

Your submission to Zero Carbon Bill

Reference no: 9573

Submitter Type: Individual

Clause

1. What process should the Government use to set a new emissions reduction target in legislation?

Notes

Man made climate change & the Science is UNPROVEN. No action needs to be or should be taken. No emission targets regulated will make any difference to the worlds climate which will change naturally through many other factors outside of mans control, so this is a waste of time, money, expense & any BS tax collected as a consequence should be put to better use, ie Healthcare. This survey/submission is also BS as there is no option for the realists to state that this contrivance about man being responsible for the changing weather is all a gross political manipulation.

Clause

2. If the Government sets a 2050 target now, which is the best target for New Zealand?

Notes

Man made climate change & the Science is UNPROVEN. No action needs to be or should be taken. No emission targets regulated will make any difference to the worlds climate which will change naturally through many other factors outside of mans control, so this is a waste of time, money, expense & any BS tax collected as a consequence should be put to better use, ie Healthcare. This survey/submission is also BS as there is no option for the realists to state that this contrivance about man being responsible for the changing weather is all a gross political manipulation.

Clause

3. How should New Zealand meet its targets?

Notes

Man made climate change & the Science is UNPROVEN. No action needs to be or should be taken. No emission targets regulated will make any difference to the worlds climate which will change naturally through many other factors outside of mans control, so this is a waste of time, money, expense & any BS tax collected as a consequence should be put to better use, ie Healthcare. This survey/submission is also BS as there is no option for the realists to state that this contrivance about man being responsible for the changing weather is all a gross political manipulation.

Clause

4. Should the Zero Carbon Bill allow the 2050 target to be revised if circumstances change?

Position

Yes

Notes

Downwards, or completely repealed or abolished since man made climate change & the Science is UNPROVEN. No action needs to be or should be taken. No emission targets regulated will make any difference to the worlds climate which will change naturally through many other factors outside of mans control, so this is a waste of time, money, expense & any BS tax collected as a consequence should be put to better use, ie Healthcare. This survey/submission is also BS as there is no option for the realists to state that this contrivance about man being responsible for the changing weather is all a gross political manipulation.

Clause

5. The Government proposes that three emissions budgets of five years each (i.e. covering the next 15 years) be in place at any given time. Do you agree with this proposal?

Position

No

Notes

Man made climate change & the Science is UNPROVEN. No action needs to be or should be taken. No emission targets regulated will make any difference to the worlds climate which will change naturally through many other factors outside of mans control, so this is a waste of time, money, expense & any BS tax collected as a consequence should be put to better use, ie Healthcare. This survey/submission is also BS as there is no option for the realists to state that this contrivance about man being responsible for the changing weather is all a gross political manipulation.

Clause

6. Should the Government be able to alter the last emissions budget (i.e. furthest into the future)?

Position

Yes - each incoming Government should have the option to review the third budget in the sequence

Notes

Man made climate change & the Science is UNPROVEN. No action needs to be or should be taken. No emission targets regulated will make any difference to the worlds climate which will change naturally through many other factors outside of mans control, so this is a waste of time, money, expense & any BS tax collected as a consequence should be put to better use, ie Healthcare. This survey/submission is also BS as there is no option for the realists to state that this contrivance about man being responsible for the changing weather is all a gross political manipulation.

Clause

7. Should the Government have the ability to review and adjust the second emissions budget within a specific range under exceptional circumstances? See p36 Our Climate Your Say

Position

Yes

Notes

Man made climate change & the Science is UNPROVEN. No action needs to be or should be taken. No emission targets regulated will make any difference to the worlds climate which will change naturally through many other factors outside of mans control, so this is a waste of time, money, expense & any BS tax collected as a consequence should be put to better use, ie Healthcare. This survey/submission is also BS as there is no option for the realists to state that this contrivance about man being responsible for the changing weather is all a gross political manipulation.

