

Man-Made Global Warming

M Davison. 17 May 2018

- *“The fact that an opinion is widely held is no evidence whatever that it is not utterly absurd; indeed in view of the silliness of mankind a widespread belief is more likely to be foolish than sensible.”* -British philosopher Bertrand Russell
- In New Zealand terms it is akin to sheep following each other towards and over the cliff.
- Global Warming is “a struggle in which all standards of truth, morality and science have long been sacrificed to the desired ends”- Antony Willie
- “Freedom is never more than a generation away from extinction. We did not pass it on to our children in the bloodstream. It must be fought for, protected, and handed on for them to do the same.” Ronald Reagan
- “The accumulation of all powers, legislative, executive, and judiciary, in the same hands, whether of one, a few, or many and whether hereditary, self-appointed, or elective, may justly be pronounced the very definition of tyranny.” James Madison constitutional architect.
- “Socialism is a philosophy of failure, the greed of ignorance, and the gospel of envy. Its inherent virtue is the equal sharing of misery.” Winston Churchill.

See UN IPCC new rules - paragraph 3, page 13.

This paper is not about pollution which is a different issue. Very few people do not support cleaning up pollution. We don't need fly ash, oxides of nitrogen and sulphur or smog forming volatile hydrocarbons. But CO2 is not a pollutant, and there is no reason to control it.

For half a century now, conservatives have been mainly losing the political and cultural wars with the left because they do not understand what their adversaries are up to.

Global Warming is but a part of this horrendous program to destroy democracy and freedom and run the world with unelected socialist bureaucrats, unbelievable corruption and deceit. Most people have no idea what is going on and leave it to mainstream media to tell them. Unfortunately mainstream media is in the other camp. It is time for many more of us to take the trouble to find out what is really going on. Then decide what to do about it. Letting the system roll on would not be a happy outcome. Whenever nations have gone down the path being prescribed it has led to disaster.

Contents	Page
1. Purpose	2
2. Facts – how much the globe has really ‘warmed’ in the last 25 years	3
3. Carbon dioxide – a naturally occurring gas	4
4. Climate change science – the inconvenient truth for IPCC	7
5. What’s really going on with climate events	11
6. The real agenda – redistributing the world’s wealth	13
7. Some historical observations in relation to popular opinions	15
8. Consequences for New Zealand	16
9. Conclusions	17
10. References	18
11. Appendices	20

1. The purpose of this paper

The globe is not warming any more than it has for centuries and contrary to widespread belief. Furthermore, increased carbon dioxide (CO₂) emitted from mankind’s activities is not contributing to, or causing calamitous climatic events. Man-made, or ‘Anthropogenic’ global warming (AGW) is simply not occurring. Furthermore it is not agreed that the increasing CO₂ in the atmosphere is mainly from human activities anyway. Human activities only contribute ~3% of the CO₂ going into the atmosphere.

Global warming is a scam and a hoax. It is being used to generate fear and panic. Those behind the movement use it to control people's beliefs and for financial gain.

An uninformed and unquestioning mass of the world’s population has fallen victim to significant propaganda, causing widespread misconception. Global warming and climatic change campaigners, largely the United Nations’ Independent Panel for Climate Change (IPCC), have mounted one of the

most well funded, massive propaganda campaigns in history – and with a very compliant media on their side.

However, in informed countries, there is a ground swell of global warming refute and surging numbers of climate realists. In the USA, the lies of Al Gore, who netted hundreds of millions of dollars advocating global warming, have been revealed, and the Gallup Poll, a widely recognised barometer of American opinion, shows environmental concerns about global warming are now much lower than they were 25 years ago. Furthermore, currently, over 65% of the American public do not trust the media to report accurately. The warming skeptics (realists) are slowly and finally starting to make progress with large sectors of the world finally getting to grips with the reality of what has been going on. Britain's new government abolished its Dept of Energy and Climate Change on 14 July 2016. India will not sign the Paris Accord. China will do what she wants to, which will be nothing except in the meantime, or for as long as China likes, they will build 100s of new coal fired electric generating plants. Trump, his new Vice President nominee, and most of the republican party are climate skeptics. Trump says they will stop paying IPCC etc. (Estimated to be \$24b / yr) and pull out of the Paris accord.

This paper collates information to show how the current levels of local widespread belief in manmade global warming have come about, and provides clear evidence that the existing political agendas in New Zealand and elsewhere should cease. This paper is not anti cleaning up pollution which is another issue.

2. Facts – how much the globe has really ‘warmed’ in the last 25 years

Global warming is not happening to any greater extent than it has for hundreds of years and has not occurred at all in the last 18 years prior to the recent el nino effect. This el nino effect, which has passed now, is correcting to a cooling situation

Remote Sensing Systems (RSS) is a world leader in processing and analysing microwave data collected by satellite microwave sensors. The RSS mission is to provide research-quality geophysical data, including temperature data, to the global scientific community.

The RSS graph below dated November 2014 charts global mean temperature change. It clearly shows the consistency of those 18+ years. - 18 years of no global warming. 20 Years ago it was predicted that by now the world would be irrevocably warming up by 5-10 degrees and the sea levels would be a meter or more above what they are now. So much for the, effectively useless, computer models.



3. Carbon dioxide

Physics and Chemistry don't change they were the same billions of years ago. It just takes Homo sapiens a while to work it out.

CO₂ is a colorless, odorless, naturally occurring gas. It is the basis of most forms of life on the planet as it is necessary for photosynthesis, which creates plants, which in turn nourish animals. It is currently naturally in the earth's atmosphere as 0.04 % of 'air' – 400 parts per million (ppm). CO₂ has increased from 350ppm to 400ppm over the last ~50 years, but this is inconsequential given it has been as high as 7000ppm of the earth's air in the past with similar temperatures as today.

Importantly, CO₂ is produced by the decomposition of plant matter, and released from the oceans and other natural processes including volcanoes. A minor amount is produced by man – man-made CO₂ emissions are about ~3% of all sources of CO₂ going into the atmosphere (please see Appendix B). **So 97% of all CO₂ is naturally produced and if we were to halve CO₂ emissions at crippling costs it would only reduce CO₂ by 1.5%.**

Historically and for millions of years CO₂ levels have been over 3000ppm on this planet and sometimes around 7000ppm. Ice ages occurred during these times, as did normal temperatures. The much higher levels of CO₂ had no correlation to the planet's temperature – life kept evolving normally. According to IPCC, the more recent increase in CO₂ in the atmosphere (of just ~50ppm) will dramatically warm the planet with catastrophic climatic implications. The IPCC claims that most of the warming is caused by increases in CO₂ from burning of fossil fuels. Therefore, CO₂ emissions must be reduced – and at huge cost. This is flawed thinking.

