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2050 target

1. What process should the Government use to set a new emissions reduction target in legislation?

Pick one:

- The Government sets a 2050 target in legislation now
- The Government sets a goal to reach net zero emissions by the second half of the century, and the Climate Change Commission advises on the specific target for the Government to set later.

Optional comment
2. If the Government sets a 2050 target now which is the best target for New Zealand?

Pick one:

- [ ] net zero carbon dioxide: Reducing net carbon dioxide emissions to zero by 2050
- [ ] net zero long-lived gases and stabilised short-lived gases: Long-lived gases to net zero by 2050, while also stabilising short-lived gases
- [ ] net zero emissions: Net zero emissions across all greenhouse gases by 2050.

Optional comment

3. How should New Zealand meet its targets?

Pick one:

- [ ] domestic emissions reductions only (including from new forest planting)
- [ ] domestic emissions reductions (including from new forest planting) and using some emissions reductions from overseas (international carbon units) that have strong environmental safeguards.

Optional comment

4. Should the Zero Carbon Bill allow the 2050 target to be revised if circumstances change?

Pick one:

- [ ] yes
- [ ] no.

Optional comment

The 2050 target should not be altered in response to “economic changes” as this undermines its long-term certainty. However, the ability to revise the 2050 target to be more ambitious, in light of major changes in scientific understanding or international agreements should be permitted.

Emissions budgets

5. The Government proposes that three emissions budgets of five years each (ie, covering the next 15 years) be in place at any given time. Do you agree with this proposal?

Pick one:

- [ ] yes
- [ ] no.

Optional comment

I agree with 5-year budgets set 10-15 years in advance, so that three are in effect at all times.

6. Should the Government be able to alter the last emissions budget (ie, furthest into the future)?

Pick one:

- [ ] yes, each incoming Government should have the option to review the third budget in the sequence
- [ ] yes, the third emissions budget should be able to be changed, but only when the subsequent budget is set
- [ ] no, emissions budgets should not be able to be changed.

Optional comment

Emissions budgets should not be altered in response to “economic changes” as this undermines their long-term certainty. However, the ability to revise the 2050 target to be more ambitious, in light of major changes in scientific understanding or international agreements should be permitted.
7. Should the Government have the ability to review and adjust the second emissions budget within a specific range under exceptional circumstances?

Pick one:
- yes
- no.

Optional comment

Emissions budgets should not be altered in response to "economic changes" as this undermines their long-term certainty. However, the ability to revise the 2050 target to be more ambitious, in light of major changes in scientific understanding or international agreements should be permitted.

8. Do you agree with the considerations we propose that the Government and the Climate Change Commission take into account when advising on and setting budgets?

Pick one:
- yes
- no.

Optional comment

I agree that the Government and the Climate Change Commission should take the following factors into consideration when advising on and setting budgets:

- scientific knowledge regarding climate change
- technology relevant to climate change
- economic circumstances and the likely impact of a decision on the economy, as well as the competitiveness of particular sectors of the economy
- fiscal circumstances and the likely impact of the decision on taxation, public spending and public borrowing
- social circumstances and the likely impact of a decision on fuel poverty
- energy policy and the likely impact of a decision on energy supplies and the carbon and energy intensity of the economy.

We also need to consider our obligations under Te Tiriti o Waitangi as well as Fairness (intergenerational justice and a just transition), cost-effectiveness, comprehensiveness and environmental sustainability.

Government response

9. Should the Zero Carbon Bill require Governments to set out plans within a certain timeframe to achieve the emissions budgets?

Pick one:
- yes
- no.

Optional comment

We must learn from the mistakes of the UK’s Climate Change Act and specify a strict timeframe for producing a plan.

10. What are the most important issues for the Government to consider in setting plans to meet budgets? For example, who do we need to work with, what else needs to be considered?

Comment

The Government’s policy plans to meet emission budgets should be comprehensive, fair, cost-effective, environmentally sustainable, and reflect a commitment to Te Tiriti o Waitangi.

In setting plans to meet the emissions budgets, the government should be:

- consulting and working with Local Government to encourage them to mitigate and adapt to climate change
- considering the relative consumption emissions and other environmental impacts when weighing up
mitigation and adaptation measures e.g. the emissions cost of producing electric cars overseas
- considering the impact of its adoption and mitigation measures on other planetary boundaries i.e. biodiversity, nutrient pollution.
- taking into account New Zealand’s contribution to international aviation and maritime emissions.
- making sure they're based on the best science available
- ensuring that they deliver fair outcomes for Kiwis
- reflecting the principle of a Just Transition for workers
- honouring Te Tiriti o Waitangi
- being environmentally sustainable, especially for New Zealand’s unique native plants and animals
- maximising the impact of money spent and being cost-effective
- encouraging innovation
- unlocking economic opportunities for New Zealanders, such as in renewable energy
- honouring our international commitments, including under the Paris Agreement
- consulting people who may be economically affected, like farmers or businesses
- consulting tangata whenua
- consulting communities already facing the physical impacts of climate change

Climate Change Commission

11. The Government has proposed that the Climate Change Commission advises on and monitors New Zealand’s progress towards its goals. Do you agree with these functions?

Pick one:

- yes
- no.

**Optional comment**

I believe that the Commission should not be a decision-making body. I support the Climate Commission having two functions: 1. Providing expert advice and 2. Monitoring our progress and holding the government accountable.

It should not be a decision-making body as it would lead to a lack of democratic accountability, it becoming a comprised watch dog and that it would not have the ability to implement comprehensive policy plans.

12. What role do you think the Climate Change Commission should have in relation to the New Zealand Emissions Trading Scheme (NZ ETS)?

Pick one:

- advising the Government on policy settings in the NZ ETS
- makes decisions itself, in respect of the number of units available in the NZ ETS.

**Optional comment**

The Commission should advise the Government on policy settings in the NZ ETS. It should not make decisions itself with respect to the number of units available in the NZ ETS, or its implementation.

13. The Government has proposed that Climate Change Commissioners need to have a range of essential and desirable expertise. Do you agree with the proposed expertise?

Pick one:

- yes
- no.

**Optional comment**

I agree with the following collective expertise:

- climate change policy (including emissions trading)
- resource economics and impacts (including social impacts, labour markets and distribution)
- te Tiriti o Waitangi, te reo me ona tikanga Māori and Māori
interests
- climate and environmental science including mātauranga Māori
- experience with addressing adaptation challenges like planning, insurance and local government
- risk management
- engineering and/or infrastructure
- community engagement and communications
- business competitiveness
- knowledge of the public and private innovation and technology development system
- I also think expertise in public health is also important.

Adapting to the impacts of climate change

14. Do you think the Zero Carbon Bill should cover adapting to climate change?
Pick one:
- yes
- no

Optional comment
This may require a separate adaptation sub-committee within the Climate Commission.

15. The Government has proposed a number of new functions to help us adapt to climate change. Do you agree with the proposed functions?
Pick one:
- yes
- no.

Optional comment
I agree with the proposed functions below:
- a national climate change risk assessment
- a national adaptation plan
- regular review of progress towards implementing the national adaptation plan
- an adaptation reporting power
Care will need to be taken in managing the interaction between national and local government roles.

16. Should we explore setting up a targeted adaptation reporting power that could see some organisations share information on their exposure to climate change risks?
Pick one:
- yes
- no.

Optional comment
Yes. This would let the government and the public know about the risks to public and other infrastructure, and make these organisations better informed about the risks they face.

17. Do you have any other comments you’d like to make?

Optional comment