



Name Nadine Seidel

Organisation (if applicable)

[Redacted]

[Redacted]

[Redacted]

Submitter type Individual

Releasing submissions

1. Do you agree that overall water quality should be maintained or improved within a freshwater management unit rather than within a region? Why or why not? No

Why or why not?

Each region is different and requires different ways of improving water quality and managing resources.

2. How should the attributes be applied, or the values protected, in giving effect to the requirement to maintain or improve overall water quality? Please explain.

3. What is an appropriate way to include measures of macroinvertebrates in the National Policy Statement for Freshwater Management? What alternative measures could be used for monitoring ecosystem health?

4. What information should be required in a request to include significant infrastructure in Appendix 3 of the National Policy Statement for Freshwater Management, and why would this information be important?

5. Do you agree with applying lake attributes and national bottom lines to intermittently closing or opening lakes or lagoons? Why or why not? Yes

Do you agree with applying lake attributes and national bottom lines to intermittently closing or opening lakes or lagoons? Why or why not?

6. What information should be required in a request to list a water body in Appendix 4 of the National Policy Statement for Freshwater Management, and why would this information be important?

7. Do you agree with the proposed requirements and deadlines for excluding livestock from water bodies? Why or why not? Yes

7. Do you agree with the proposed requirements and deadlines for excluding livestock from water bodies? Why or why not?

8. Should standards for efficient water use be developed?

Selling fresh water overseas is currently not giving New Zealand as a whole nation any benefit. We need to know how much water we have underground to ensure we do not deplete our groundwater. We need to develop measures to ensure we are using our water efficiently and effectively to benefit all New Zealanders. We must ensure there is a fair way of generating an income for local communities and iwi's whose resources are being taken. It is an atrocity to think overseas businesses are making millions of dollars of profit by selling our water. The ability to gain resource consents to withdraw and export water from the ground and sell overseas must carefully be revisited to ensure no long term damage is made to the land and the New Zealand people. Fresh drinking water for

human consumption will be in huge demand in the future and we must ensure we safeguard our natural assets - not provide further opportunities for individual businesses to make large amounts of money at the cost of your average New Zealander. All resourcing consent processes must take into account all shareholders and ensure no harm is done to anyone prior to it being approved and without the provision of being able to revisit these consents every year. Our natural fresh water resource can dry up quickly and we must remain alert and be able to respond quickly to be able to stop any long term damage being done.

9. Do you support easier transfer of consents? Do you think the changes outlined in Proposal 2.4 would better enable transfers? What other changes would better enable transfers?

No I don't support the transfer of consents in regards to commercial use of water. I don't think it's good to support the exploitation of our resources. Make it difficult and tedious to apply for a consent to bottle and sell water.

10. How should the Government help councils and communities address over-allocation for water quality and water quantity? Should it provide guidance, rules or something else (please specify)?

I think it should provide financial assistance to measure the amounts of water being extracted and used. The government should have a publicly available database of the nation wide amount of water consumed broken down into different regions.

11. Should councils have greater flexibility in how they meet the costs of improving freshwater management? For example, by recovering costs from water users and those who discharge to water? Please provide examples. Yes, councils should be able to make individual decisions on who to charge for what. There should be a user pays system. If you use fresh water for any business purpose you should have to pay for the entire water treatment if it is polluted.

12. How can the Government help councils and communities to better interpret and apply Te Mana o te Wai in their region?

13. Should councils be required to identify and record iwi/hap? relationships with freshwater bodies, and how should they do it?

14. What would support councils and iwi/hap? to engage about their values for freshwater bodies?

15. What are your views on the proposal for a new rohe-based agreement between iwi and councils for natural resource management? What type of support would be helpful for councils and iwi to implement these to enable better iwi/hap? engagement in natural

16. What are your views of the proposed amendments to water conservation orders? Outline any issues you see with the process and protection afforded by water conservation orders?

17. If you are involved with a marae or live in a papak?inga, does it have access to clean, safe drinking water? What would improve access to clean, safe drinking water for your marae or papak?inga?

18. Do you agree with the proposed criteria for the Freshwater Improvement Fund? Why or why not?

19. Do you have any further comments you wish to make about the Government's proposals?