Clause 1. What process should the Government use to set a new emissions reduction target in legislation?

Notes
The current data is only based on models that do not include the whole picture, i.e. pastural grasses. The climate science is also biased due to the funding system, in that any science against politician’s will result in a lose of funding. Any science funded by industry against what the change commission is said to be biased and thus rejected, but this is not the case in reverse. Animals should not be included unless the increase in human numbers is also included for the same reasons.

Clause 2. If the Government sets a 2050 target now, which is the best target for New Zealand?

Position
Net Zero Carbon Dioxide - Reducing net carbon dioxide emissions to zero by 2050

Notes
The best targets are to reduce man made carbon dioxide emissions - also remember life would not exist without greenhouse gases.

Clause 3. How should New Zealand meet its targets?

Notes
By understanding the actual science versus the polltical and environmental unscientific people views based on biased science due to the funding system (i.e. scientific people lose their jobs if they go against the tide)

Clause 4. Should the Zero Carbon Bill allow the 2050 target to be revised if circumstances change?

Position
Yes

Notes
But only if it is specified.

Clause 5. The Government proposes that three emissions budgets of five years each (i.e. covering the next 15 years) be in place at any given time. Do you agree with this proposal?

Position
No

Notes

Clause 6. Should the Government be able to alter the last emissions budget (i.e. furthest into the future)?

Position
Yes - each incoming Government should have the option to review the third budget in the sequence

Notes

Clause 7. Should the Government have the ability to review and adjust the second emissions budget within a specific range under exceptional circumstances? See p36 Our Climate Your Say

Notes
This is really just an economic rebalancing act and is not actually going to reduce emissions.

Clause 8. Do you agree with the considerations we propose that the Government and the Climate Change Commission take into account when advising on and setting budgets? See p44 Our Climate Your Say

Notes
This really just an economic rebalancing act and is not actually going to reduce emissions.

**Clause 9.** Should the Zero Carbon Bill require Governments to set out plans within a certain timeframe to achieve the emissions budgets?

**Position**
No

**Notes**

**Clause 10.** What are the most important issues for the Government to consider in setting plans to meet budgets? For example, who do we need to work with, what else needs to be considered?

**Notes**
That the science is balanced, and the data is actually correct, before putting costs on that make the farming industry pay for sometime that is not correct. Also need to understand that life cannot exist without greenhouse gases. So only the man made CO2 should be included, not gases produced by animals or humans. If you include animals, then you also need to include humans as our numbers have increased considerably, where as the animal numbers have not increased.

**Clause 11.** The Government has proposed that the Climate Change Commission advises on and monitors New Zealand's progress towards its goals. Do you agree with these functions? See p42 Our Climate Your Say

**Position**
No

**Notes**
Only if it is to do with man made emissions, and is not bias against anyone particular sector.

**Clause 12.** What role do you think the Climate Change Commission should have in relation to the New Zealand Emissions Trading Scheme (NZ ETS)?

**Notes**
Should not be environmentalist with a set position already.

**Clause 13.** The Government has proposed that Climate Change Commissioners need to have a range of essential and desirable expertise. Do you agree with the proposed expertise? See p45 Our Climate Your Say

**Position**
Yes

**Notes**
Should not be environmentalist with a set position already.

**Clause 14.** Do you think the Zero Carbon Bill should cover adapting to climate change?

**Position**
No

**Notes**
Over the thousands of years the climate has changed without man's interference. One large volcanic eruption could plunge us into an iceage, we need to be adaptable, and careful. Indeed one large earthquake can raise or drop the sea level in minutes.

**Clause 15.** The Government has proposed a number of new functions to help us adapt to climate change. Do you agree with the proposed functions? See p47 Our Climate Your Say

**Position**
No

**Notes**
Over the thousands of years the climate has changed without man's interference. One large volcanic eruption could plunge us into an iceage, we need to be adaptable, and careful. Indeed one large earthquake can raise or drop the sea level in minutes.

**Clause 16.** Should we explore setting up a targeted adaptation reporting power that could see some organisations share information on their exposure to climate change risks?

**Position**
No

**Notes**