

SUBMISSION ON TRANSPOWER'S NOTICES OF REQUIREMENT AND APPLICATIONS FOR RESOURCE CONSENTS

Section 145 of the Resource Management Act 1991

RECEIVED ON

- 5 OCT 2007
1180

Submit to BOTH:

Minister for the Environment
Freeport Grid Upgrade Submissions
PO Box 4405
Hamilton East
HAMILTON 3247
Email: tpcalln@mfe.govt.nz
Fax: 04 439 7700

And Transpower
Freepost 182915
PO Box 1021
WELLINGTON
Email: submissions@transpower.co.nz
Fax: 04 819 7624

Please note: you must forward a copy of this submission to BOTH Ministry for the Environment AND Transpower, by any means you wish (post, fax, email)

This submission relates to the notices of requirement and/or applications for resource consent, lodged by Transpower New Zealand Ltd for the North Island Grid Upgrade Project, and identified below.

CLOSING DATE FOR SUBMISSIONS: 5 OCTOBER 2007

My/Our Full Name(s): John Ernest Thurlow

Postal Address: 337 Taniwha Road, RD1, TE KAUWHATA

Wk Ph: Hm Ph: 07 826 7858 Cell:

Wk Fax: Hm Fax: 07 826 7670 Email:

The reasons for my submission are:

I am completely opposed to Transpower's intention to build this un-needed line, as the demand growth projections of the Electricity Commission (2007 SOO) do not justify its construction. The scale and capacity of this proposed 400kV capable line is completely out of alignment with the expected requirements for transmission capacity into Auckland to meet demand growth in the next 40 years. The potential new generation capacity likely to be constructed in the Auckland region in the next 40 years has been grossly underestimated in an attempt to justify this line as one of national significance and urgency.

Better equal benefit alternatives to this proposed line are available that have a much reduced environmental impact, such as more generation in the Auckland region, duplexing and re-conductoring of the Whakamaru A, B and C lines, use of HVDC transmission technology which will be less obtrusive and easier to underground, more extensive use of undergrounding of HVAC lines, and consideration of new conventional small scale 220kV transmission lines.

I oppose this line being built on a 65 metre wide easement, when a 600 metre wide easement, free of houses and workplaces, should be required as a precautionary principle to reduce future health risks and environmental impacts.

I oppose large scale HVAC transmission lines being built on narrow 65 metre wide easements because of the EMF emissions and ionized particle emissions that will come from this line, which have been proven to cause numerous diseases, including childhood leukaemia.

I oppose these applications because Transpower has falsely (and perhaps criminally) tried to justify the need for this line being built by using out of date and inaccurately high demand growth forecasts (2005 SOO), have not included the cost of environmental impacts in their justifications, and are providing misleading and inaccurate costings of the real alternative options to this line that should be considered.

Please complete other side of form



Ministry for the
Environment
Reshape Our Future

I/we seek the following decision from the Board of Inquiry (provide precise details including the nature of any conditions sought):

That the application for Notices of Requirement and Resource Consents should be turned down completely, and Transpower directed to investigate generation, conservation and transmission alternatives that will avoid this line being built under any circumstances.

I explicitly **do not** want the Board of Inquiry to approve the applications subject to conditions - I want the applications turned down in whole.

*

I/we wish to be heard in support of my/our submission at the public hearing, and expect I will need between one and two hours to present my evidence. I would like the location of the portion of the hearing which will receive my evidence to be held in a local venue near to where I live.



I/we make my/our submission concerning all notices of requirements and applications for resource consents relating Transpower's applications that will be heard by this Board of Inquiry. The references are NORs 27619, L07089, DES0011/07, RMR200621, DN/0006/07, 240/021, RM070209, and resource consents 34102, 34370, 34372, 34373, 34711, 34712, 116902, 116903, 116904, 116905, and any other NORs or resource consent applications that may subsequently be filed or altered. We oppose all of these NORs and applications for resource consent.



I/we have served a copy of my/our submission on the applicant by copy to Transpower as above.



Signature(s):

J E Thurlow

Date: 4-10-07

(Signature is not required for electronic (email) submissions. If this is a joint submission by two or more individuals, each individual's name is required.)

- * The proposed pylons, 70 metres high, would be a permanent blight on our landscape. "We must look after our environment." With new technology coming in the near future, it could provide a suitable underground cable.
- The enormous cost of \$650 million is a waste of taxpayers money. A much cheaper option would be to duplex the two flat top pylons that run through our valley. This would be sufficient to service the needs for many years.
- Transpower has failed to take into consideration the new generation that has been proposed near Auckland and north of Auckland.
- SOLAR panels for hot water would greatly reduce the demand.
- WIND generation is a renewable source. (My brother-in-law had a farm at Kaukapakapa on the ridge overlooking the Kaipara harbour. The trees on the ridge had a definite lean from the constant westerly winds sweeping up from the harbour).
- TIDAL generation. The Manakau and Kaipara have great potential for generation. A guaranteed supply twice daily! New Zealand could lead the world in tidal generation.



Ministry for the Environment
Kaupapa: Whakamātau