

Your submission to Zero Carbon Bill

John Roberts

Reference no: 11237

Submitter Type: Individual

Clause

1. What process should the Government use to set a new emissions reduction target in legislation?

Notes

James Shaw says "the frequency and the severity of storms, coastal and river flooding, droughts, and wildfires are increasing." This is unsupported in history and data, it is unsupported even in NZs own recorded data as witnessed in the governments report Our Atmosphere and Climate 2017.

Clause

2. If the Government sets a 2050 target now, which is the best target for New Zealand?

Position

Net Zero Long-Lived Gases and Stabilised Short-Lived Gases - Long-lived gases to net zero by 2050 while also stabilising short-lived gases

Notes

The government should set NO target as the data does not support the current 'climate change' scare. I have marked option two as the lesser of the wrongs being forced on us.

Clause

3. How should New Zealand meet its targets?

Notes

The data does not support the current 'climate change' scare. There should be no targets to meet.

Clause

4. Should the Zero Carbon Bill allow the 2050 target to be revised if circumstances change?

Position

Yes

Notes

Clause

5. The Government proposes that three emissions budgets of five years each (i.e. covering the next 15 years) be in place at any given time. Do you agree with this proposal?

Position

No

Notes

There is no need for any emissions budget. The data does not support the current 'climate change' scare.

Clause

6. Should the Government be able to alter the last emissions budget (i.e. furthest into the future)?

Notes

There is no need for emissions budgets, the data does not support the current 'climate change' scare. The money wasted on this non problem is better spent elsewhere.

Clause

7. Should the Government have the ability to review and adjust the second emissions budget within a specific range under exceptional circumstances? See p36 Our Climate Your Say

Notes

There is no need for emissions budgets, the data does not support the current 'climate change' scare. The money wasted on this non problem is better spent elsewhere.

Clause

8. Do you agree with the considerations we propose that the Government and the Climate Change Commission take into account when advising on and setting budgets? See p44 Our Climate Your Say

Position

Yes

Notes

Clause

9. Should the Zero Carbon Bill require Governments to set out plans within a certain timeframe to achieve the emissions budgets?

Notes

Why? There is no need, the data does not support the current 'climate change' scare.

Clause

10. What are the most important issues for the Government to consider in setting plans to meet budgets? For example, who do we need to work with, what else needs to be considered?

Notes

Science, they should consider real science not political pseudo science. If they did that they would discover their emperor has no clothes.

Clause

11. The Government has proposed that the Climate Change Commission advises on and monitors New Zealand's progress towards its goals. Do you agree with these functions? See p42 Our Climate Your Say

Position

No

Notes

pseudo science doesn't count.

Clause

12. What role do you think the Climate Change Commission should have in relation to the New Zealand Emissions Trading Scheme (NZ ETS)?

Notes

Why have either, the data does not support the current 'climate change' scare.

Clause

13. The Government has proposed that Climate Change Commissioners need to have a range of essential and desirable expertise. Do you agree with the proposed expertise? See p45 Our Climate Your Say

Position

No

Notes

Try bringing some actual real science into it.

Clause

14. Do you think the Zero Carbon Bill should cover adapting to climate change?

Position

Yes

Notes

We have being adapting to the changing climate for ever, nothing has changed.

Clause

15. The Government has proposed a number of new functions to help us adapt to climate change. Do you agree with the proposed functions? See p47 Our Climate Your Say

Position

No

Notes

There is no evidence emissions are affecting the global climate.

Clause

16. Should we explore setting up a targeted adaptation reporting power that could see some organisations share information on their exposure to climate change risks?

Position

No

Notes

We already know the risks, nothing has changed.

Clause

Do you have any other comments you'd like to make?

Notes

The data does not support the current 'climate change' scare. The time and money wasted on this non problem is better spent

elsewhere.

Supporting documents from your Submission

BOM_Tropical_cyclones_1970_-_2017.png	Uploaded on 07/19/2018 at 11:56AM
Tropical_cylones_1970_-_2018.png	Uploaded on 07/19/2018 at 11:56AM
US_EPA_high-low-temps-figure1-2016.png	Uploaded on 07/19/2018 at 11:56AM
drought-figure1-2016.png	Uploaded on 07/19/2018 at 11:56AM
Drought_NZ.png	Uploaded on 07/19/2018 at 11:56AM