

SUBMISSION ON TRANSPOWER'S NOTIFICATION AND APPLICATIONS FOR RESOURCE CONSENT

Section 145 of the Resource Management Act 1991

RECEIVED ON
- 3 OCT 2007
0724

Submit to: RC/TEC Minister for the Environment Freeport Grid Upgrade Submissions PO Box 4405 Hamilton East HAMILTON 3247 Email: tpcalling@me.govt.nz Fax: 07 499 7760	And Transpower Freeport PO Box 102 WELLINGTON Email: sub Fax: 04
--	---

Please note, you must forward a copy of this submission to BOTH Ministers (means you wish (post fax email))

This submission relates to the scope of requirement and/or applications for Resource Consent for the North Island Grid Upgrade Project, and identified below.

CLOSING DATE FOR SUBMISSIONS: 9 OCTOBER 2007

My/Our Full Name(s): Peter James Phillips & 8

Postal Address: 318B Scott Road, R.D.2, Morrill

Wk Ph: _____ Hm Ph: (07) 889 6784

Wk Fax: _____ Hm Fax: (07) 889 6786

The reasons for my submission are:

I am completely opposed to Transpower's intention to build this un-needed line, as the Commission (2007 SOO) do not justify its construction. The scale and capacity of this line do not align with the expected requirements for transmission capacity into Auckland, and the potential new generation capacity likely to be constructed in the Auckland region. In an attempt to justify this line as one of national significance and urgency.

Better equal benefit alternatives to this proposed line are available that have a major benefit to the generation in the Auckland region, duplexing and reconductoring of the Whakarewa lines, and the use of HVDC technology which will be less obtrusive and easier to underground, more extensive, and more cost-effective. Consideration of new conventional small scale 220kV transmission lines.

I oppose this line being built on a 65 metre wide easement, when a 800 metre wide easement should be required as a precautionary principle to reduce future health risks and environmental impacts.

I oppose large scale HVAC transmission lines being built on narrow 65 metre wide easements, as ionized particle emissions that will come from this line, which have been proven to cause leukaemia.

I oppose these applications because Transpower has falsely (and perhaps criminally) used out of date and inaccurately high demand growth forecasts (2005 SOO), to justify their justifications, and are providing misleading and inaccurate costings of the project.

Please complete other side of



I/we seek the following decision from the Board of Inquiry (provide precise details)

That the application for Notices of Requirement and Resource Consents should be refused to investigate generation, conservation and transmission alternatives that will avoid

I explicitly do not want the Board of Inquiry to approve the applications subject to the whole.

I/we wish to be heard in support of my/our submission at the public hearing, and hours to present my evidence. I would like the location of the portion of the hearing in a local venue near to where I live.

I/we make my/our submission concerning all notices of requirements and applications Transpower's applications that will be heard by this Board of Inquiry. The references RMR200621, DN/0006/07, 240/021, FM/070209, and resource consents 34102, 116903, 116804, 116805, and any other NORs or resource consent applications oppose all of these NORs and applications for resource consent.

I/we have served a copy of my/our submission on the applicant by copy to Transpower.

Signature(s):

A. Phillips
A. Phillips

(Signature is not required for electronic (email) submissions. If this is a joint submission by two or more individuals, each individual's name is required.)