

Steve Rice

From: TPCallin [TPCallin@mfe.govt.nz]
Sent: Monday, 1 October 2007 8:38 p.m.
To: rice-resources-ltd@clear.net.nz
Subject: Spam: FW: Grid Upgrade Submissions

RECEIVED ON
- 1 OCT 2007

0537

From: anonymous@createhosting.net.nz [SMTP:ANONYMOUS@CREATEHOSTING.NET.NZ]
Sent: Monday, October 01, 2007 8:34:48 PM
To: boisub@notowers.co.nz
Subject: Grid Upgrade Submissions
Auto forwarded by a Rule

Name: Caroline Jane Greig
Phone: 09-2928745
Email: cgreig@extra.co.nz
Yes: I/we wish to be heard in support of my/our submission at the public hearing, and expect I will need between one and two hours to present my evidence. I would like the location of the portion of the hearing which will receive my evidence to be held in a local venue near to where I live.
submitter: Caroline Jane Greig
A: copy of this submission has been emailed to Transpower.
Scope: This submission covers all notices of requirements and applications for resource consents relating Transpower's applications that will be heard by this Board of Inquiry. The references are NORs 27619, L07089, DES0011/07, RMR200621, DN/0006/07, 240/021, RM070209, and resource consents 34102, 34370, 34372, 34373, 34711, 34712, 116902, 116903, 116904, 116905, and any other NORs or resource consent applications that may subsequently be filed or altered. We oppose all of these NORs and applications for resource consent.
Re: This submission relates to the notices of requirement and/or applications for resource consent, lodged by Transpower New Zealand Ltd for the North Island Grid Upgrade Project, and identified above.
Remote Name: 219.89.176.211
Date: 01 October 2007

Address:

9 McNicol Road
Clevedon
R D 5 Papakura

Reasons:

I am opposed to Transpower's intention to build this line and to build it in the way that they have stated.

There seems to be considerable evidence contrary to that supplied by Transpower as to the actual need for this line, and I believe that the reasons being put forward by Transpower to justify this line are based on out of date and inaccurate information.

I believe that Transpower has not properly and fully explored the need for this line and the alternatives to ensuring reliable power supply to Auckland. There appears good evidence that a reliable power supply for Auckland can be achieved through other means which will have a hugely reduced environmental impact than Transpower's proposed line.

I believe that it is critical to consider the environmental impact of this line as well as the financial aspect. Transpower has only looked at a very narrow scope both

in supply of Auckland's electricity needs and the costings, and it seems that Transpower is providing misleading and inaccurate costings of the real alternative options to this line that should be considered.

Living in Clevedon, which is a beautiful valley treasured by many in the region (not just the locals), I know that the visual impact of the proposed huge pylons will be enormous. I strongly believe that IF a line is deemed necessary it should be put underground to reduce the impact visually and to reduce future health risks and other adverse environmental impacts.

Therefore:

That the application for Notices of Requirement and Resource Consents should be turned down completely, and Transpower directed to investigate generation, conservation and transmission alternatives that will avoid this line being built under any circumstances.

I explicitly do not want the Board of Inquiry to approve the applications subject to conditions - I want the applications turned down in whole.