Increasing CO₂ by just 50ppm, or even doubling it, would not make any significant difference to anything on this planet, other than making plants grow faster. Dr Patrick Moore, Cofounder of Greenpeace, who is now a global warming realist says (2013) *"the optimal level of CO₂ for plant growth is 1600 ppm, four times higher than the level today."* 400ppm is a starvation diet for plants and they die under 150ppm. Moore (2014) also says *"This is why greenhouse growers purposely inject the CO₂-rich exhaust from their gas and wood-fired heaters into the greenhouse, resulting in a 40-80 per cent increase in growth. The idea that it would be catastrophic if CO₂ were to increase... is preposterous."*

Prominent physicist Freeman Dyson (Dyson 2015) a world renowned Physicist ex Princetown : ‘It would be crazy to try to reduce CO2’ – ‘Earth is growing greener as a result of carbon dioxide’ - ‘I like carbon dioxide, it’s very good for plants. It’s good for the vegetation, the farms, essentially carbon dioxide is vital for food production, vital for wildlife.’ (10% more global greening shown on 2017 satellite pictures over the last 20 years)

Ian Plimmer an Australian Geologist and professor emeritus at the University of Melbourne, (See Plimmer,) states –

- “The volcanic eruption in Iceland in just 4 days negated every single effort you have made in the last five years to control CO2 emissions on our planet – all of you.
- There are about 200 active volcanoes on the planet spewing out this Crud at any one time EVERY DAY
- Mt Pinatubo in 1991 spewed out more greenhouse gases into the atmosphere than the entire human race has emitted in all its years on earth.
- The bush fire season across western USA and Australia alone will negate human’s efforts to reduce carbon in our world for the next 2-3 years. And it happens every year.
- We don’t hear about “Global Warming” (It is now “Climate Change”)
- Emission Trading Schemes achieve absolutely nothing except make you poorer and they wont stop volcanoes erupting, that’s for sure.”

Historical graphs of CO2 : Temperature (Berner & Kothavala, 2001) show:

DATE	INITIAL CO2 LEVEL	CHANGE IN CO2 LEVEL	TEMPERATURE CHANGE
480m years ago	7000 ppm	-3000 ppm – to 4000 ppm	No change at 22 deg C
450m years ago	4000 ppm	+500 ppm – to 4500 ppm	22 deg C to 12 deg C

It is not conclusive that increased CO2 in the atmosphere is caused by man – it is many times more likely to be caused by other natural factors.

Atmospheric scientist Murray Salby (2011) says of increasing atmospheric CO2 that *“It is ten times as likely that atmospheric CO2 is coming from natural sources, namely the warming ocean surface, as it is likely that it is coming from anthropogenic (man-made) sources. The changes in CO2 track ocean surface temperature, not global carbon emissions. Burning fossil fuels is not increasing atmospheric CO2. Recovery from the Little Ice Age, driven by the sun, is causing the oceans to release CO2. It is temperature driving CO2 release, not the other way around. Just as it has always been.”* Salby has since been dismissed from Sydney University, for making these statements! The statements are accurate.

There is no direct link between man-made fossil fuel emissions and global temperature on two counts. Firstly, it is not agreed that increased CO2 is a consequence of man-made fossil fuel emissions and secondly, there is not agreement that CO2 warms the globe to any significant amount anyway.

Australia introduced a carbon tax to reduce CO₂ emissions, which was repealed by the Abbott government. This effort to reduce carbon emissions saw Australians pay a whopping \$24.47 billion to reduce global warming (theoretically) – by just 0.004% (Robson, 2013). The justification for the Carbon Tax was to reduce carbon emissions to stop global warming. Even if the world was warming up, the effects of the Carbon Tax were virtually nil. The New Zealand Emission Trading Scheme should be disbanded or the country will waste money similarly. It is likely the new NZ government will spend over \$10 billion on climate change in NZ over the next 3 years. The returns on which will be zero.

Reducing CO₂ emissions requires huge cost. Currently the amount of money being spent globally, supposedly to reduce ‘man’s carbon footprint’, is in the trillions of dollars per year (Bell, 2015). Yet ridiculously, it has not been scientifically proven that increased CO₂ in the atmosphere will cause either significant global warming or climatic disasters.

US Climatologist Dr Judith Curry, Professor and former Chair of the School of Earth and Atmospheric Sciences at the Georgia Institute of technology, (2015) says *“even if the USA is successful in meeting 80% reductions of CO₂ emissions by 2050 this is going to reduce warming by one tenth of a degree centigrade. It is not going to do anything.”* Curry (2015) in her address to congress, states *“I am concerned that the proposed USA Intended Nationally Determined Contribution to the UN Framework Convention on Climate Change, to address the perceived problems of climate change will do essentially nothing to change the climate, and the USA and other nations will remain vulnerable to climate surprises and extreme weather events.”*

Because of President Trump’s position on these matters, we now finally in April 2017 have a PROPERLY peer reviewed paper showing the relationship between CO₂ and climate. But it is the other way round to the warmists view. It shows there is no significant relationship between global warming /climatic events and CO₂. A properly peer reviewed paper showing the warmist way round does not exist because it does not happen.

Official Press Release on Second Edition

On the Existence of a “Tropical Hot Spot” & The Validity of EPA’s CO₂ Endangerment Finding

James P. Wallace III, John R. Christy, and Joseph S. d’Aleo

Abridged Research Report

[Second Edition](#), April 2017

“A just released peer reviewed climate science Research Report has proven that it is all but certain that EPA’s basic claim that CO₂ is a pollutant is totally false. All research was done pro bono.

This research failed to find that the steadily rising atmospheric CO₂ concentrations have had a statistically significant impact on any of the 14 temperature data sets that were analyzed. The tropospheric and surface temperature data measurements that were analyzed were taken by many different entities using balloons, satellites, buoys and various land based techniques. Needless to say, if regardless of data source, the analysis results are the same, the analysis findings should be considered highly credible.

