

SUBMISSION ON TRANSPOWER'S NOTICES OF REQUIREMENT AND APPLICATIONS FOR RESOURCE CONSENTS

Section 145 of the Resource Management Act 1991

Submit to BOTH:

Minister for the Environment
Freeport Grid Upgrade Submissions
PO Box 4405
Hamilton East
HAMILTON 3247
Email: tpcallin@mfe.govt.nz
Fax: 04 439 7700

And Transpower
Freepost 182915
PO Box 1021
WELLINGTON
Email: submissions@transpower.co.nz
Fax: 04 819 7624

Please note: you must forward a copy of this submission to BOTH Ministry for the Environment AND Transpower, by any means you wish (post, fax, email)

This submission relates to the notices of requirement and/or applications for resource consent, lodged by Transpower New Zealand Ltd for the North Island Grid Upgrade Project, and identified below.

CLOSING DATE FOR SUBMISSIONS: 5 OCTOBER 2007

My/Our Full Name(s): Murray Osmond

Postal Address: P O Box 603 Cambridge

Wk Ph: 07 8230394

Hm Ph:

Cell:

Wk Fax:

Hm Fax:

Email: cantab@xtra.co.nz

The reasons for my submission are:

I am completely opposed to Transpower's intention to build this un-needed line, as the demand growth projections of the Electricity Commission (2007 SOO) do not justify its construction. The scale and capacity of this proposed 400kV capable line is completely out of alignment with the expected requirements for transmission capacity into Auckland to meet demand growth in the next 40 years. The potential new generation capacity likely to be constructed in the Auckland region in the next 40 years has been grossly underestimated in an attempt to justify this line as one of national significance and urgency.

Better equal benefit alternatives to this proposed line are available that have a much reduced environmental impact, such as more generation in the Auckland region, duplexing and reconductoring of the Whakamaru A, B and C lines, use of HVDC transmission technology which will be less obtrusive and easier to underground, more extensive use of undergrounding of HVAC lines, and consideration of new conventional small scale 220kV transmission lines.

I oppose this line being built on a 65 metre wide easement, when a 600 metre wide easement, free of houses and workplaces, should be required as a precautionary principle to reduce future health risks and environmental impacts.

I oppose large scale HVAC transmission lines being built on narrow 65 metre wide easements because of the EMF emissions and ionized particle emissions that will come from this line.

I oppose these applications because Transpower has falsely tried to justify the need for this line being built by using out of date and inaccurately high demand growth forecasts (2005 SOO), have not included the cost of environmental impacts in their justifications, and are providing misleading and inaccurate costings of the real alternative options to this line that should be considered.

I oppose these applications because of the desecration of the special landscape by the intrusion of the towers, particular in areas already identified as landscapes of national importance. The values of landscape are special and we only have one opportunity to protect, maintain and preserve the rural amenity for ourselves and for the future generations.

I oppose these applications because a National Policy Statement cannot and should not override the specific provisions of Section 6 of the Resource Management Act 1991 as amended and there is a "tipping point" which is more than reached in this situation. There are no conditions which could be imposed which would mitigate the effects of the proposed line and the cumulative effects of the lines is such that the property which is landscape, and which is owned by us all, will be irretrievably compromised.



I seek the following decision from the Board of Inquiry (provide precise details including the nature of any conditions sought):

That the application for Notices of Requirement and Resource Consents should be declined, and Transpower directed to investigate generation, conservation and transmission alternatives that will be environmentally sustainable and acceptable as well as avoiding this line being built under any circumstances.

I explicitly **do not** want the Board of Inquiry to approve the applications subject to conditions – I want the applications turned down in whole.

I **wish to be heard** in support of my submission at the public hearing, and expect I will need between one and two hours to present my evidence. I would like the location of the portion of the hearing which will receive my evidence to be held in a local venue near to where I live.



I make my submission concerning **all** notices of requirements and applications for resource consents relating Transpower's applications that will be heard by this Board of Inquiry. The references are NORs 27619, L07089, DES0011/07, RMR200621, DN/0006/07, 240/021, RM070209, and resource consents 34102, 34370, 34372, 34373, 34711, 34712, 116902, 116903, 116904, 116905, and any other NORs or resource consent applications that may subsequently be filed or altered. I oppose all of these NORs and applications for resource consent.



I have served a copy of my submission on the applicant by copy to Transpower as above.



Names(s): Murray Osmond

Date: 21/09/2007

(Signature is not required for electronic (email) submissions.
If this is a joint submission by two or more individuals, each individual's name is required.)

