

Your submission to Zero Carbon Bill

Terry Bunn

Reference no: 2427

Submitter Type: Individual

Clause

1. What process should the Government use to set a new emissions reduction target in legislation?

Notes

It should NOT set a target. Climate is, and always has, changed. Any supposed anthropogenic C emissions are attributable ONLY to exhaust gas emissions from fossil-fuel burning in internal combustion engines. The rest of the supposed C emissions are a figment of the Green's red-tinged imagination.

Clause

2. If the Government sets a 2050 target now, which is the best target for New Zealand?

Notes

It should NOT set a target. Climate change is a figment of the Green's red-tinged imagination.

Clause

3. How should New Zealand meet its targets?

Notes

New forest planting only has a temporary effect on CO2 levels (once a climax community is reached NO net sequestering of C occurs)
- no difference from sequestering C in soil on a farm.

Clause

4. Should the Zero Carbon Bill allow the 2050 target to be revised if circumstances change?

Notes

No

Clause

5. The Government proposes that three emissions budgets of five years each (i.e. covering the next 15 years) be in place at any given time. Do you agree with this proposal?

Position

No

Notes

Clause

6. Should the Government be able to alter the last emissions budget (i.e. furthest into the future)?

Position

Yes - each incoming Government should have the option to review the third budget in the sequence

Notes

Someday a government will understand that this and other similar governments have been hoodwinked ...

Clause

7. Should the Government have the ability to review and adjust the second emissions budget within a specific range under exceptional circumstances? See p36 Our Climate Your Say

Position

Yes

Notes

Someday a government will understand that this and other similar governments have been hoodwinked ...

Clause

8. Do you agree with the considerations we propose that the Government and the Climate Change Commission take into account when advising on and setting budgets? See p44 Our Climate Your Say

Position

No

Notes

Clause

9. Should the Zero Carbon Bill require Governments to set out plans within a certain timeframe to achieve the emissions budgets?

Position

No

Notes

Someday a government will understand that this and other similar governments have been hoodwinked ...

Clause

10. What are the most important issues for the Government to consider in setting plans to meet budgets? For example, who do we need to work with, what else needs to be considered?

Notes

You need to talk to people who understand the C cycle. Agriculture has NO NET carbon emissions. If anything, increasing soil depths on farms is C sequestration.

Clause

11. The Government has proposed that the Climate Change Commission advises on and monitors New Zealand's progress towards its goals. Do you agree with these functions? See p42 Our Climate Your Say

Position

No

Notes**Clause**

12. What role do you think the Climate Change Commission should have in relation to the New Zealand Emissions Trading Scheme (NZ ETS)?

Notes

The NZ ETS is ludicrous. Forest planting only has a temporary effect on CO2 levels (once a climax community is reached NO net sequestering of C occurs) - no difference from sequestering C in soil on a farm. So in the end the NZ ETS will achieve nothing to reduce C levels in the atmosphere.

Clause

13. The Government has proposed that Climate Change Commissioners need to have a range of essential and desirable expertise. Do you agree with the proposed expertise? See p45 Our Climate Your Say

Position

No

Notes

The experts I listen to seem to be blissfully (or deliberately?) ignorant of the C cycle and seem to have a pre-set agenda on what is causing increasing C levels.

Clause

14. Do you think the Zero Carbon Bill should cover adapting to climate change?

Position

No

Notes

Do you know that climate change MUST always occur? Every time a cloud covers the sun we have climate change.

Clause

15. The Government has proposed a number of new functions to help us adapt to climate change. Do you agree with the proposed functions? See p47 Our Climate Your Say

Position

No

Notes

NOTHING can adapt to CHANGE. By definition, if something changes, as soon as you adapt to the change things have changed so you are behind again.

Clause

16. Should we explore setting up a targeted adaptation reporting power that could see some organisations share information on their exposure to climate change risks?

Position

No

Notes

NOTHING can adapt to CHANGE. By definition, if something changes, as soon as you adapt to the change things have changed so you are behind again.

Clause

Do you have any other comments you'd like to make?

Notes

I am appalled at the misinformation that surrounds 'climate change'. Those who promulgate the illusion most are those who know least about it - ie the Green Party. I have looked at their academic credentials - note one Green MP has any science background at all. Those scientists who claim to know about climate change tend to cherry-pick their data because being a climate-change believer is a guarantee of funding.

Supporting documents from your Submission

What_carbon_emissions.pdf

Uploaded on 07/02/2018 at 03:02PM