The analysis results invalidate EPA’s CO₂ Endangerment Finding, including the climate models that EPA has claimed can be relied upon for policy analysis purposes. Moreover, these research results

clearly demonstrate that once the solar, volcanic and oceanic activity, that is, natural factor, impacts on temperature data are accounted for, there is no “record setting” warming to be concerned about. In fact, there is no Natural Factor Adjusted Warming at all. The authors of this report claim that there is no published, peer reviewed, statistically valid proof that past increases in atmospheric CO₂ concentrations have caused the officially reported rising, even claimed record setting temperatures. And, EPA’s climate models fail to meet this test.”

In summary, enormous sums of money have been used to reduce a gas which is 97% produced naturally by the planet itself, to supposedly reduce global warming and related climatic disasters. Mankind’s effort to control a non-problem with a non-solution is ridiculously nonsensical. A lack of questioning and understanding of the real science is widespread.

4. Climate change science – the inconvenient truth for IPCC

The IPCC is the primary proponent of dramatic global warming yet its argument is fundamentally flawed because of the way it selectively uses science and manipulates data to support its views. The particularly concerning areas include:

- the lack of consideration of views opposing its own (true science considers opposing views)
- the nature of IPCC’s existence is a conflict of interest
- manipulation of data
- political funding biases

There is no question about climate change. It changes all the time and has done so naturally for centuries. This is not however, reflected in the IPCC’s selective use of ‘science’. It’s manipulation of research data and opinion has fueled an extremely biased view that does not reflect the planet’s actual climate.

True science is empirical and replicated – it constantly probes, doubts, investigates, examines, and welcomes dissent. Yet the IPCC did not invite one single person who did not agree with its pre-decided outcome for major reports to review or comment on them. IPCC has published five reports since 1990, the latest being No 5 in 2013. For this No 5 report, it was claimed that a 97% consensus – that global warming is both occurring and man-made – exists, in spite of overwhelming evidence of nil, or nominal warming. Of 11,944 papers considered, only 41 of them actually claim global warming is caused by man-made CO₂ (that’s an alarming or 0.3 of 1%). Those that disproved global warming were dismissed. Lord Christopher Monckton (2013) of the UK’s Science and Public Policy Institute has released an exhaustive statistical research paper that concludes that scientific consensus affirming man-made global warming is just 0.3%, not the 97% claimed by the global warming whiners.

Dr. Arthur Robinson, Robinson Arthur 2014, is a distinguished chemist and cofounder/president of the Oregon Institute of Science and Medicine (OISM), was honored in Las Vegas at the Ninth International Conference on Climate Change (ICCC9) with the Voice of Reason Award presented by The Heartland Institute. In 1998, Dr. Arthur Robinson was one of the principal organizers of the Petition Project, an effort to demonstrate that the claimed “consensus” of science in favor of the belief that humans are causing catastrophic global warming does not exist. The petition reads, in part:

1. The proposed limits on greenhouse gases would harm the environment, hinder the advance of science and technology, and damage the health and welfare of mankind.
2. There is no convincing scientific evidence that human release of carbon dioxide, methane, or other greenhouse gasses is causing or will, in the foreseeable future, cause catastrophic heating of the Earth's atmosphere and disruption of the Earth's climate.

In two campaigns (1998-1999 and 2007) the Petition Project gathered signatures from more than 31,000 scientists, physicians, and engineers (including 9,029 with Ph.D.s) who reject global warming alarmism and the draconian measures claimed to be necessary for the entire planet.

The IPCC is a conflicted institution pushing a mandate that lacks true scientific rigor. Moore (2013) says; ***“by its constitution, the IPCC has a hopeless conflict of interest. Its mandate is to consider only the human causes of global warming, not the many natural causes changing the climate for billions of years. If the IPCC did not find humans were the cause of warming, there would be no need for the IPCC under its present mandate. To survive, it must find on the side of the apocalypse. The IPCC should either have its mandate expanded to include all causes of climate change, or it should be dismantled.”***

For about 30 years, the IPCC’s climate predictions have been hopelessly inaccurate. In addition to not welcoming nor considering dissenting opinions, there has been an increasing and continuous legacy that data has been manipulated.

There are countless examples of data manipulation (please see Appendix C). The levels of deception stretch across all the data involved. In any developed country, to seek public funding by tampering with data would see the culprits jailed. As a comparison, consider an IPO prospectus seeking billions of dollars from the public without verifiable scientific information in it – let alone manipulated data.

As just one example, suspicious data records from Paraguay were found to have been changed from a downward temperature trend to an upward one (from a decline of 1 deg C to an increase of 1.5 deg C). Not only for three initial weather stations investigated but subsequently for a number of other weather stations in the area. Worse still they then used these upward temperature records to apply to tracks of the globe where no records have been kept.

In relation to this, Christopher Booker Snr., (English journalist and author.) In 1961, he was one of the founders of the magazine Private Eye, and has contributed to it since then. He has been a columnist for The Sunday Telegraph since 1990.) (2015) states:

“When future generations look back on the global-warming scare of the past 30 years, nothing will shock them more than the extent to which the official temperature records – on which the entire panic ultimately rested – were systematically ‘adjusted’ to show the earth as having warmed much more than the actual data justified. Two weeks ago, under the headline ‘How we are being tricked by

flawed data on global warming', I wrote about Paul Homewood, who, on his Notalotofpeopleknowthat blog, had checked the published temperature graphs for three weather stations in Paraguay against the temperatures that had originally been recorded. In each instance, the actual trend of 60 years of data had been dramatically reversed, so that a cooling trend was changed to one that showed a marked warming."

Watts Up With That. - Watts et al researched weather station data in the USA "An updated evaluation of US Historical Climate Network as it relates to station biases." Supplement to Watts et al 2012. They assessed 779 US weather stations on appropriate siting criteria and found that rural non airport stations with compliant siting situations and Maximum Minimum Temperature System had a decadal warming of .032 Deg C where noncompliant and adjusted stations had a decadal warming of .300 Deg C. All adjustments were upwards by NOAA. (Well sited stations adjusted upwards to match poor sited stations which were also adjusted upwards.) Poor sited stations were near airports, urban or semi urban based, where aircraft, housing and roads warm up the air. On which basis the warming is actually about 1/10th of final adjusted figures.

A search of Climate Depot "Weather Stations" brings up 11 similar examples. The incidence of data manipulation is so rife that USA Republicans are now claiming they will investigate (climate related) data tampering by NASA.

