

# Your submission to Zero Carbon Bill

## Reference no: 1763

**Submitter Type:** Business / Industry

### Clause

1. What process should the Government use to set a new emissions reduction target in legislation?

### Notes

There remains no real-life evidence that dangerous man-made global warming exists. The weather events that climate scientists highlight as being proof of runaway climate change are not outside the bounds of natural variability

### Clause

2. If the Government sets a 2050 target now, which is the best target for New Zealand?

### Notes

There is no need to enforce this legislation as the science is not settled and the negative effect on the NZ economy will be significant

### Clause

3. How should New Zealand meet its targets?

### Notes

The targets are not required

### Clause

4. Should the Zero Carbon Bill allow the 2050 target to be revised if circumstances change?

### Notes

as above

### Clause

5. The Government proposes that three emissions budgets of five years each (i.e. covering the next 15 years) be in place at any given time. Do you agree with this proposal?

### Position

No

### Notes

### Clause

7. Should the Government have the ability to review and adjust the second emissions budget within a specific range under exceptional circumstances? See p36 Our Climate Your Say

### Position

No

### Notes

### Clause

8. Do you agree with the considerations we propose that the Government and the Climate Change Commission take into account when advising on and setting budgets? See p44 Our Climate Your Say

### Position

No

### Notes

### Clause

9. Should the Zero Carbon Bill require Governments to set out plans within a certain timeframe to achieve the emissions budgets?

### Position

No

### Notes

### Clause

10. What are the most important issues for the Government to consider in setting plans to meet budgets? For example, who do we need to work with, what else needs to be considered?

**Notes**

The Government must consider the economic damage this Bill will cause to NZ

**Clause**

11. The Government has proposed that the Climate Change Commission advises on and monitors New Zealand's progress towards its goals. Do you agree with these functions? See p42 Our Climate Your Say

**Position**

No

**Notes****Clause**

12. What role do you think the Climate Change Commission should have in relation to the New Zealand Emissions Trading Scheme (NZ ETS)?

**Notes**

There is no need for a Climate Change Commission

**Clause**

13. The Government has proposed that Climate Change Commissioners need to have a range of essential and desirable expertise. Do you agree with the proposed expertise? See p45 Our Climate Your Say

**Position**

No

**Notes****Clause**

14. Do you think the Zero Carbon Bill should cover adapting to climate change?

**Position**

No

**Notes****Clause**

15. The Government has proposed a number of new functions to help us adapt to climate change. Do you agree with the proposed functions? See p47 Our Climate Your Say

**Position**

No

**Notes****Clause**

16. Should we explore setting up a targeted adaptation reporting power that could see some organisations share information on their exposure to climate change risks?

**Position**

Yes

**Notes**

Agriculture will be unfairly penalised. Since livestock are carbon neutral, methane from agriculture should not be included in emissions targets. Without methane, New Zealand would have one of the smallest greenhouse gas emissions profiles in the developed world.

**Clause**

Do you have any other comments you'd like to make?

**Notes**

Passing this bill is economic suicide for New Zealand.

**You have elected to withhold your personal details from publication.**