Steve Rice

From: TPCallin [TPCallin@mfe.govt.nz]
Sent: Thursday, 27 September 2007 4:08 p.m.
To: rice-resources-ltd@clear.net.nz
Subject: FW: Grid Upgrade Submissions

From: anonymous@createhosting.net.nz[SMTP:ANONYMOUS@CREATEHOSTING.NET.NZ]
Sent: Thursday, September 27, 2007 4:05:07 PM
To: boisub@notowers.co.nz
Subject: Grid Upgrade Submissions
Auto forwarded by a Rule

****

Name: Walter Wayne West
Phone: 07 8461370
Email: waynewwest@xtra.co.nz
Yes: I/we wish to be heard in support of my/our submission at the public hearing, and expect I will need between one and two hours to present my evidence. I would like the location of the portion of the hearing which will receive my evidence to be held in a local venue near to where I live.
submitter: Wayne West
A: copy of this submission has been emailed to Transpower.
Scope: This submission covers all notices of requirements and applications for resource consents relating Transpower's applications that will be heard by this Board of Inquiry. The references are NORs 27619, L07089, DES0011/07, RMR200621, DN/0006/07, 240/021, RM070209, and resource consents 34102, 34370, 34372, 34373, 34711, 34712, 116902, 116903, 116904, 116905, and any other NORs or resource consent applications that may subsequently be filed or altered. We oppose all of these NORs and applications for resource consent.
Re: This submission relates to the notices of requirement and/or applications for resource consent, lodged by Transpower New Zealand Ltd for the North Island Grid Upgrade Project, and identified above.
Remote Name: 125.237.131.48
Date: 27 September 2007

Address:

89 Amanda Ave.

Hamilton

Reasons:

I oppose these towers because of the unlawful,long term desecration of the landscape.

I am completely opposed to Transpower's intention to build this line. Electricity Commission (2007 SOO) do not justify its construction. The scale and capacity of this proposed 400kV capable line is completely out of alignment with the expected requirements for transmission capacity into Auckland to meet demand growth in the next 40 years. The potential new generation capacity likely to be constructed in the region over the next 40 years have been underestimated. Discussion on the alternatives to New Zealanders first preferences are already being aired, the advent of a wind and other forms are very likely to happen.

Better equal benefit alternatives to this proposed line are available that have a much reduced environmental impact, such as more generation in the Auckland region, duplexing and reconductoring of the Whakamaru A, B and C lines, use of HVDC transmission technology which will be less obtrusive and easier to underground, more extensive use of undergrounding of HVAC lines, and consideration of new conventional small scale 220kV transmission lines.

No one knows if the 65m corridor to reduce health risks and environmental impacts are any where near safe working margins.

I oppose large scale HVAC transmission lines being built on narrow 65 metre wide easements because of the EMF emissions and ionized particle emissions that will come from this line, which have been proven to cause numerous diseases, including childhood leukaemia.

I oppose these applications because Transpower tried to justify the need for this line being built by using out of date and inaccurately high demand growth forecasts (2005 SOO), have not included the cost of environmental impacts in their justifications, and are providing misleading and inaccurate costings of the real alternative options to this line that should be considered.

Therefore:

I seek the following decision from the Board of Inquiry. That the application for Notices of Requirement and Resource Consents should be turned down completely, and Transpower directed to investigate generation, conservation and transmission alternatives that will avoid this line being built under any circumstances.

I explicitly do not want the Board of Inquiry to approve the applications subject to conditions - I want the applications turned down in whole.