Needless to say, numerous reputable commentators thwart the accuracy and relevance of the 'science' claimed by IPCC:

- IPCC reviewer, Dr Don Easterbrook, (Easterbrook D) on IPCC report No 5 says (2013) *"it isn't science at all – it's dogmatic, political propaganda – the IPCC report must be considered the grossest misrepresentation of data ever published"*
- Exposing IPCC computer models, forecasting experts Green and Armstrong (2014) state *"our audit of the procedure used to create IPCC scenarios found that they violated 72 of 89 relevant forecasting principles"*
- *"Premeditated murder of science"* is how Climatologist Dr Tim Ball (Ball T, 2013) describes the IPCC's 95% certainty that CO2 is causing serious global warming and climatic disasters
- Climate Depot's Mark Morano (2010) states 1000 of the so-called convinced man-made global warming scientists were in fact then skeptics – and that was five years ago!
- Curry (2014) says; *"as temperatures have declined, the climate models have failed to predict this decline, and so the IPCC has gained confidence in (its predictions of) catastrophic warming. In other words the more they are wrong about nearly everything, the more confident IPCC officials have become that they are right about nearly everything"*

However those that dissent or disprove global warming find it difficult to have their view aired. Subsequently, even despite the significant lack of IPCC integrity, a large proportion of people and media continue to believe dramatic global warming is occurring. Many media editors are reluctant to publish skeptical views. The IPCC like to make it heresy to question their message – they 'shoot the

messengers' and are embarking on a program to personally discredit, and if possible have funding cut off for, any scientist disagreeing with their fabricated consensus and more recently they had asked Obama to prosecute and jail "deniers". These are desperate measures.

It is important to note the IPCC gets hundreds of times more funding from weak misguided government sources, than any climate realists. The direct costs excluding subsidies for alternative energy projects to the US government is calculated to be spending \$22 billion USD per annum (\$42,800 a minute), for scientists to do endless research on man-made global warming. Natural causes of global warming, far more significant than man-made effects on global temperature changes as previously noted, are not included. According to Forbes columnist Larry Bell (2015) the ripple effect of global warming initiatives actually costs Americans \$1.75 trillion every year – three times larger than the entire USA federal budget deficit.

There is a tangled web of political activity and bias at play distorting the real truth. Paul Driessen (2010), a senior policy advisor with the Committee for 'A Constructive Tomorrow' says: *"The climate change scientist – government – environmental – industrial climate complex is well funded and powerful. But it is also arrogant and dishonest and its assertions are so far removed from reality that they can no longer survive scrutiny and challenge. The time has come to end its attempt to control our lives, livelihoods, liberties, living standards and life spans."*

Local politicians are unable to provide true scientific verification that CO2 can cause drastic global warming or severe climatic problems – because it is impossible for them to do so. They will refer to 'consensus science,' which as discussed above, is not at all credible. The political agenda is based on fabricated information that does not stand scrutiny. **It is unbelievable that politicians do not demand unquestionable scientific evidence before imposing huge crippling taxes that do nothing but provide jobs for bureaucrats and make us poorer.** Real consideration of the above points effectively shoots the IPCC to pieces.



5. What's really going on with climatic events

There is currently no global warming, and calamitous climatic events are not increasing and are often doing the opposite of predictions. Recent fires on the USA are not abnormal in the long term statistics and likewise hurricane activity to date this year puts 2017 as number 5 in the long term statistics.

A summary of what's really going on with climatic events around the world:

Ice – The IPCC claim Antarctica's ice is melting, when in fact there is record ice in Antarctica. Antarctica sea ice recently hit a 35-year record high (National Snow and Ice Data Centre) and in January 2015 is 44.6% more than the 1981-2010 average. Ice in the Arctic has also been increasing in recent years (Swart et al 2015). Currently Arctic ice is reported to be up 22% on 2012 levels.

Snow – Recently a world record 24hr snowfall of 100.8 inches in 18 hours occurred in Italy (www.weather.com; "Italy winter storm dumps impressive 24 hr snowfall"). The previous record was 75.8 inches in 24 hours. The USA has had hundreds of record low temperatures in the last few years (USA Today - 'Coldest Air In Decades', 2015).

Climate Depot's Mark Morano states (2013); *"Extreme weather is failing to follow 'global warming' predictions: Hurricanes, Tornadoes, Droughts, Floods, Wildfires, all see no trend or declining trends in the USA. Extreme weather is at or near historic lows."*

Hurricanes – In the USA, apart from recently, there were 3,264 Days without a Major (Cat 3 +) Hurricane Strike – 'Nearly 9 years... The last being Wilma in October, 2005' Recent Hurricanes in the USA still do not match 1933 in overall energy.

Tornadoes – The USA tornado count has plummeted to record low levels for three consecutive years.

Droughts – New research confirms human CO2 is not causing a global drought increase - 'Droughts in the USA are more frequent and more intense during COLDER periods' (Legates, 2014).

Floods – Professor Roger Pielke's paper titled 'Are US Floods Increasing? The Answer is Still No' (2011) shows flooding has not increased in the USA over records of 85 to 127 years (Hirsch & Ryberg, 2012). The world's ten deadliest floods all occurred before 1976. i.e. before the so called high man-made CO2 in the atmosphere (Hirsch & Ryberg, 2012).

USA Heat waves – The frequency of 90 deg (Fahrenheit) days in the USA has plummeted with three of the five mildest summers occurring since 2004 – USA temperatures (before tampering) have been declining for 90 years

Wildfires – 2014 was the quietest fire season of the decade according to data from the National Interagency Fire Centre and USA forest fires are nearing historic lows. Californian wild fires of Oct 2017 are not historically unusual and 1933 remains the worst year for many years.

Sea levels – Sea levels have not risen any faster than normal since levels have been recorded and actual sea levels are doing the opposite of IPCC model predictions. Globally, scientists with solid empirical-based backgrounds claim there are severe problems with many of the 2007 IPCC predictions. Namely, that many of the predictions are ‘flat-out false, based on the IPCC's political agenda, or wildly inflated by failed climate models’. Houston and Dean (2011) state that the IPCC prediction of dangerously high acceleration of sea level increases is likely both a combination of invalid climate models and desired political outcomes. They discovered that the actual tidal gauge measurements over the last 80 years show sea level increases are decelerating, not accelerating, and currently we can expect 100 - 200mm sea level rise over the next century. IPCC predictions have at times been in the meters.

