

RecordId	47
time_submitted	8/07/2010 16:18
Name	Ash Anya
Organisation/Agency	CSP Coating Systems
Address	PO Box 11165, Ellerslie, Auckland, NZ
Phone	21399516
Email	ashar@fcsp.co.nz
In favor of 4a?	No
Reason/s	The additional costs to industry to implement the offset would potentially make the industry non compliant when compared to a similar industry that is not in a gazetted airshed. In addition industry is generally not the main contributor to the ambient concentrations of PM10, as has been determined as one of the reasons for undertaking this review and the comments made by the Auckland Regional Council. Therefore it should not be penalised for the contribution to poor air quality from other non regulated sources such as motor vehicles or home heating.
Any changes?	Yes
Suggested changes	The issue of implementation of offsets needs to be included in the NES if this option is chosen. If offsets were introduced due to option 4a being implemented the administration of the offset process should be defined. It would be best for the Regional Council to implement the process of arranging offsets as private individuals would generally not like to deal with industry on a one to one level, and may be suspicious of it-its intent with the process. District/City Councils are likely to have information on the sources in their area which may be able to be offset, and are likely to be the ones implementing emission reductions with the Regional Councils through other programmes. It would seem more suitable for industry to provide financial contributions to the authority to implement the offset process.
In favor of 4b?	Yes
Reason/s	All industry will be under the same regulatory regime under this option regardless of the quality of the airshed and therefore there is no competitive disadvantage from being in a non complying airshed. This is appropriate as the additional costs to industry to implement an offset due to it being located in a non compliant airshed would mean that an industry that is not in a gazetted airshed would have a competitive advantage. In addition, as industry is not the main contributor to the ambient concentrations of PM10, as has been determined as one of the reasons for undertaking this review and the comments made by the Auckland Regional Council, industry should not be penalised for the contribution to poor air quality from other non regulated sources such as motor vehicles or home heating emissions
Any changes?	No
Suggested changes	None, the review of the NES as a whole is supported by industry
CB accurately reflected?	0
How can estimates be improved?	No Comment
Any overlooked costs?	No Comment
Information you'd like included	No Comment
Increase from 1 to 3	Yes
Exclude exc events	Yes
Mandatory offsets	0
No industry restrictions	Yes
Extend to 2018	Yes
Mandatory reporting	Yes
S 27	Yes
AQCS	Yes
Funding links	Yes

