

SUBMISSION ON TRANSPOWER'S NOTICES OF REQUIREMENT AND APPLICATIONS FOR RESOURCE CONSENTS

Section 145 of the Resource Management Act 1991

RECEIVED ON

Submit to BOTH:

- 2 OCT 2007

Minister for the Environment
Freepost Grid Upgrade Submissions
PO Box 4405
Hamilton East
HAMILTON 3247
Email: tpcallin@mfe.govt.nz
Fax: 04 436 7700

And Transpower
Freepost 182915
PO Box 1021
WELLINGTON
Email: submissions@transpower.co.nz
Fax: 04 819 7624

0544

Please note: you must forward a copy of this submission to BOTH Ministry for the Environment AND Transpower, by any means you wish (post, fax, email).

This submission relates to the notices of requirement and/or applications for resource consent, lodged by Transpower New Zealand Ltd for the North Island Grid Upgrade Project, and identified below.

CLOSING DATE FOR SUBMISSIONS: 5 OCTOBER 2007

My/Our Full Name(s):

aka JOHN CORSE-SCOTT ; COLLEEN DAWN CORSE-SCOTT

Postal Address:

75 SUTTON RD BROOKLY RDI MANUREWA AUCKLAND 2576

Wk Ph:

09 5308008

Hm Ph:

09 5308008

Cell:

0211 336657

Wk Fax:

09 5308008

Hm Fax:

u

Email:

john-colleen@tra.co.nz

The reasons for my submission are:

I am completely opposed to Transpower's intention to build this un-needed line, as the demand growth projections of the Electricity Commission (2007 SOO) do not justify its construction. The scale and capacity of this proposed 400kV capable line is completely out of alignment with the expected requirements for transmission capacity into Auckland to meet demand growth in the next 40 years. The potential new generation capacity likely to be constructed in the Auckland region in the next 40 years has been grossly underestimated in an attempt to justify this line as one of national significance and urgency.

Better equal benefit alternatives to this proposed line are available that have a much reduced environmental impact, such as more generation in the Auckland region, duplexing and reconductoring of the Whakamaru A, B and C lines, use of HVDC transmission technology which will be less obtrusive and easier to underground, more extensive use of undergrounding of HVAC lines, and consideration of new conventional small scale 220kV transmission lines.

I oppose this line being built on a 65 metre wide easement, when a 600 metre wide easement, free of houses and workplaces, should be required as a precautionary principle to reduce future health risks and environmental impacts.

I oppose large scale HVAC transmission lines being built on narrow 65 metre wide easements because of the EMF emissions and ionized particle emissions that will come from this line, which have been proven to cause numerous diseases, including childhood leukaemia.

I oppose these applications because Transpower has falsely (and perhaps criminally) tried to justify the need for this line being built by using out of date and inaccurately high demand growth forecasts (2005 SOO), have not included the cost of environmental impacts in their justifications, and are providing misleading and inaccurate costings of the real alternative options to this line that should be considered.

Please complete other side of form



Ministry for the
Environment
Mauri Ora Te Taiao

Continued Submission

John & Colleen Corse-Scott

Page 2

I oppose the construction of pylons of this magnitude and believe that a full scale Pylon the same as the size proposed, should be built near the motorway Grt South Rd Manukau on the vacant land near the Telstra Events Centre. This should be done so that the public can see it in real life before transpower starts building these towers.

This is the first time in the history of NZ that towers of this size that Transpower proposes to build across the landscape . The public must see a model as once they are built it will be too late to change.

If Transpower propose charging the NZ consumer 10cents in the dollar of their power bills then why not charge 20cents to go underground thru Brookby and Clevedon.

Theres a shortage of land in Manukau, and housing development will have moved into Brookby and Clevedon within the next 10 years. Its a beautiful scenic area which many people drive into to enjoy every day. Why have these huge towers built to create an eyesore?

I/we seek the following decision from the Board of Inquiry (provide precise details including the nature of any conditions sought):

That the application for Notices of Requirement and Resource Consents should be turned down completely, and Transpower directed to investigate generation, conservation and transmission alternatives that will avoid this line being built under any circumstances.

I explicitly do not want the Board of Inquiry to approve the applications subject to conditions – I want the applications turned down in whole.

I/we wish to be heard in support of my/our submission at the public hearing, and expect I will need between one and two hours to present my evidence. I would like the location of the portion of the hearing which will receive my evidence to be held in a local venue near to where I live.



I/we make my/our submission concerning all notices of requirements and applications for resource consents relating Transpower's applications that will be heard by this Board of Inquiry. The references are NORs 27619, L07089, DES0011/07, RMR200621, DN/0006/07, 240/021, RM070209, and resource consents 34102, 34370, 34372, 34373, 34711, 34712, 116902, 116903, 116904, 116905, and any other NORs or resource consent applications that may subsequently be filed or altered. We oppose all of these NORs and applications for resource consent.



I/we have served a copy of my/our submission on the applicant by copy to Transpower as above.



Signature(s):

J. Colleen Corse-Scott
(R) Corse-Scott

Date: 1-10-07

(Signature is not required for electronic (email) submissions.
If this is a joint submission by two or more individuals, each individual's name is required.)

- Page 2 attached.

