



Submission on proposal for national policy statement for freshwater management

Section 49 of the Resource Management Act 1991.

To: the Chairperson
Board of Inquiry

This is a submission on the following proposed national policy statement (the proposal):

Proposed national policy statement for freshwater management.

The specific provisions of the proposal that my submission relates to are:

[give details]

My submission is:

[include –

- Whether you support or oppose the specific provisions or wish to have them amended;
and
- The reasons for your views.

I seek the following changes to the proposal:

[please state exactly the changes to the proposal that you are requesting]

I wish (or do not wish) to be heard in support of my submission.

* If others make a similar submission, I will consider presenting a joint case with them at a hearing.

* Delete if you would not consider presenting a joint case.

David Rencouf

D.W. Rencouf.....

Signature of submitter

(or signature of person authorised to sign)

8th December 2008.....

Date

(A signature is not required if you make your submission by electronic means.)

Address for service of submitter;

Telephone: 06-8783239

Fax/email:

Contact person: [name and designation, if applicable]

Submission on proposal for 'National Policy Statement for Freshwater Management'
Section 49 of the Resource Management act 1991

To: The Chairperson Board of Inquiry and Members of the Board of Inquiry

David Renouf submission is

- I request that that the Board of inquiry considers the decision of the Environment Court Decision No. A 123 /2008 – Dated at Auckland on 6th day of November 2008 Waikato Regional Plan Variation 5 [(RPV5) – Lake Taupo catchment] Which directly relates to The 'National Policy Statement for Freshwater Management' document
- Some main points
 1. Allows regional councils to set stock limits
 2. Sets a base line for nitrogen in a specific catchment areas.
 3. Restricting nitrogen discharges from rural land use activities by imposing a "cap" on nitrogen leaching.
 4. Manageable nitrogen
 5. RMA section 15 – [unless expressly allowed]
 6. Environment Waikato seeks to ensure that rules of RPV5 are framed in such a way as to encompass and properly authorise all aspects of activities to which the rules relate, including, if necessary, discharges from farm animals. [203]

The reason for my view is

- Because this is a robust decision from the Environment Court
- &
- Has not been appealed – therefore it shows a very robust decision which should be used in NZ
- Waikato Regional Plan Variation 5 Environment Court decision directly relates to the 'National Policy Statement for Freshwater Management' document
- Therefore there is no reason why these decision details cannot be included into the 'National Policy Statement for Freshwater Management'
- This is an opportunity not to be missed to have robust data included into all regional council's plans so that Freshwater management will be easier and more cost effective for regional council's. This will provide regional council's a robust tool which has cost them nothing.

I seek the following:

1. That Waikato Regional Plan Variation 5 Environment Court decision details be included into 'National Policy Statement for Freshwater Management' document

I wish to speak to my submission – thank you

D.W. Renouf
David Renouf
603A Ballantyne Street, Hastings 4120
Telephone 06-8783239

8th December 2008