Temperature – As demonstrated earlier, satellite data confirms that, apart from recent el nino effects, we have had ~20 years no warming. Post el nino seems to be putting the world into cooling mode. It is harder to tamper with satellite data and it should be used to measure global warming. For New Zealand a recent review of our temperature records reveals what many have thought for years in that NIWI have been playing with the numbers. Weather data station information has been adjusted and in some cases there is no record of what adjustments were made and seriously compromised data from two stations were not adjusted for Urban Heat effects. (being sited in the CBD of our largest cities.) See “A Reanalysis of Long Term Surface Air Temperature Trends in New Zealand” 2014 C.R. de Freitas et al. The reality of the paper is that if we take out the two compromised stations and assess rural only stations we get .2 degrees warming per century instead of figures of .91 degrees warming per century which NIWA claim. Or 1.0 degrees in the news media of 20 Oct 2017.

Furthermore Tasman Crop Met Report (Winepress Issue No 258 May 2016) reports on page 6 for Blenheim NZ. (- How could this be? We are supposed to have had the hottest year ever.)

Season	Long Term Av	15/16	14/15	13/14	12/13	11/12	34/35	74/75	89/90	80/81	97/98
Mean Temp	14.99	15.49	15.55	15.59	15.34	14.62	16.28	16.16	16.11	16.00	15.99
Rank 1930-2015		19 th	14 th	12 th	25 th	60 th	1 st	2 nd	3 rd	4 th	5 th

It is probably fair to say that the extent of global warming is only the long term warming in the vicinity of 0.2 - 0.5 degrees C per century that has been happening for thousands of years. With all these examples in mind, it cannot be claimed that disastrous climatic events are caused by increased CO2 levels in the atmosphere and global warming. Overall there has been no warming recently and there are no facts to support the concept of dramatic global warming or increased climatic disasters.

6. The Real Agenda – Redistributing the world's wealth

The real agenda has been admitted by senior IPCC officials and it has nothing to do with climate. The UN wants to increase its power and wealth to have increasing control over people's lives. It is preplanned UN run socialism that is the end game. The climate issues are to frighten the populations of the world into committing huge funding to the UN. However, as this paper shows, CO2 causing run away global warming and climatic disasters is discredited and now the USA which was supposed to pay most of the money is not going to do so. The cards are finally starting to fall over.

It has, for decades, been the preplanned dramatic expansion of bureaucracy and totalitarianism enabling unelected officials in the UN, the EU, and in any independent state that can be conned into the scam, to control almost every aspect of people's lives. They don't like capitalism, they want a new world order and they say so.

IPCC, Working Group III, Co Chair, Ottmar Eden has admitted that international climate policy is not even about environmental protection, it is about *"how we redistribute the world's wealth"* (2010). Decades in the making, the IPCC is very close to succeeding in an irrevocable way. They want to destroy capitalism. The plan was to bolt it all together at the IPCC conference in Paris in December 2015 and have a new world order of the world committed to a crazy debilitating tax scheme that would be irrevocably agreed to, with UN beaurocrats ruling our lives with countless PC regulations. World wars have been caused by much lesser issues.

CFACT reports from the big UN climate summit in Paris. President Obama and the UN were trying to declare what took place there as a big win. It wasn't. Not even close. **In Paris CFACT successfully exposed key elements of the horrendous climate agreement the radicals tried, but failed, to foist upon the world. The CFACT team helped deliver their opponents a number of major setbacks including:**

- International Tribunal of Climate Justice. Gone.
- Binding emissions reductions? Gone.
- Zero emissions? Out.
- Economy-crippling 1.5 degree C temperature mandate? Gone.
- Legally obligating nations to shell out \$100 billion per year to the Green Climate Fund? Gone.
- Paying developing countries for weather-related "loss and damage? Gone (at least for now).

The IPCC had to say it was a successful meeting regardless of the outcome. In fact, it all means very little but they will continue to pursue their agenda regardless. In the end when the world does not warm up and climatic disasters continue at a reduced, or normal levels, the scam only becomes more obvious, but at what cost in the meantime! Apart from financial cost it will be dramatic loss of freedom and democratic process. The beaurocrats involved are not elected and, before Brexit, 60%

of the laws enacted in the UK were made up by unelected bureaucrats in the EU. The UN wants to rule the world similarly and to a worse extent.

The man-made global warming project has been instigated into schools and universities and there are even entire courses available on the subject. (That don't consider that the world is not actually warming any more than it has for centuries.) Children and students are being taught that man-made global warming science is settled and not to be questioned or even discussed (Tice, 2015). The AGENDA 21, which stands for UN Agenda for the 21st Century, is being forced onto schools. It has been banned by some states in the USA but is being pushed very aggressively by the IPCC. It follows that those who question the matters get marked down in examinations and fail their exams. University students then vote for more of the same and it is self-perpetuating – and the taxpayer keeps paying trillions of dollars per year to have more and more well-paid unelected bureaucrats running people's lives. It is also in our legislation, judiciary, universities, local government, not to mention national government, most peoples' thinking, it is everywhere and those that don't agree, apparently, should be in jail.

President Obama planned to bypass the USA Congress and the Senate by calling commitments to the Paris IPCC conference in December 2015 not treaty obligations but 'regulations'. This is effectively ignoring their constitution and is because Congress and the Senate are not in agreement. For the first time the extreme green left had a US President backing them. They were determined to make the most of it by foul means or anyhow. The longer it takes the world to work it out, the more devastating will be the carnage that results in the interim. (As has always been the result of socialism / totalitarianism)

What is going on is not anything much to do with climate. Simply put it is the manipulation of scare tactics to enable the old world idea of socialism /communism to be imposed through the UN. Communism failed in Russia and many other countries in the world. It does not work. Look at Cuba.

1. You cannot legislate the poor into prosperity by legislating the wealthy out of prosperity.
2. What one person receives without working for, another person must work for without receiving.
3. The government cannot give to anybody anything that the government does not first take from somebody else.
4. You cannot multiply wealth by dividing it!
5. When half of the people get the idea that they do not have to work because the other half is going to take care of them, and when the other half gets the idea that it does no good to work because somebody else is going to get what they work for, that is the beginning of the end of any nation.

7. Some historical observations of popular opinions

Just because a view may be widely held is no reason it is correct. Mainstream consensus is in fact, most often incorrect. British philosopher Bertrand Russell OM said *“the fact that an opinion is widely held is no evidence whatever that it is not utterly absurd; indeed in view of the silliness of mankind a widespread belief is more likely to be foolish than sensible.”* It is akin to sheep following each other.

