

# Your submission to Zero Carbon Bill

Campbell Knox

## Reference no: 7232

Submitter Type: Individual

### Clause

1. What process should the Government use to set a new emissions reduction target in legislation?

### Notes

NIL

### Clause

2. If the Government sets a 2050 target now, which is the best target for New Zealand?

### Notes

NONE

### Clause

3. How should New Zealand meet its targets?

### Notes

By not having any

### Clause

5. The Government proposes that three emissions budgets of five years each (i.e. covering the next 15 years) be in place at any given time. Do you agree with this proposal?

### Position

No

### Notes

### Clause

6. Should the Government be able to alter the last emissions budget (i.e. furthest into the future)?

### Position

Yes - each incoming Government should have the option to review the third budget in the sequence

### Notes

### Clause

8. Do you agree with the considerations we propose that the Government and the Climate Change Commission take into account when advising on and setting budgets? See p44 Our Climate Your Say

### Position

No

### Notes

### Clause

9. Should the Zero Carbon Bill require Governments to set out plans within a certain timeframe to achieve the emissions budgets?

### Position

No

### Notes

### Clause

10. What are the most important issues for the Government to consider in setting plans to meet budgets? For example, who do we need to work with, what else needs to be considered?

### Notes

Common sense and logic would be a fantastic starting point

### Clause

11. The Government has proposed that the Climate Change Commission advises on and monitors New Zealand's progress towards its goals. Do you agree with these functions? See p42 Our Climate Your Say

**Position**

No

**Notes****Clause**

12. What role do you think the Climate Change Commission should have in relation to the New Zealand Emissions Trading Scheme (NZ ETS)?

**Notes**

NONE

**Clause**

13. The Government has proposed that Climate Change Commissioners need to have a range of essential and desirable expertise. Do you agree with the proposed expertise? See p45 Our Climate Your Say

**Notes**

Expertise? No evidence of that .

**Clause**

14. Do you think the Zero Carbon Bill should cover adapting to climate change?

**Position**

No

**Notes****Clause**

15. The Government has proposed a number of new functions to help us adapt to climate change. Do you agree with the proposed functions? See p47 Our Climate Your Say

**Position**

No

**Notes****Clause**

16. Should we explore setting up a targeted adaptation reporting power that could see some organisations share information on their exposure to climate change risks?

**Position**

No

**Notes****Clause**

Do you have any other comments you'd like to make?

**Notes**

Only naive and scientifically destitute diletantes believe in climate change. A good start is to work out the difference between weather and climate. Weather is an incredibly complex and chaotic system controlled by the sun and sunspot activity, the tilt of the earth's axis, the orbit around the sun and the earth's magnetic field to name but a few. Carbon is the building block of ALL life on earth. CO2 levels have been triple what they are now at various times in history. Carbon greens the planet. There is NO scientific evidence supporting climate change. Believers have been totally conned by Gore showing videos of melting icebergs. The Antarctic ice sheet is increasing. The evidence is overwhelming and incontrovertible but evident only to those with the common sense and acumen to drill down and discover it. Climate change? Prove it using evidence based scientific data, not videos of melting icebergs.