See p36 Our Climate Your Say

**Position**
Yes

**Notes**

## Clause 10.
**What are the most important issues for the Government to consider in setting plans to meet budgets? For example, who do we need to work with, what else needs to be considered?**

**Notes**
Fonterra needs legislative pressure to transition away from dairy. We need to impose a leadership role on them, not a defensive position such as they took in response to the mild anti dairy implications of A2.

## Clause 11.
**The Government has proposed that the Climate Change Commission advises on and monitors New Zealand's progress towards its goals. Do you agree with these functions?** See p42 Our Climate Your Say

**Position**
Yes

**Notes**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Clause</th>
<th>14. Do you think the Zero Carbon Bill should cover adapting to climate change?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Notes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Clause</th>
<th>16. Should we explore setting up a targeted adaptation reporting power that could see some organisations share information on their exposure to climate change risks?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Notes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Clause</th>
<th>Do you have any other comments you’d like to make?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Notes</td>
<td>One issue on which I no longer align with the party is light rail Vs road-vased mass transit - in recent years I've revised my stance to favour self-drive electric infrastructure over rail. New Zealand's geography and lack of density, combined with the benefit of utilising existing infrastructure makes it extremely viable, and it is an area where global leadership is required.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>