The manipulation of public opinion has been occurring, at the will of dictators and politicians, for centuries. In the history of mankind, mainstream consensus has been sought and established with drastic results. In World War Two, German politician and Reich Minister of Propaganda in Nazi Germany Paul Goebbels successfully convinced a significant proportion of the world’s population Hitler was a great man. (It was World War II and Germany had many allies who looked to Hitler as a great leader. Not to mention the German population)

As discussed in section 4 of this paper, this type of ‘consensual’ thinking has formed the bulk of the case for man-made global warming by IPCC. A so-called ‘consensus’ of scientists supposedly agree with the IPCC. However, science is not a matter of votes – it is a matter of fact.

John Michael Crichton, an author admired for his meticulous scientific research, said (2003) *“I regard consensus science as an extremely pernicious development that ought to be stopped dead in its tracks. Historically the claim has been the first refuge of scoundrels. It is a way to avoid debate by claiming that the matter is already settled. Whenever you hear the consensus of scientists agree on something or other, reach for your wallet because you are about to be had. There is no such thing as consensus science. If it's consensus, it isn't science. If it's science, it isn't consensus. Period.”*

Fueling ‘consensus thinking’, are plenty of enthusiastic activists in the world, who demonstrate and/or sign petitions about environmental ‘concerns’ without any profound knowledge of what they are demonstrating about. USA TV program, Penn & Teller (2006), at a climate change meeting, sought to ban ‘di-hydrogen monoxide’ because *“it is in our lakes and reservoirs, used by pesticide companies, we wash fruit with it and it gets into our food supplies. It causes excessive sweating and excessive urination.”* Hundreds of people signed the petition ‘to help save the planet’ from this nasty chemical without recognizing di-hydrogen monoxide (H₂O) is water!

These examples substantiate and explain how multitudes have flocked after IPCC dogma without genuine consideration of the underlying truth.

As quoted by President Trump, the Paris Accord on CO₂ and global warming, requires about \$100,000,000,000,000, (100 Trillion) to be paid in to the UN etc. by the end of this century to prevent the world warming up by 0.2 degrees C. The USA, with about 5% of the world’s population, and 25% of world GDP was in line to provide most of this.

Consequences for NZ

Think how much better NZ standards of living would be compared to all those nations that pay trillions of dollars to the man-made global warming cause for nothing – if NZ politicians would just spend enough time to sensibly consider the true climate science. John Key and Paula Bennett had not been able to provide me with any independently peer reviewed scientific paper showing that CO2 will significantly increase global temperature or climatic disturbances. THEY COULD NOT BECAUSE IT IS NOT SO. Instead man-made global warming legislation is going into our laws, local governments have been buying carbon credits, all Kiwis pay extra taxes on fuel, the Emission Trading Scheme will further hamper the country, planning decisions are being based on IPCC projections, it was recently reported that Marlborough Marine Farmers will pay \$42m to renew licenses, every 10 years. The NZ advisor to Government on these matters is NIWA. Their basic views on man-made global warming stem from a thesis being written by a now sacked senior NIWA official. The thesis has not been available for public scrutiny and has been supposedly “lost” –not a good starting place to set up taxing the population under an Emission Trading Scheme. Alarmingly, NIWA has followed the flawed IPCC dogma and also refused to adjust flawed temperature data. (Which if taken into account indicated a 0.2degree increase in NZ temperatures over 100 years – Not 1.0 degree as claimed by Niwa)

New Zealanders cannot afford to pour money into this scam just because other nations are. The real science and the real agenda must be considered. There is no doubt as to the real agenda – it is even admitted by some of the leaders of the man-made global warming movement. If IPCC had had their way in Paris, the world would have been irrevocably launched into their scam. Defense budgets would need to be paid to the UN so the UN could run a world-armed force. Socialism would be pushed to new levels. Our schools and universities would be even more indoctrinated. That is the new world order so desperately sought. It all will have nothing to do with climate change – as it never did.

NZ would be ruled by unelected bureaucrats who will do nothing to create wealth. All aspects of Kiwis’ lives would be meddled with –permits required for everything, confiscating taxes, discretionary spending money not allowed for, limited private asset ownership – and maximum state ownership of assets, and in effect one might as well live in Cuba – if you had the money to get there.

Over the last 50-60 years, NZ has fallen from the top 2-3 countries in the world in GDP per capita to number 21 and the NZ\$ has devalued by some 300% against the \$US. It is totally ridiculous for this country, or any country, to be pouring huge sums of money into the non-solution to a non-problem that reducing carbon emissions is to global warming. The return on the massive funds expended will be zero or negative. Where are the politicians who will wake up and stop the PC nonsense?

We are not a rock star economy. In 2014 [the New Zealand Productivity Commission](#) expressed concern about low living standards and problems affecting the long-term drivers of growth. Paul Conway, Director of Economics & Research at the Productivity Commission, wrote: "New Zealand's broad policy settings should generate GDP per capita 20 per cent above the OECD average, but the actual result is more than 20 per cent below average. We may be punching above our weight, but that's only because we are in the wrong weight division!" These comments were before the effect of commodity prices collapse, including dairy prices. Our economy is not strong as National MPs kept telling us and the current government will make it even worse.

.9. Conclusions (All referenced through the paper.)

- The world is not warming any more than it has for centuries at not at all for 18-19 years. Local research center has 2015/6 as the 19th hottest year on record. NIWA continues to claim record hottest years all the time.
- CO2 is not causing significant global warming
- CO2 exists naturally in air – 97% of it is produced by the planet naturally
- It is not agreed that man's activities are causing the increase in CO2 in the atmosphere
- There are not increased climatic disasters occurring
- Fraudulent data manipulation is rife
- The biggest scam the world has ever seen is happening – Even NZ is spending \$billions on the nonsense. There will be zero return on those \$billions.
- The UN IPCC has a very serious political agenda masquerading as preventing global warming
- The great socialist plan has been progressing for 40-50 yrs. The Global Warming Fiasco is but a part of it.
- The results of the plan are in our legislation, our universities, our schools, our local body planning rules, and untold beurocracy that want to destroy democracy and rule our lives. They even say so.
- The Paris IPCC conference in December 2015 should have been boycotted
- Existing carbon reduction programs should be abandoned
- All national and local body legislation should be purged of the influences of these sources.

