



**Further submission in support of, or in opposition to,
submission on the publicly notified proposed national policy
statement on Freshwater Management**

To The Chairperson
Board of Inquiry

Name of person making further submission:
[full name and address]

Anabella Vidal on behalf of Rotorua District Council
Private Bag 3029
Rotorua Mail Centre
Rotorua 3046

This is a further submission in support of, or in opposition to, a submission on the proposed national policy statement for freshwater management (the proposal).

I support the submission of:
[name and address of original submitter and submission number of original submission]

Submitter Number: 11
Submitter Name: Local Government New Zealand

The particular parts of the submission I support are:
[clearly indicate which parts of the original submission you support or oppose together with any relevant provisions of the proposal. Please append any additional text]

I support the submission of Local Government New Zealand in all but one of its parts, the exception being:

In regards to Objective 9 as stated in the submissions :

"Current obligations under the RMA for monitoring are sufficient and further obligations are unnecessary. "

The reasons for my opposition are:

I believe it will be difficult to ascertain whether the NPS is being effective in achieving its objectives and policies if clear direction is not given within the document about what and how to monitor. In Council's experience there is a lot of monitoring being done, but not everyone monitors with the same method, which makes it difficult to interpret trends on a greater than local level. If, for example the NPS stated four environmental indicators linked to the objectives and policies, then all local authorities will be collecting the

same information, making it useful national information about New Zealand's freshwater resources.

I support the submission of:

[name and address of original submitter and submission number of original submission]

Submitter Number: 89

Submitter Name: Resource Management Law Association

The particular parts of the submission I support are:

[clearly indicate which parts of the original submission you support or oppose together with any relevant provisions of the proposal. Please append any additional text]

I support the submissions of Submitter 89, Resource Management Law Association in it's following statements:

“RELIEF SOUGHT

more clarity is required and more specific measurable thresholds and targets should be set. Without clear thresholds and targets, the NPS does not provide any substantive additional direction over and above existing provisions in the Act.

RELIEF SOUGHT

There needs to be consistency with the terminology, concepts and objectives used in both the NES and NPS. NPS and NES will have a significant degree of overlap and commonality therefore it will be essential for these to be a process whereby this consistency is achieved. A process needs to be put in place to address this concern.”

The reasons for my support or opposition are:

Clarity, well defined terminology and commonality all help provide a clear direction and message for local government to work to and produce good outcomes.

I seek that the whole (or part *[describe part]*) of the submission be allowed/disallowed *[give precise details and append any additional text]*

I do not wish to be heard in support of this submission.

.....
Signature of submitter (or person authorised to sign on behalf of submitter)

.....
Date 14/04/09

(A signature is not required if you make your submission by electronic means.)

Address for service of submitter:	Rotorua District Council Private Bag 3029 Rotorua Mail Centre Rotorua Rotorua, 3046
Telephone:	(07) 350-0209 ext 8530
Fax/email:	anabella.vidal@rdc.govt.nz
Contact person: [<i>name and designation, if applicable</i>]	Anabella Vidal Environmental Planner/ State of Environment Monitor