Clause

8. Do you agree with the considerations we propose that the Government and the Climate Change Commission take into account when advising on and setting budgets? See p44 Our Climate Your Say

Notes

Man made climate change & the Science is UNPROVEN. No action needs to be or should be taken. No emission targets regulated will make any difference to the worlds climate which will change naturally through many other factors outside of mans control, so this is a waste of time, money, expense & any BS tax collected as a consequence should be put to better use, ie Healthcare. This survey/submission is also BS as there is no option for the realists to state that this contrivance about man being responsible for the changing weather is all a gross political manipulation.

Clause

9. Should the Zero Carbon Bill require Governments to set out plans within a certain timeframe to achieve the emissions budgets?

Position

No

Notes

Man made climate change & the Science is UNPROVEN. No action needs to be or should be taken. No emission targets regulated will make any difference to the worlds climate which will change naturally through many other factors outside of mans control, so this is a waste of time, money, expense & any BS tax collected as a consequence should be put to better use, ie Healthcare. This survey/submission is also BS as there is no option for the realists to state that this contrivance about man being responsible for the changing weather is all a gross political manipulation.

Clause

10. What are the most important issues for the Government to consider in setting plans to meet budgets? For example, who do we need to work with, what else needs to be considered?

Notes

Perhaps look at the realistic truth and not the manipulated, brainwashed self interested scientists that incidentally do not form 97% consensus agreement. More manipulated media hyped BS

Clause

11. The Government has proposed that the Climate Change Commission advises on and monitors New Zealand's progress towards its goals. Do you agree with these functions? See p42 Our Climate Your Say

Position

No

Notes

Man made climate change & the Science is UNPROVEN. No action needs to be or should be taken. No emission targets regulated will make any difference to the worlds climate which will change naturally through many other factors outside of mans control, so this is a waste of time, money, expense & any BS tax collected as a consequence should be put to better use, ie Healthcare. This survey/submission is also BS as there is no option for the realists to state that this contrivance about man being responsible for the changing weather is all a gross political manipulation.

Clause

12. What role do you think the Climate Change Commission should have in relation to the New Zealand Emissions Trading Scheme (NZ ETS)?

Notes

None. It should be abolished

Clause

13. The Government has proposed that Climate Change Commissioners need to have a range of essential and desirable expertise. Do you agree with the proposed expertise? See p45 Our Climate Your Say

Position

No

Notes

How you can be an expert on something that is untruthful?

Clause

14. Do you think the Zero Carbon Bill should cover adapting to climate change?

Position

No

Notes

Man made climate change & the Science is UNPROVEN. No action needs to be or should be taken. No emission targets regulated will make any difference to the worlds climate which will change naturally through many other factors outside of mans control, so this is a waste of time, money, expense & any BS tax collected as a consequence should be put to better use, ie Healthcare. This survey/submission is also BS as there is no option for the realists to state that this contrivance about man being responsible for the changing weather is all a gross political manipulation.

Clause

15. The Government has proposed a number of new functions to help us adapt to climate change. Do you agree with the proposed functions? See p47 Our Climate Your Say

Position

No

Notes

Man made climate change & the Science is UNPROVEN. No action needs to be or should be taken. No emission targets regulated will make any difference to the worlds climate which will change naturally through many other factors outside of mans control, so this is a waste of time, money, expense & any BS tax collected as a consequence should be put to better use, ie Healthcare. This survey/submission is also BS as there is no option for the realists to state that this contrivance about man being responsible for the changing weather is all a gross political manipulation.

Clause

16. Should we explore setting up a targeted adaptation reporting power that could see some organisations share information on their exposure to climate change risks?

Position

No

Notes

What? And waste even more precious financial resources on an issue that won't be stopped by the worlds population. No more gravy trains needed. This country is already stuffed with this climate change BS rehetoric, and we don't need any more regulatory BS controlling groups/committees or otherwise to bleed the average consumer or resident dry of their wealth (what little they have left after this Government is finished with them)

Clause

Do you have any other comments you'd like to make?

Notes

I do NOT give consent for my name nor this submission or any parts of this submission to be published in any article, newspaper, or other printed publication or made public on any website.