THE RECOMMENDATION OF THIS PAPER TO THE MINISTRY FOR THE ENVIRONMENT IS -

- 1. Boycott IPCC conferences.**
- 2. Abandon existing carbon reduction programs and any climate change payments to the UN.**

This paper is about the scam that man is causing CO2 emissions that cause the world to warm up and cause climatic disasters. It is the biggest scam in the history of mankind. It is really about - yet another push for socialistic control of the world. Before Trump and Brexit it was probably going to succeed.

For President Trump and Brexit, no polls predicted either of the USA or UK voting. Both eventual outcomes were laughed at before the final outcomes, no one could believe they could happen. That conceited conservatism is not where the voters that counted were.

10. References

Ball T, Dr Tim. Former Professor of Climatology at the University of Winnipeg

Bell, L. Forbes Magazine March 2015 - see <http://www.politicalforum.com/current-events/400137-new-reports-there-no-global-warming.html>. New Reports: There is No Global Warming – Political Forum

Berner, Robert A, and Kothavala, Zavareth – Carbon Data taken from Yale Study 'GEOCARB III A REVISED MODEL OF ATMOSPHERIC CO₂ OVER PHANEROZOIC TIME'. Department of Geology and Geophysics, Yale University, published by American Journal of Science Vol 301 February 2001 P 182-204 Graph shows temperature; CO₂ over long period and confirms CO₂ fell 7000ppm to 3000ppm and increased from 4000ppm to 4500ppm with quoted temperature.

Booker C. Christopher (2015). English journalist and author. In 1961, he was one of the founders of the magazine Private Eye, and has contributed to it since then. He has been a columnist for The Sunday Telegraph since 1990.

Coleman J (born October 15, 1934) is an American TV weatherman and co-founder of The Weather Channel. He has retired from broadcasting after nearly 61 years, working the last twenty at KUSI-TV in San Diego.

Crichton J, John Michael MD, (1942 – 2008) was an American best-selling author, physician, producer, director, and screenwriter, best known for his work in the science fiction, medical fiction, and thriller genres. His books have sold over 200 million copies worldwide, and many have been adapted into films.

Curry J, Dr Judith, US Climatologist, Professor and former Chair of the School of Earth and Atmospheric Sciences at the Georgia Institute of Technology 'STATEMENT TO THE COMMITTEE ON SCIENCE, SPACE AND TECHNOLOGY OF THE UNITED STATES HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES' Hearing on "The President's UN Climate Pledge" 15 April 2015 curryja@eas.gatech.edu

de Freitas C.R. School of Environment, University of Auckland. Dedekind M.O. Research and Development, BCD Consulting, Auckland NZ & Brill B.E. P.O.Box 399 Paihia NZ "A reanalysis of Long Term Surface Air Temperature Trends in New Zealand." 2014

Driessen P. (2008) Senior policy advisor with the Committee For A Constructive Tomorrow and Center for the Defense of Free Enterprise – a non-profit public policy institutes that focus on energy, the environment, economic development and international affairs. Author of Green Power, Black Death (Merril Press, 2010) and co-author of Energy Keepers, Energy Killers (Merril Press, 2008).

Dyson Freeman FRS (born 15 December 1923) is an English-born American ^{[5][6]} theoretical physicist and mathematician, known for his work in quantum electrodynamics, solid-state physics, astronomy and nuclear engineering. Dyson is a member of the Board of Sponsors of the Bulletin of the Atomic Scientists.

Read more: <http://www.climatedepot.com/2015/05/27/new-recommended-interview-with-renowned-princeton-physicist-freeman-dyson-dispels-global-warming-hysteria/#ixzz3dM84pAEC>

Easterbrook J, Dr. Don J. Geologist Emeritus Professor at Western Washington University, a UN IPCC expert reviewer, has authored ten books and 150 journal publications. Presented 31 research papers at international meetings in 13 countries outside the USA

Gallup Poll. See www.gallup.com

Global Warming Policy Foundation (2014) – A 'unique all-party and non-party think tank and a registered educational charity which, while open-minded on the contested science of global warming, is deeply concerned about the costs and other implications of many of the policies currently being advocated.' <http://www.thegwgf.org/new-research-finds-earth-even-less-sensitive-to-co2-than-previously-thought/>

Goebbels P; Paul Joseph (1897 – 1945). German politician and Reich Minister of Propaganda in Nazi Germany (1933 – 1945) known for his zealous orations and virulent anti-Semitism. One of Adolf Hitler's closest associates and most devoted followers. Strongly supported the extermination of the Jews when the Nazi leadership developed their 'Final Solution'.

Green, Kesten C. and Armstrong, J. Scott (2014) – University of South Australia and University of Pennsylvania, Director and Editor of forecastingprinciples.com

Hirsch, R.M. and Ryberg, K.R., 2012. 'Has the magnitude of floods across the USA changed with global CO2 levels?' *Hydrological Sciences Journal*, 57 (1), 1–9.

Houston, J.R. and Dean, R.G., 2011. Sea-level acceleration based on U.S. tide gauges and extensions of previous global-gauge analyses. *Journal of Coastal Research*, 27(3), 409–417. West Palm Beach (Florida), ISSN 0749-0208. New paper finds sea level rise has decelerated 44% since 2004 to only 7 inches per century – Published in Global and Planetary Change

Legates, Dr. David. 2014. Professor of Climatology, University of Delaware. STATEMENT TO THE ENVIRONMENT AND PUBLIC WORKS COMMITTEE OF THE UNITED STATES SENATE.

Monckton C. Christopher Walter Monckton, 3rd Viscount Monckton of Brenchley, SMOM (born 14 February 1952) is a British public speaker and hereditary peer. He is known for his work as a journalist, Conservative political advisor, UKIP political candidate, and for his invention of the mathematical puzzle Eternity. In recent years his public speaking has garnered attention due to his advocacy of climate change denial, views on the European Union, and social policy.

