



Name Jonathan Crawford

Organisation (if applicable)

[Redacted]
[Redacted]
[Redacted]
[Redacted]
[Redacted]

1. Do you agree that overall water quality should be maintained or improved within a freshwater management unit rather than within a region? Why or why not?

Why or why not? I have no strong views on this

2. How should the attributes be applied, or the values protected, in giving effect to the requirement to maintain or improve overall water quality? Please explain. By experts in consultation with all citizens, not a racially selected minority

3. What is an appropriate way to include measures of macroinvertebrates in the National Policy Statement for Freshwater Management? What alternative measures could be used for monitoring ecosystem health? No comment. I have no expertise on this question

4. What information should be required in a request to include significant infrastructure in Appendix 3 of the National Policy Statement for Freshwater Management, and why would this information be important?

5. Do you agree with applying lake attributes and national bottom lines to intermittently closing or opening lakes or lagoons? Why or why not?

Do you agree with applying lake attributes and national bottom lines to intermittently closing or opening lakes or lagoons? Why or why not?

6. What information should be required in a request to list a water body in Appendix 4 of the National Policy Statement for Freshwater Management, and why would this information be important?

7. Do you agree with the proposed requirements and deadlines for excluding livestock from water bodies? Why or why not?

7. Do you agree with the proposed requirements and deadlines for excluding livestock from water bodies? Why or why not?

8. Should standards for efficient water use be developed?

9. Do you support easier transfer of consents? Do you think the changes outlined in Proposal 2.4 would better enable transfers? What other changes would better enable transfers?

10. How should the Government help councils and communities address over-allocation for water quality and water quantity? Should it provide guidance, rules or something else (please specify)?



11. Should councils have greater flexibility in how they meet the costs of improving freshwater management? For example, by recovering costs from water users and those who discharge to water? Please provide examples.

12. How can the Government help councils and communities to better interpret and apply Te Mana o te Wai in their region?

This question is fundamentally misconceived as it makes the racist assumption that Maori have a greater right to water than the majority of the population who are not Maori. Maori did not own special rights over water in 1840, and if the Government creates those racially based rights now that will be a massive theft from the majority of the population who are not Maori. The law and common sense are equally clear that Maori do not own the rain which falls on New Zealand in 2016 (or its accumulation in lakes and rivers) because of a document signed in 1840. That never was a right which was theirs to grant or retain under the Treaty.

By framing the legislation in terms of control rather than honestly calling it ownership the Government is perpetrating a fraud on the majority of the population. The right of control in perpetuity is obviously tantamount to the right of ownership. Any business that perpetrated this kind of misrepresentation would rightly be prosecuted under the Fair Trading Act.

By delegating powers to local authorities the Government is creating a chaotic situation under which racist laws will apply in some parts of the country but not others. It is the proper function of central government to ensure that there are never any racist laws anywhere in New Zealand.

13. Should councils be required to identify and record iwi/hapū relationships with freshwater bodies, and how should they do it? No. Council records and activities should not be racially based.

14. What would support councils and iwi/hapū to engage about their values for freshwater bodies? Councils should engage with everybody equally.

15. What are your views on the proposal for a new rohe-based agreement between iwi and councils for natural resource management? What type of support would be helpful for councils and iwi to implement these to enable better iwi/hapū engagement in natural

This question makes the racist and supremacist assumption that Maori have greater expertise and greater concern over natural resource management including water issues. This is insulting and offensive to the majority of the population who are not Maori. If implemented this proposal would deeply entrench racial division in New Zealand and undermine the treasured democratic principle that all people in this country are equal under the law.

16. What are your views of the proposed amendments to water conservation orders? Outline any issues you see with the process and protection afforded by water conservation orders? They should be racially neutral.

17. If you are involved with a marae or live in a papakāinga, does it have access to clean, safe drinking water? What would improve access to clean, safe drinking water for your marae or papakāinga? Clean safe drinking water should be available equally to everyone in New Zealand, not just preferentially to a racially identified group as the question suggests.

18. Do you agree with the proposed criteria for the Freshwater Improvement Fund? Why or why not? All funding should be racially neutral.

19. Do you have any further comments you wish to make about the Government's proposals? The word racist is used advisedly in this submission. The common assumption that the word applies only to white people discriminating against others is fallacious. The word in itself is neutral as to colour and applies equally to any discrimination based on race. That is exactly

Copy of your submission



Ministry for the
Environment
Manatū Mo Te Taiao

what this Bill does. It favours Maori, based only on their race and discriminates against all others, based only on their race. It will be a lasting disgrace to every MP who votes for it..