

SUBMISSION ON TRANSPOWER'S NOTICES OF REQUIREMENT AND APPLICATIONS FOR RESOURCE CONSENTS

Section 145 of the Resource Management Act 1991

RECEIVED ON

- 5 OCT 2007

1167

Submit to BOTH:

Minister for the Environment
Freepost Grid Upgrade Submissions
PO Box 4405
Hamilton East
HAMILTON 3247
Email: tpcallin@mfe.govt.nz
Fax: 04 439 7700

And Transpower
Freepost 182915
PO Box 1021
WELLINGTON
Email: submissions@transpower.co.nz
Fax: 04 819 7624

Please note: you must forward a copy of this submission to BOTH Ministry for the Environment AND Transpower, by any means you wish (post, fax, email)

This submission relates to the notices of requirement and/or applications for resource consent, lodged by Transpower New Zealand Ltd for the North Island Grid Upgrade Project, and identified below.

CLOSING DATE FOR SUBMISSIONS: 5 OCTOBER 2007

My/Our Full Name(s): ORHAN HUSEYIN KIRADSI
Postal Address: 430 MONUMENT RD, RD 2 PAPAURA 2582
Wk Ph: 2788480 Hm Ph: 2929293 Cell:
Wk Fax: Hm Fax: Email:

The reasons for my submission are:

I am completely opposed to Transpower's intention to build this un-needed line, as the demand growth projections of the Electricity Commission (2007 SOO) do not justify its construction. The scale and capacity of this proposed 400kV capable line is completely out of alignment with the expected requirements for transmission capacity into Auckland to meet demand growth in the next 40 years. The potential new generation capacity likely to be constructed in the Auckland region in the next 40 years has been grossly underestimated in an attempt to justify this line as one of national significance and urgency.

Better equal benefit alternatives to this proposed line are available that have a much reduced environmental impact, such as more generation in the Auckland region, duplexing and reconductoring of the Whakamaru A, B and C lines, use of HVDC transmission technology which will be less obtrusive and easier to underground, more extensive use of undergrounding of HVAC lines, and consideration of new conventional small scale 220kV transmission lines.

I oppose this line being built on a 65 metre wide easement, when a 600 metre wide easement, free of houses and workplaces, should be required as a precautionary principle to reduce future health risks and environmental impacts.

I oppose large scale HVAC transmission lines being built on narrow 65 metre wide easements because of the EMF emissions and ionized particle emissions that will come from this line, which have been proven to cause numerous diseases, including childhood leukaemia.

I oppose these applications because Transpower has falsely (and perhaps criminally) tried to justify the need for this line being built by using out of date and inaccurately high demand growth forecasts (2005 SOO), have not included the cost of environmental impacts in their justifications, and are providing misleading and inaccurate costings of the real alternative options to this line that should be considered.

Please complete other side of form



Ministry for the
Environment
Manatū Māta Rau

I/we seek the following decision from the Board of Inquiry (provide precise details including the nature of any conditions sought):

That the application for Notices of Requirement and Resource Consents should be turned down completely, and Transpower directed to investigate generation, conservation and transmission alternatives that will avoid this line being built under any circumstances.

I explicitly **do not** want the Board of Inquiry to approve the applications subject to conditions – I want the applications turned down in whole.

EXTRA NOTES PLEASE REFER TO
ATTACHED SHEET

I/we **wish to be heard** in support of my/our submission at the public hearing, and expect I will need between one and two hours to present my evidence. I would like the location of the portion of the hearing which will receive my evidence to be held in a local venue near to where I live.

✓

I/we make my/our submission concerning **all** notices of requirements and applications for resource consents relating Transpower's applications that will be heard by this Board of Inquiry. The references are NORs 27619, L07089, DES0011/07, RMR200621, DN/0006/07, 240/021, RM070209, and resource consents 34102, 34370, 34372, 34373, 34711, 34712, 116902, 116903, 116904, 116905, and any other NORs or resource consent applications that may subsequently be filed or altered. We oppose all of these NORs and applications for resource consent.

✓

I/we have served a copy of my/our submission on the applicant by copy to Transpower as above.

✓

Signature(s):

O. Kuz

Date:

28.9.07

(Signature is not required for electronic (email) submissions.
If this is a joint submission by two or more individuals, each individual's name is required.)



Ministry for the
Environment
Māori Te Taiao

O. KIRADJI

From a personal viewpoint, there will be a 60metre pylon 95metres from the kitchen window. Power lines will cross the front of the property 70metres away.

To hide this ugliness would require planting of trees along the fence line to reduce the visual impact of the pylon which will be very shiny from a few years also planting would be needed to try to obscure the lines which apparently hang quite low.

The property has an 180° view over Clevedon Valley this will be ruined.

Small aircraft and helicopters fly around this area, from the Ardmere Airport, quite frequently and the thought of having ugly orange balls or lights along this line to warn pilots of the danger is making Clevedon look like a circus not a scenic area that it is.

The idea of an alternative like putting the lines underground, apparently about 8 EU countries use this method and not for just a few kms. It would also be cheaper to operate even if it is more expensive to install.

If the life of the pylons is considered long term then the effects of this line should be looked at long term i.e. the future growth of the affected areas, will there more growth? MCC is already the most densely populated area that the line passes through growth in any area is going to occur no matter how we try to stop it.

I support the ARC & MCC in their endeavors to underground the power lines.

More investigation is required into alternatives before we are lumbered with this eyesore as it will in no way enhance the scenic areas that this line is going to run through.

I read recently that for each pylon 25 to 30 truck loads of concrete will be required.

What will this do to the water table and the properties that will have these pylons?

Environmentally this project will be disastrous.