Moore P, Dr Patrick. Cofounder of Greenpeace and now global warming realist

Morano, M. Marc (born 1968) is a conservative American publisher. He has worked on different assignments in the Washington political scene, but is best known as founder of the website Climate Depot, a project of the Committee for a Constructive Tomorrow. Morano was born in Washington, D.C. and raised in McLean, Virginia. He has a bachelor's degree from George Mason University in political science. Currently serves as Communications Director for the Committee For A Constructive Tomorrow and executive editor and chief correspondent for the award-winning ClimateDepot.com, a global warming and eco-news center founded in 2009. Morano spearheaded the 2007 groundbreaking report of 400-plus dissenting scientists and the follow-up 2008 report of 650-plus scientists dissenting man-made global warming fears; by 2010, a new 321-page "Climate Depot Special Report" listed over 1,000 international scientists who had turned against the UN IPCC. Morano's EPW website won a Golden Mouse Award in 2007 for improving communications between Members of Congress and their constituents. In February 2010, Accuracy in Media awarded Morano their annual Reed Irvine Award alongside Andrew Breitbart, and in July 2010, Doctors for Disaster Preparedness announced it would award Morano that year's Petr Beckmann Award.

Penn & Teller Environmental Hysteria 2003. <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=yi3erdgVVTw> Dec 6, 2006 'Penn & Teller get hippies to sign a petition that bans water' 2.9m views as at May 2015

Pielke, Roger A, Sr (born 1946). An American meteorologist with interests in climate variability and climate change, environmental vulnerability, numerical modeling, atmospheric dynamics, land/ocean – atmosphere interactions, and Large Eddy/turbulent boundary layer modeling. He particularly focuses on mesoscale weather and climate processes but also investigates on the global, regional, and microscale. Pielke is an ISI Highly Cited Researcher.

Pielke, Roger A, Jr. (born 1968). An American political scientist and professor in the Environmental Studies Program and a Fellow of the Cooperative Institute for Research in Environmental Sciences (CIRES) where he served as Director of the Center for Science and Technology Policy Research at the University of Colorado Boulder from 2001 to 2007. Pielke was a visiting scholar at Oxford University's Saïd Business School in the 2007-2008 academic year.

Plimmer, Ian Rutherford is an Australian geologist, professor emeritus of earth sciences , professor of mining geology at the University of Adelaide and the director of multiple mineral exploration and mining companies . He has published 130 scientific papers , six books and edited the Encyclopedia of Geology.

Robinson Arthur Dr. Arthur Robinson, a distinguished chemist and cofounder/president of the Oregon Institute of Science and Medicine (OISM), was honored recently in Las Vegas at the Ninth International Conference on Climate Change(ICCC9) with the Voice of Reason Award presented by The Heartland Institute.

Robson A, Dr Alex 'Austalia's Carbon Tax, an economic evaluation' 2013 – N.B. unemployment also went from 604,000 to 720,000, emissions increased, and electricity prices rose approximately 20%.

Russell B, Bertrand Arthur William - 3rd Earl Russell, OM, FRS was a British philosopher, logician, mathematician, historian, writer, social critic and political activist.

Salby, M. Atmospheric scientist - see <http://notrickszone.com/2013/03/02/most-of-the-rise-in-co2-likely-comes-from-natural-sources/#sthash.GVc8EEjO.dpuf>

Swart et al. 2015. 'Influence of internal variability on Arctic sea – ice trends.' *Nature Climate Change*

Tice, P. (2015) 'Schoolroom Climate Change Indoctrination.' *Wall St Journal*.


USA Today 2015. COLDEST AIR IN DECADES, Arctic cold front is responsible for the coldest temperatures in almost two decades in many USA cities. Temperatures in some areas have not been this low since the arctic outbreaks of January 1997, February 1996 and January of 1994.

Watts Up With That? The world s most viewed site on global warming and climate change


11. Appendices

Appendix A

Temperature change fabricated by NOAA purposefully “cools” the historical monthly figures prior to 1951 then purposefully “warms” the historical monthly records. The combination of “cooling” and “warming” is done to promote ideas that modern warming is accelerating.



Appendix B – CO₂ to and from the atmosphere



Summary Appendix B (IPCC information 2001) from the above information.

CO₂ Going into the atmosphere

1.Global Gross Primary Production and respiration	119 GT
2.Oceanic release	88
3.Fossil Fuel Combustion and industrial processes	6.3
Total CO ₂ going into the atmosphere	213.3GT
% from Fossil Fuels and industrial processes	2.95%

Long term range of CO₂ in the atmosphere 300ppm to 7000ppm.

Current level of CO₂ in the atmosphere ~ 400ppm

The IPCC theory is that an increase of CO₂ in the atmosphere from 350 ppm to 400ppm is going to cause Armageddon.

There is no historical correlation between high atmospheric CO₂ and temperatures, or climatic disasters.

Appendix C – Data Manipulation

There are numerous concerns regarding manipulation of data in relation to reports and general information regarding ‘global warming’.

Three themes are apparent (Morano, 2015):

- (1) Prominent scientists central to the global warming debate are taking measures to conceal rather than disseminate underlying data and discussions;
- (2) These scientists view global warming as a political “cause” rather than a balanced scientific inquiry
- (3) Many of these scientists frankly admit to each other that much of the science is weak and dependent on deliberate manipulation of facts and data'

The following are some of the concerns posted by Morano (2015):

Weather station temperature claims overheated, report claims: U.S. temp record ‘U.S. has been systematically overinflated due to faulty data manipulation and ‘encroaching urbanity’ 'They started making what they called corrections after the year 2000, which turned the USA temperature trend from completely flat to fairly steep warming. The corrections were changing the temperature record. Several large adjustments hadn't been documented at all, boosting readings by as much as 1.5 degrees over older measurements'

All Claimed July Warming In The US Is Due To Data Manipulation

SHCN has adjusted recent US July temperatures upwards by 1.5 degrees F, relative to the 1890s. The adjusted July graph shows about 1.5 degrees of warming since 1895'.

Meteorologist D’Aleo: NOAA and NASA Complicit in Data Manipulation

Flashback: Meteorologist Anthony Watts: ‘In the business world, people go to jail for such manipulations of data’

Watts: 'Is history malleable? Can temperature data of the past be molded to fit a purpose? It certainly seems to be the case here, where is the temperature for July 1936 reported ... changes with the moment'

‘Adjustments breathtaking’ – to Arctic temperature record – is there any ‘global warming’ we can trust?

UK Telegraph on new climategate: ‘Fiddling with temperature data is the biggest science scandal ever’

Climategate 2.0: ‘Scientists frankly admit to each other that much of the science is weak and dependent on deliberate manipulation of facts and data’

Climatologist: Global Temperature and Data Distortions Continue — ‘manipulation of temperature data’

CBC’s Rex Murphy Unloads About ClimateGate: It ‘pulls back the curtain on pettiness, turf protection, manipulation, defiance of FOIA, loss or destroyed data and attempts to blacklist’

