

SUBMISSION ON TRANSPOWER'S NOTICES OF REQUIREMENT AND APPLICATIONS FOR RESOURCE CONSENTS

Section 145 of the Resource Management Act 1991

RECEIVED ON

- 4 OCT 2007

0885

Submit to BOTH:

Minister for the Environment
Freeport Grid Upgrade Submissions
PO Box 4405
Hamilton East
HAMILTON 3247
Email: tpcallin@mfe.govt.nz
Fax: 04 439 7700

And Transpower
Freepost 182915
PO Box 1021
WELLINGTON
Email: submissions@transpower.co.nz
Fax: 04 819 7624

Please note: you must forward a copy of this submission to BOTH Ministry for the Environment AND Transpower, by any means you wish (post, fax, email)

This submission relates to the notices of requirement and/or applications for resource consent, lodged by Transpower New Zealand Ltd for the North Island Grid Upgrade Project, and identified below.

CLOSING DATE FOR SUBMISSIONS: 5 OCTOBER 2007

My/Our Full Name(s): Ian and Pamela Storey

Postal Address: 129
Mangatea Road, RD 4,
Ohinewai 3784

Wk Ph: 07 824 4141

Hm Ph: 07
824 4141

Cell: 027 482 6494

Wk Fax: 07 824 4140

Hm Fax: 07 824 4140

Email: ianstorey@xtra.co.nz

The reasons for my submission are:

I DP FRP SPANQ RSSRSHG W 7 UDS SRZHU S IN WH WH W EULC W S UN-NH-G-G CH, DS WH G-P DnG GURZ W SURF W R WH (Q-F-W-L-W Commission (2007 SOO) do not justify its construction. The scale and capacity of this proposed 400kS capable line is completely out of alignment with the expected requirements for transmission capacity into Auckland to meet demand growth in the next 40 years. The potential new generation capacity likely to be constructed in the Auckland region in the next 40 years has been grossly underestimated in an attempt to justify this line as one of national significance and urgency.

Better equal benefit alternatives to this proposed line are available that have a much reduced environmental impact, such as more generation in the Auckland region, duplexing and reconductoring of the Whakamaru A, B and C lines, use of H^S DC transmission technology which will be less obtrusive and easier to underground, more extensive use of undergrounding of H^S AC lines, and consideration of new conventional small scale 220kS transmission lines.

I oppose this line being built on a 65 metre wide easement, when a 600 metre wide easement, free of houses and workplaces, should be required as a precautionary principle to reduce future health risks and environmental impacts.

I oppose large scale H^S AC transmission lines being built on narrow 65 metre wide easements because of the EMF emissions and ionized particle emissions that will come from this line, which have been proven to cause numerous diseases, including childhood leukaemia.

I oppose these applications because Transpower has falsely (and perhaps criminally) tried to justify the need for this line being built by using out of date and inaccurately high demand growth forecasts (2005 SOO), have not included the cost of environmental impacts in their justifications, and are providing misleading and inaccurate costings of the real alternative options to this line that should be considered.

7 UDS SRZHU S SURS RSHG 400NB RVHU HDG W D N S P L S S R N CH Z L CH DVH S I G N I L L F D N W H G D W H I P S C F V R N W H V D Q H R R U S U R S H U W S D N G W H current and future profitability of our farming business.

(V H N I L W S G R S N V G R D H D G Z H I H O N D W M S S U R S S S H S G H D F H G R U S U R S H U W I N W H H Y S R S R A N D C E U Y H S D N G W H U R U H Z H H D V H already experienced a negative impact because our property has been identified as part of a future transmission corridor.

We are greatly concerned in regards to the unknown impact that an easement will have on our ability to run our farming operation in the future. Farming is significantly different than what it was 20 years ago so it is difficult to have a realistic concept of the implications and impacts this easement would have on our farming operation henceforth.

We utilise helicopters and aircraft in our farming operations. Any limitation on the utilisation or effectiveness of these services impacts negatively on the profitability of our operations. What limitation or restrictions are proposed in relation to these services around the proposed line and what compensation will be made to offset the business losses associated with these limitations or restrictions?

Transpower does not have any legal easement over the existing lines covering this current corridor. We feel that it is imperative that Transpower address this anomaly before making applications for future expansion.

I/we seek the following decision from the Board of Inquiry (provide precise details including the nature of any conditions sought):

That the application for Notices of Requirement and Resource Consents should be turned down completely, and Transpower directed to investigate generation, conservation and transmission alternatives that will avoid this line being built under any circumstances.

I explicitly do not want the Board of Inquiry to approve my applications subject to conditions - I want my applications turned down in whole.

I/we **wish to be heard** in support of my/our submission at the public hearing, and expect I will need between one and two hours to present my evidence. I would like the location of the portion of the hearing which will receive my evidence to be held in a local venue near to where I live.



I/we make my/our submission concerning all notices of requirements and applications for resource consents relating to Transpower's applications that will be heard by the Board of Inquiry. The references are NZRS 27619, /07089, D(S0011/07, Rj R200S2N, aN/000S/0T, 240/02N, Rj 0T0209, and resource consents 34ND2, 343T0, 343T2, 343T3, 34TNN, 34TN2, NNS902, NNS903, NNS904, NNS90R, and any other NZRS or resource consent applications that may subsequently be filed or altered. We oppose all of these NZRS and applications for resource consent.



I/we have served a copy of my/our submission on the applicant by copy to Transpower as above.



Names(s):	Ian Ptorey	Date:	4 Oct. 07
	Pamela Ptorey		4 Oct. 07

(Signature is not required for electronic (email) submissions.
If this is a joint submission by two or more individuals, each individual's name is required.)