

Your submission to Zero Carbon Bill

Reference no: 10022

Submitter Type: Individual

Clause

1. What process should the Government use to set a new emissions reduction target in legislation?

Notes

Emissions and carbon adsorption ofset are not well studied otta to early to enforce crude science like the p house blunder

Clause

2. If the Government sets a 2050 target now, which is the best target for New Zealand?

Notes

Non of the above the science and research is to crude for enforce at this stage

Clause

3. How should New Zealand meet its targets?

Notes

No target should be set until the science of emmissions and adsorption are fully understood and not based on extrapolation from very crude bias research

Clause

4. Should the Zero Carbon Bill allow the 2050 target to be revised if circumstances change?

Notes

The bill should not be past the science and methodology fit testing is at best crude and needs further unbias I investigation

Clause

5. The Government proposes that three emissions budgets of five years each (i.e. covering the next 15 years) be in place at any given time. Do you agree with this proposal?

Position

No

Notes

Clause

6. Should the Government be able to alter the last emissions budget (i.e. furthest into the future)?

Notes

The bill should not pass the research is to crude and bias

Clause

7. Should the Government have the ability to review and adjust the second emissions budget within a specific range under exceptional circumstances? See p36 Our Climate Your Say

Notes

No bill that is based on scientific research should be passed until seriously scrutinized by the scientific community

Clause

8. Do you agree with the considerations we propose that the Government and the Climate Change Commission take into account when advising on and setting budgets? See p44 Our Climate Your Say

Notes

No commission should be appointed until full investigation, bias and non bias had taken place. There is not enough evidence to properly understand all components of emissions and carbon adsorption in nz

Clause

9. Should the Zero Carbon Bill require Governments to set out plans within a certain timeframe to achieve the emissions budgets?

Notes

No carbon bill should pass that is not seriously scrutinised by the scientific communities bias and non bias

Clause

10. What are the most important issues for the Government to consider in setting plans to meet budgets? For example, who do we need to work with, what else needs to be considered?

Notes

Green party of nz SHOULD NOT BE POLITICIANS they should be logical right minded scientists with bias and non bias research from multiple sources science is not political proper science is seriously scrutinized from many sources and all aspects of the subject must be addressed before any action is taken. At the moment the science around emissions is portrayed incorrectly as is the research with missing components of the wider picture .

Clause

11. The Government has proposed that the Climate Change Commission advises on and monitors New Zealand's progress towards its goals. Do you agree with these functions? See p42 Our Climate Your Say

Notes

The monitoring is as well understood as the actual sources of emissions the science for monitoring is far too crude to be considered as evidence

Clause

12. What role do you think the Climate Change Commission should have in relation to the New Zealand Emissions Trading Scheme (NZ ETS)?

Notes

The climate change commission is a disgraceful waste of government resource

Clause

13. The Government has proposed that Climate Change Commissioners need to have a range of essential and desirable expertise. Do you agree with the proposed expertise? See p45 Our Climate Your Say

Notes

No absolutely not There is not enough research or scientist's to truly understand that this subject is not yet ready to be introduced into any kind of reform

Clause

14. Do you think the Zero Carbon Bill should cover adapting to climate change?

Position

No

Notes

The question is as ridiculous as it sounds

Clause

15. The Government has proposed a number of new functions to help us adapt to climate change. Do you agree with the proposed functions? See p47 Our Climate Your Say

Position

No

Notes

It is a waste of time/ government funding

Clause

16. Should we explore setting up a targeted adaptation reporting power that could see some organisations share information on their exposure to climate change risks?

Position

No

Notes

Another sponge for government funding absolute waste of money

Clause

Do you have any other comments you'd like to make?

Notes

The zero carbon emissions bill should be scrapped immediately for the good of New Zealand. There is not enough scientific evidence not enough research to truly understand it is too early to understand emissions and emissions absorption in New Zealand Evidence from environmental agencies supporting the carbon emissions bill shows clearly that the scientific research is far too crude to be put into any type of political reform please check the evidence used by the environmental agencies pushing this bill

You have elected to withhold your personal details from publication.

