

Steve Rice

From: TPCallin [TPCallin@mfe.govt.nz]  
Sent: Thursday, 4 October 2007 2:33 p.m.  
To: rice-resources-ltd@clear.net.nz  
Subject: FW: Grid Upgrade Submissions

RECEIVED ON

- 4 OCT 2007

0835

-----  
From: anonymous@createhosting.net.nz [SMTP:ANONYMOUS@CREATEHOSTING.NET.NZ]  
Sent: Thursday, October 04, 2007 2:29:38 PM  
To: boisub@notowers.co.nz  
Subject: Grid Upgrade Submissions  
Auto forwarded by a Rule

\*\*\*\*\*

Name: Christine Michelle Goodin  
Phone: 07 8267702  
Email: goodinnd@extra.co.nz

Yes:

submitter: Christine Goodin

A: copy of this submission has been emailed to Transpower.

Scope: This submission covers all notices of requirements and applications for resource consents relating Transpower's applications that will be heard by this Board of Inquiry. The references are NORs 27619, L07089, DES0011/07, RMR200621, DN/0006/07, 240/021, RM070209, and resource consents 34102, 34370, 34372, 34373, 34711, 34712, 116902, 116903, 116904, 116905, and any other NORs or resource consent applications that may subsequently be filed or altered. We oppose all of these NORs and applications for resource consent.

Re: This submission relates to the notices of requirement and/or applications for resource consent, lodged by Transpower New Zealand Ltd for the North Island Grid Upgrade Project, and identified above.

Remote Name: 210.54.67.87

Date: 04 October 2007

Address:

60 Goodin Rd

R D 1

Te Kauwhata

Reasons:

I am opposed to Transpower's intention to build this line.

I will accept the impact of power generation, conservation and transmission of power that I, and my business, consume but AM OPPOSED to wearing the disadvantages, such as devalued property and diminished visual outlook, for the benefit of others. I do believe that increased generation of electricity may be required for future use by Northland and Auckland but have seen no programmes or proof that those people who will benefit from increased transmission have a willingness to reduce or conserve power usage. Surely those that have the problem should wear the disadvantages and cost of the problem.

In regard to the proposal presented and under review: \_

I do believe that increased generation of electricity is required for future demand but do question the accuracy of forecast population growth, anticipated demand and true capacity of the intended line. I also do not believe that the cost of alternative transmission methods is truly represented, particularly when considered on a long term basis.

Public objections to the line have not been adequately considered, indicated by little or no compromise to Transpowers initial proposal. This is especially the case in relation to 1. Equal benefit alternatives such as underground transmission 2. Suggested alternative routes for an overhead line 3. The visual impact upon landowners and adjacent properties affecting current and future land values.

The current proposal inadequately recognises the massive impacts upon landowners, particularly business owners during installation of the line. Neither does the proposal take into account the long term environmental impacts which would justify the cost of alternatives such as underground transmission.

Therefore:

The application for Notices of Requirement and Resource Consents should be turned down and Transpower directed to investigate generation, conservation and transmission alternatives that will avoid this line being built south of Auckland.